		ment 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 1 of 318 eid#: 2622				
	USA v. Jenkins,	3:23-cr-11, 12/17/2024				
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION					
2						
3	*****************					
4		CRIMINAL CASE NO.: 3:23-CR-11 DECEMBER 17, 2024, 8:54 A.M.				
5		CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA JURY TRIAL, DAY 5				
6	vs.	·				
7	•	Before: HONORABLE ROBERT S. BALLOU UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA				
8						
9	**************************************					
10						
11	APPEARANCES:					
12		CELIA RUTH CHOY, ESQUIRE LINA PENG, ESQUIRE				
13	1	DOJ-Crm				
14	:	Public Integrity Section 1301 New York Avenue NW, 10th Floor				
15		Washington, DC 20530 202-875-1557				
16		MELANIE SMITH, ESQUIRE				
17	Ţ	Western District of Virginia				
18		255 West Main Street, Suite 130 Charlottesville, VA 22902 434-293-4283				
19		134-293-4203				
20						
21						
22	Court Reporter: Lisa M. Bla					
23	Charlottesv	in Street, Suite 304 ille, Virginia 22902				
24	434.296.928					
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY COMPUTER.					

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 2 of 318 Pageid#: 2623 USA v. Jenkins, 3:23-cr-11, 12/17/2024 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: PHILIP ANDONIAN, ESQUIRE For the Defendant: JOSEPH P. CALEB, ESQUIRE Caleb Andonian PLLC 1100 H Street, NW, Suite 315 Washington, DC 20005 202-953-9850 

	Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Pageid#: 2624	Page 3 of 318
	USA v. Jenkins, 3:23-cr-11, 12/17/2024	
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT:	PAGE
3	UC-2 ("MIKE")	
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Smith Cross-Examination by Mr. Andonian	8 44
5	HARRY CARR	
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Smith Cross-Examination by Mr. Caleb	48 54
8	DAWN MIESLE	
9	Direct Examination by Ms. Choy	56
10	SCOTT MEDEARIS	
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Peng	65
12	WITNESSES ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENSE:	PAGE
13	SCOTT HOWARD JENKINS	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Andonian Cross-Examination by Ms. Peng	150 206
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25		
۷ ک		

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23-cr-11, 12/17/2024

#### INDEX OF EXHIBITS

#### EXHIBITS ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT:

1

23

24

3	EXHIBIT:	Marked	Received
4	410	16	16
5	424	21	21
6	26	22	22
7	425	24	24
8	521	25	25
9	441	26	26
10	27	29	29
11	28	33	33
12	29	36	36
13	801	77	77
14	804	81	81
15	805	135	135
16	412	126	126
17	413	128	128
18	806	134	134
19	803	141	141
20	Court Ex. 1	146	146
21	Court Ex. 2	146	146
22			

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 5 of 318 Pageid#: 2626

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23-cr-11, 12/17/2024

(Proceedings commenced, 8:54 a.m.)

THE COURT: We're back on the record in the matter of United States v. Jenkins. Let the record reflect that the government is present by its counsel. The defendant likewise is present along with counsel.

A couple of things this morning. So we're going to start with the second undercover. Can we make sure that we have a CSO outside of the door here at least for the first witness so that no one brings any electronics into the courtroom.

So anyone who is in the courtroom, the courtroom is going to remain open for the first witness, but there cannot be any electronics or any other device that has the capability of photographs or recording at all. And then we'll remove that restriction after this witness.

I received the jury instructions, I've looked through the changes that you all have made. Really, I think you added just a couple of substantive things. I've accepted them all.

Like I said, I've made a couple of other kind of stylistic changes. I'll send those back to you. Does the fact that as I went through there, there were no comments about -- we're going to have to go through them and make sure that the evidence fits each one of them. I saw you had removed several of the instructions where we know the evidence isn't going to fit, like the deposition of Mr. Rychlik and so forth, that there are

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 6 of 318 Pageid#: 2627

USA v. Jenkins, 3:23-cr-11, 12/17/2024

no disagreements with respect to any other substantive instructions, it's just a question of whether the evidence is supporting it. Is that the government's belief?

MS. CHOY: The only instruction to bring to the Court's attention was that Your Honor had put a definition of honest services in there.

THE COURT: Correct.

MS. CHOY: And the government is requesting that in place of that you give government's proposed instruction number 25.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. CHOY: And we understand that defense is deferring to the Court on that.

THE COURT: They don't want anything I think -- I think what I had seen in the comments when I first looked at the instructions was -- don't let me speak for you,

Mr. Andonian -- that you didn't think there was a need for that definition. I looked at that, and I'll have an explanation for why I shortened it, but there was a reason.

MS. CHOY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The verdict form was substantially the government's verdict form. I always put not guilty on the left side, so that's the first choice as you read from left to right. So I made that change. But otherwise, I think it's pretty much the government's verdict form, and we'll address

```
Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                             Document 276
                                           Filed 12/19/24 Page 7 of 318
                             Pageid#: 2628
                USA v. Jenkins, 3:23-cr-11, 12/17/2024
 1
    that as well at that time.
 2
              All right. Anything else we need to address before
 3
   we start up?
                        Nothing from the government, Your Honor.
 4
              MS. CHOY:
 5
              THE COURT: How about from the defendant?
 6
              MR. ANDONIAN: Nothing from us, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: All right. Very well.
 8
              How's everybody feeling this morning? Any better?
 9
   little iffy?
10
              THE CLERK: You don't sound good.
11
              THE COURT: I have a -- it's gone to my head, but I
   feel fine.
12
13
              You feeling better, Mr. Caleb?
              MR. CALEB: Yeah, a little bit better.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          Everyone is on two feet. That's the way
16
   to start the day.
17
              All right. Are we ready for the jury? Let's bring
18
   the jury in.
19
    (Jury in, 8:59 a.m.)
20
              THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
21
              Good morning. I hope you all had a nice evening.
22
   We're going to continue this morning with the government's
23
   case. I am advised that we remain well on schedule. So I
24
   appreciate you all's careful and close attention. I've been
```

paying attention to you all throughout, and I very much

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 8 of 318 Pageid#: 2629

Mike - Direct

1 appreciate all the attention you've given to the lawyers and to 2 the presentation thus far, and I know you'll continue to do so. 3 All right. Who's got the next witness, Ms. Smith? 4 MS. SMITH: I do, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Call your next witness, please. 6 MS. SMITH: The government calls Mike. 7 THE COURT: All right. Mike. 8 Sir, come on up, if you would, please. 9 UC-2, "MIKE", CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT, SWORN 10 THE COURT: Step right on over here. As you sit 11 down, slide up to the microphone, bring the microphone to you 12 and speak into the microphone. And that way we'll be able to 13 hear your testimony. 14 Ms. Smith, go right ahead, please. 15 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. SMITH: 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. SMITH: 17 18 Good morning. 19 Good morning. 20 Are you an FBI agent who works in an undercover capacity? 21 Yes, ma'am. 22 And did you receive permission from the Court to testify 23 using a pseudonym today? 24 Yes, ma'am. 25 And what pseudonym will you be using today?

#### Filed 12/19/24 Page 9 of 318 Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Pageid#: 2630

- 1 Mike or Michael.
- 2 And are you listed as undercover agent 2 in the
- 3 superseding indictment?
- Yes, ma'am. 4
- 5 Now, you just testified you're an FBI agent. How long
- have you been an FBI agent? 6
- 7 Approximately 26 years.
- 8 And what are your duties and responsibilities as an FBI
- 9 agent?
- To engage in investigations and gather evidence for 10
- 11 criminal prosecution.
- 12 And what types of cases do you work on?
- 13 The last 26 years, I've done everything from white collar
- 14 cases, violent crime cases, human trafficking, terrorism, just
- 15 about all the cases.
- 16 You previously mentioned you work in an undercover
- 17 capacity. Is there a term that is used for that position?
- 18 Undercover employee, or UC.
- 19 And what is an undercover employee?
- 20 An undercover employee is an employee that has been
- 21 trained, received special training, and has the proper
- 22 experience and background to engage in undercover operations.
- 23 And you mentioned there's special training. Can you tell
- 24 the jury a little bit about that training you've received?
- 25 The training you receive is -- it's -- the actual training

1 is two weeks in Atlanta. It's pretty intense training. But 2 before that, you go through a selection process and screening, 3 and then upon completion of the training, then you're an 4 undercover certified employee. 5 And how long have you been an undercover employee? 6 Approximately 20 years. 7 And how are undercover employees used in investigations? 8 Undercover employees are used in investigations to get 9 close to subjects of investigations in order to engage with them and gather evidence, so -- of any -- any wrongdoing or 10 11 criminal behavior. 12 And when you are working in an undercover capacity, do you 13 rely on other undercover agents or cooperating witnesses to introduce you to subjects or targets of an investigation? 14 15 Yes, ma'am, that's generally the preferred method of 16 getting close to --17 THE COURT: Sir, can you slide the microphone to your 18 left so that as you turn your head to the left it will still 19 pick up your voice? 20 THE WITNESS: Sure. Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 BY MS. SMITH: 23 Can you say that last part again? 24 Yes, ma'am.

And why do you usually get an introduction from a

1 cooperating witness or another undercover agent?

- 2 A Generally that's how you get to be introduced if you're a
- 3 stranger and there's a way to actually meet them. That's
- 4 generally the preferred way of meeting them.
- And when you're working as an undercover employee, do you
- 6 assume an alias or an assumed identity?
  - A Yes, ma'am, 100 percent of the time.
- 8 Q And does the FBI do things to establish that identity?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am. And also the undercover employee is
- 10 responsible for establishing some of your own ID as well.
- 11  $\mathbb{Q}$  And what types of things would you do to establish that
- 12 | alias?

- 13 A Obtain certain things that are normal with any other
- 14 | individual, credit cards, bank statements, driver's licenses,
- 15 that sort of thing.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And those are all in your assumed alias's name?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q And how many investigations have you been involved in as
- 19 an undercover employee?
- 20  $\blacksquare$ A A lot of them over the 20 years, but I would say easy
- 21  $\parallel$  probably 25, 30, or more, and that includes short and
- 22 ||long-term.
- 23  $\parallel$ Q When you say a long-term investigation, what does that
- 24 | mean?
- 25 A In some cases, some undercover operations might be only

would consider that a long-term operation.

- 1 one or two meetings. Some of them might go on for years. So I
- 3 Q Were you utilized as an undercover employee in the Scott
- 4 Jenkins investigation?
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.

- 6  $\mathbb{Q}$  Is that an example of a short-term involvement as an
- 7 undercover employee?
- 8 A Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q What was your assignment as it related to the Jenkins 10 investigation?
- 11 A My assignment in this investigation was to go and meet
- 12 Mr. Jenkins, and be a particular person, and then to obtain
- 13 deputization for -- for an auxiliary deputy sheriff.
- 14 Q And how were you supposed to obtain that deputy sheriff --
- 15 auxiliary deputy badge?
- 16 A After meeting -- after meeting the -- Mr. Jenkins, I was
- 17 | supposed to give him a certain amount of cash, and in exchange
- 18 | I would be deputized.
- 19 Q Now, do you usually have a backstory as an undercover
- 20 mployee?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q And have you had multiple backstories and multiple aliases
- 23 during your time as an undercover employee?
- 24 A Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q What was your backstory as it related to the Jenkins

- 1 | investigation?
- 2 A My backstory was I was a successful and somewhat wealthy
- 3 For well-off businessman from northern Virginia.
- 4 Q Did you have a driver's license under the assumed name,
- 5 Mike?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q Was it sent to anyone at the Culpeper County sheriff's
- 8 office?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q And did you travel to Culpeper, Virginia, on December 28th
- 11 of 2022?
- 12 A Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q And prior to the meeting with Jenkins in Culpeper, were
- 14 | you briefed by the FBI agents investigating this case?
- 15 A Yes, ma'am.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And why is it important to be briefed by the investigative
- 17 | team?
- 18 A You kind of have to have some sort of basic of what you're
- 19 doing, and what are the circumstances, and who is all involved.
- 20 | So you have to know what you're getting into before you start
- 21 such an operation.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q Now, do they typically provide you a lot about the
- 23 investigation or just enough to fit your role?
- 24  $\blacksquare$ A I think it's just enough to fit your role.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And apart from that briefing, did you have any other

1 | information about this case?

A No, ma'am.

2

7

- 3 Q Were you involved in any other way in this case?
- 4 A No, ma'am.
- 5 Q Were you wired with a covert recording device when you
- 6 went to meet with Scott Jenkins?
  - A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q And what is the purpose of having you wired with covert
- 9 recording equipment?
- 10 A To obtain the best evidence, obviously, any sort of
- 11 recording would be a great way to capture conversations and
- 12 things to be used in an investigation.
- 13 Q Did you have multiple recording devices on you?
- 14 A Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q Where were those devices located generally?
- 16  $\blacksquare$ A Just generally on my person.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  Are there sometimes limitations to recording equipment?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q Could you tell the jury about that, please?
- 20  $\blacksquare$ A The devices that we use, they're homemade in-house, and
- 21 I the emphasis is on agent safety, because you don't want these
- 22  $\parallel$  devices to be discovered on your person during an operation.
- 23 So the emphasis is on the concealability and size more than
- 24 anything else.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And on that date where you met with Scott Jenkins, did you

- 1 have both an audio recorder and a video recorder?
- 2 A Yes. Yes, ma'am.
- 3  $\blacksquare$ Q And when did you turn them on approximately?
- 4 A Approximately before I went to the sheriff's office that
- 5 day.
- 6 Q And when did you turn them off?
- 7 A After the end of the day when I was -- I left the general
- 8 area.
- 9 Q Did you realize after you met with Scott Jenkins that
- 10 there were issues with the recording equipment?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
- 12  $\mathbb{Q}$  Could you tell the jury about that?
- 13 A Some of the equipment generally does -- it does fail. In
- 14 | my experience, it's actually fairly common. And that is the
- 15 reason why we have redundancy, more than one recorder, because
- 16 of the fact that they're so micro small, and they're usually
- 17 custom made for the agent for that operation.
- 18  $\parallel$ Q Were you able to audio record the entire interaction with
- 19 || Scott Jenkins?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21  $\|Q\|$  But was there a problem with the video recording?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q Besides that recording equipment, did you bring anything
- 24 else with you that day?
- 25 A Oh, yes, I did. I brought \$10,000 in cash in a manila

```
Mike - Direct
 1
   envelope.
 2
        And when U.S. currency is being used in an undercover
 3
   operation, does the FBI typically take photographs of it before
   it's used?
 4
 5
        Yes, ma'am.
 6
              MS. SMITH: If we could pull up Government's Exhibit
 7
   410, please. And just flip through it, please.
   BY MS. SMITH:
 8
         Do you recognize Government's 410?
 9
10
        Yes, ma'am.
11
        Okay. And what is it?
12
        It looks like the money that I had that day.
13
        Is that a true and accurate depiction of the $10,000 in
14
   cash you used to pay Scott Jenkins?
15
        Yes, ma'am, it looks like it.
16
              MS. SMITH: And the government would move to admit
17
   Government's Exhibit 410.
18
              THE COURT:
                          Any objection?
19
              MR. CALEB: No objection.
20
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the
21
   jury.
22
              (Government Exhibit 410 marked and admitted.)
23
   BY MS. SMITH:
24
        So these were all in $100 bills; is that right?
25
        Yes, ma'am.
```

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 17 of 318 Pageid#: 2638

- 1 Q Now, in order to get to Culpeper, did you obtain a car?
- 2 A Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q What type of car did you drive to Culpeper?
- 4 A I do believe it was a white Mercedes.
- 5 Q And did you request a certain type of car to drive?
- 6 A Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q And why is that?
- 8 A It had to be something that was conducive to a background
- 9 of someone that was a successful businessman.
- 10 ∥Q And when you went to Culpeper, where did you go first?
- 11 A The first place I went to was the sheriff's office.
- 12 Q And who was with you when you went to the sheriff's
- 13 office?
- 14  $\parallel$ A I was initially by myself, but then I met people. I met
- 15 Kevin along the way.
- 16 Q Is that Kevin Rychlik?
- 17 A Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q And was Kevin Rychlik aware you were working in an
- 19 undercover capacity that day?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q What happened when you got to the sheriff's office?
- 22 A I met outside with Kevin, spoke briefly, and then we went
- 23 inside the sheriff's office.
- 24 Q And who met you in the sheriff's office?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A There was some initial conversation with some staff, and

## Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 18 of 318 Pageid#: 2639

- 1 then I met Mr. Jenkins.
- 2 Q Can you tell the jury about that initial meeting at the
- 3 sheriff's office with Scott Jenkins?
- 4  $\blacksquare$ A The initial meeting, we just kind of -- we kind of shook
- 5 hands, and it was a cordial conversation you would generally
- 6 have with somebody you met the first time, small talk
- 7 basically.
- 8 Q And was this the first time you had ever met him?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q And where did you go to next?
- 11 A After some conversation, we went to have lunch in downtown
- 12 Culpeper.
- 13  $\mathbb{Q}$  And who was present for that lunch?
- 14 A Myself, Mr. Jenkins, and Kevin.
- 15 0 What was the lunch like?
- 16  $\blacksquare$ A It was a cordial lunch. It was a busy day. It was busy
- 17 | at the restaurant. But it was just a cordial general lunch
- 18 with conversation.
- 19  $\|Q\|$  What types of things were discussed at the lunch?
- 20 A Just basically things you would talk about -- anything
- 21 I from the weather to the area and such. Nothing really -- just
- 22 kind of small talk.
- 23 Q Did Scott Jenkins ever ask you if you had any law
- 24 enforcement background?
- 25 A No, ma'am.

- 1 Q What about -- did he ask you whether or not you had any
- 2 | law enforcement training?
- 3 A No, ma'am.
- 4 **|**Q Did he ask you if you had a military background?
- 5 A No, ma'am.
- 6 Q Did you ever fill out an application?
- 7 A No, ma'am.
- 8 Q Did you guys discuss any qualifications your alias may
- 9 have to be an auxiliary deputy?
- 10 A No, ma'am.
- 11 Q Did he ask you whether or not you'd ever been trained with
- 12 a firearm?
- 13 | A No, ma'am.
- 14 Q After that lunch, what happened next?
- 15  $\|A\|$  After lunch, we left and then went to a nearby courthouse,
- 16  $\parallel$  where I was sworn in and received a deputy's badge.
- 17  $\parallel$ Q And now before I get to the swearing-in, as part of your
- 18 backstory, were you posing as a convicted felon?
- 19 A Yes, ma'am.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q And so that was part of the backstory that day; is that
- 21 || correct?
- 22 A Yes, ma'am.
- 23  $\parallel$ Q And when you were sworn in, who were you sworn in by?
- 24 A I believe it was the clerk of court.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And did anyone come with you to get sworn in?

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 20 of 318 Pageid#: 2641

Mike - Direct

- 1 A Yes, Mr. Jenkins, and Kevin was there.
- 2 Q If we could pull up Government's 713, which has already
- 3 been admitted into evidence.
- 4 And do you recognize this?
- 5 A Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q Okay. And what is this?
- 7 A That's the form that I signed when I got deputized.
- 8 Q And this is the oath of office that you took that day?
  - A Yes, ma'am.

- 10 Q And it's redacted; is that correct?
- 11 A Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q Consistent with you testifying only under the alias Mike?
- 13 A Yes, ma'am.
- 14  $\|Q\|$  And then down below, is that the clerk of the court's
- 15 ||signature?
- 16 A Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q And what date were you sworn in?
- 18 A The date was December 28th, 2022.
- 19 Q If we could pull up Government's Exhibit 424, please.
- 20 And do you recognize this?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q And what are you looking at?
- 23 A That's a picture of myself standing on the left,
- 24 Mr. Jenkins on the right, and I'm getting sworn in as a deputy.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And your face appears to be blurred; is that correct?

## Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 21 of 318 Pageid#: 2642

```
Mike - Direct
 1
        Yes, ma'am.
 2
        Apart from your blurred face, is this a true and accurate
 3
   depiction of you being sworn in that day?
 4
        Yes, ma'am.
 5
              MS. SMITH: The government would move into evidence
 6
   424.
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Any objection?
 8
              MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the
10
   jury.
11
              (Government Exhibit 424 marked and admitted.)
12
    BY MS. SMITH:
13
        And you mentioned you have a blurred face. Why is your
   face blurred in this photograph?
14
15
        To hide my identity.
16
        Is that standard practice in cases in which you testify to
17
   hide your identity by blurring your face or redacting your
   name?
18
19
        Yes, ma'am.
20
        And who is on the right whose face is not blurred?
21
        Mr. Jenkins.
22
        Now, during the time that you were sworn in, were you
23
   wearing that recording device we spoke about earlier?
24
        Yes, ma'am.
```

If we could pull up Government's Exhibit 26, please.

```
Filed 12/19/24 Page 22 of 318
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                             Document 276
                              Pageid#: 2643
                              Mike - Direct
 1
         Did you previously review Government's Exhibit 26?
 2
        Yes, ma'am.
 3
        And is it a true and accurate version of the events that
 4
   happened on December 28th of 2022?
 5
        Yes, ma'am.
 6
              MS. SMITH: The government would move into evidence
 7
   Government's 26.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Any objection?
 9
              MR. ANDONIAN: No objection.
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the
10
11
   jury.
12
              (Government Exhibit 26 marked and admitted.)
13
              MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
              If we could start the clip, Ms. Fastenau, and stop at
15
   11 seconds, please.
16
              (Audio playing.)
    BY MS. SMITH:
17
18
        Who was present for this conversation?
19
         I think this was at the courtroom. This would be myself,
20
   Mr. Jenkins, Kevin, and the clerk of court.
21
              MS. SMITH: If we could play until 1:09, please.
22
              (Audio playing.)
23
    BY MS. SMITH:
24
        What just happened in that clip?
```

In that clip, I was just getting sworn in as a deputy

```
1 sheriff -- auxiliary deputy sheriff.
```

- 2 Q And after you were sworn in as an auxiliary deputy
- 3 | sheriff, what happened?
- 4 A After that -- after just a little bit of brief
- 5 conversation, we went back to the sheriff's office.
  - Q And who did you meet with at the sheriff's office?
  - A It was myself, it was Mr. Jenkins, and Kevin.
  - Q And what happened when you arrived at the sheriff's
- 9 office?

6

7

- 10 A I think I received an ID, and then we went to a conference
- 11 room near the front of the building.
- 12 Q What was the process for receiving the ID? Did you have
- 13 to fill out any paperwork at the sheriff's office?
- 14  $\blacksquare$ A I provided some information, and then there was a
- 15 photograph given.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q It was pretty minimal? Oh, I'm sorry, I didn't mean to
- 17 | interrupt you. It was pretty minimal?
- 18 A Yes. Yes. Minimal. It was pretty simple,
- 19 straightforward.
- 20 Q And you mentioned you then met Scott Jenkins in a
- 21 | conference room. What happened once you met him in the
- 22 | conference room?
- 23 A We started to discuss, and I provided him shortly
- 24  $\blacksquare$ afterwards the \$10,000 that I had with me.
- 25  $\square$ Q Did he give you a badge as well?

```
Filed 12/19/24 Page 24 of 318
  Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                             Document 276
                              Pageid#: 2645
                              Mike - Direct
1
        Yes, ma'am.
2
        And did you guys take a photo?
3
        Yes, ma'am.
4
        I'm going to show you what's been marked as Government's
5
  Exhibit 425.
6
        Do you recognize this photograph?
7
```

I'm on the left, and then Mr. Jenkins is on the right,

And apart from the blurred face, is this a true and

The government would move into evidence

accurate depiction of you receiving your badge that day?

Any objection?

THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the

(Government Exhibit 425 marked and admitted.)

And so this is you receiving your badge; is that correct?

MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.

Yes, ma'am.

Yes, ma'am.

425, please.

BY MS. SMITH:

Yes, ma'am.

That's myself.

And the blurred face is whom?

MS. SMITH:

THE COURT:

And what is it?

providing me with a deputy sheriff badge.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

jury.

```
Filed 12/19/24 Page 25 of 318
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                             Document 276
                              Pageid#: 2646
                              Mike - Direct
1
        And on the right, who is that?
2
        Mr. Jenkins.
 3
         I'm going to show you what's been marked as Government's
   Exhibit 521.
 4
5
         Do you recognize Government's Exhibit 521?
 6
        Yes, ma'am.
 7
        And what is that?
8
        This is the badge that I received that day in that
9
   conference room.
10
        And that's the same badge you received from Scott Jenkins
11
   that day?
12
        Yes, ma'am.
13
              MS. SMITH: The government would move into evidence
   Government's 521.
14
15
              THE COURT: Any objection?
16
              MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the
18
   jury.
```

(Government Exhibit 521 marked and admitted.)

If we could pull up Government's Exhibit 441, please.

Could you hold it up and show the jury, please?

And do you recognize this photograph?

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. SMITH:

(Witness complies).

Yes, ma'am.

```
Mike - Direct
 1
        And what is depicted here?
 2
        On the left is the badge that I just held up, and on the
 3
   right is the ID card that I got at the same time.
        And has that ID been redacted?
 4
 5
        Yes, ma'am.
 6
        But apart from those redactions, are these true and
 7
   accurate depictions of your badge and identification card?
 8
        Yes, ma'am.
 9
              MS. SMITH: The government would move into evidence
   Government's Exhibit 441.
10
11
              THE COURT: Any objection?
12
             MR. ANDONIAN: No.
13
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the
14
   jury.
15
              (Government Exhibit 441 marked and admitted.)
16
    BY MS. SMITH:
17
         So those are the two items you received that day?
18
        Yes, ma'am.
19
        You mentioned that after you received your badge, you gave
20
   a payment to Scott Jenkins. Can you describe how you paid
21
   Scott Jenkins?
22
        Engaged in some conversation. We discussed the -- you
23
   know, basically the position, you know, some things, and then I
24
   just had it in a manila envelope, $10,000, and then I just
25
   offered it to him, provided it to him at that point.
```

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 27 of 318 Pageid#: 2648

Mike - Direct

1 What was Scott Jenkins's reaction when you handed him an 2 envelope with \$10,000 in cash in it?

- He seemed pleased and not surprised.
- 4 What makes you say that he seemed pleased?
- I think that he had a smile on his face a little bit, and 6 just kind of general reaction of being pleased, I guess.
  - Was Scott Jenkins aware that you were a felon?
- 8 Yes. Yes, ma'am.

3

5

7

- And how do you know he was aware that you were a felon?
- 10 I was -- I believe he was once told that before by Kevin,
- 11 and then I started to have a conversation and explained my
- 12 felony conviction, and that I appreciated the fact that he did
- 13 not hold that against me.
- 14 Did he have any reaction to you being a felon?
- 15 No, ma'am.
- 16 After being sworn in, did you have any conversations with
- 17 Scott Jenkins about your ability to carry a concealed weapon
- 18 now that you had the badge?
- 19 Yes, ma'am.
- 20 And what did Scott Jenkins tell you?
- 21 We discussed various ways of getting firearms to get
- 22 around security, and just general conversations about where I
- 23 could carry, how I could carry, whether I could carry it in an
- 24 airport, and that sort of thing.
- 25 You mentioned how to get around security. What did you

1 mean by that? 2 In one of the conversations, we discussed about I believe 3 it was an amusement park that they had security, that you could 4 carry two guns, and you could actually present yourself as a 5 law enforcement personnel, and then you would have that gun locked up and have a second one on your person. 6 7 And that second gun would be hidden on your person? 8 Yes, ma'am. 9 And you would turn in the first gun; is that correct? Yes, ma'am. 10 11 But by having it hidden on your person, what would that 12 allow you to do? 13 Get around the security measures at an amusement park. 14 And was your desire to carry a concealed weapon part of your backstory? 15 16 Yes, ma'am. 17 And Scott Jenkins was telling you all this even though he 18 knew you were a felon? 19 Yes, ma'am. 20 Now, have you also -- if we could pull up Government's 21 Exhibit 27, please. 22 Have you also previously reviewed Government's Exhibit 27? 23 (Audio playing.) BY MS. SMITH:

24

25

Do you -- have you reviewed this one?

## Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 29 of 318 Pageid#: 2650

```
Mike - Direct
 1
         Yes, ma'am.
 2
         Is this a clip of your much longer recording device?
 3
        Yes, ma'am.
         Is it a true and accurate depiction of the events that
 4
 5
   happened on December 28th, 2022 that you were a part of?
        Yes, ma'am.
 6
 7
              MS. SMITH:
                          The government would move into evidence
   Government's Exhibit 27.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Any objection?
10
              MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
11
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the
12
   jury.
              (Government Exhibit 27 marked and admitted.)
13
14
              MS. SMITH: If we could please start the video from
15
   the beginning and play until the 25-second mark.
16
              (Audio playing.)
    BY MS. SMITH:
17
18
        And who is speaking when the blue and white text appears?
19
         I'm the initial person speaking, and Mr. Jenkins is the
20
   other person speaking.
21
        And during this conversation, it was between you,
22
   Mr. Jenkins.
                 Was there anyone else present as well?
23
        Yes, ma'am. Kevin was present.
24
        Otherwise, it was just the three of you; is that correct?
25
        Yes, ma'am.
   Α
```

Mike - Direct 1 MS. SMITH: If we could continue playing this video 2 until the 3:09 mark, please. 3 (Audio playing.) BY MS. SMITH: 4 5 Is this part of the conversation where Scott Jenkins is telling you where you can carry a concealed weapon? 6 7 Yes, ma'am. 8 And what was Scott Jenkins telling you to do if you had issues carrying that concealed weapon in certain places? It would -- the best practice would be to give him a call 10 11 on his cell phone. 12 And when he mentioned you could also call Kevin, who was 13 he referring to? 14 Kevin was his other person he knows that introduced me. 15 That was Kevin Rychlik? 16 Yes, ma'am. 17 And he mentioned that dispatch wouldn't -- if they called 18 the dispatch at Culpeper County, that they wouldn't recognize 19 you and wouldn't recognize your name. Why would dispatch not 20 recognize you? 21 Because I was an auxiliary and I wasn't a full-time deputy 22 sheriff. 23 Because you really didn't work for the office; is that

25 A Yes, ma'am, correct.

24

right?

## Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 31 of 318 Pageid#: 2652

```
1
        Now, during this conversation and prior to him telling you
 2
   these ways that you could carry a concealed weapon, had you
 3
   told Scott Jenkins your firearms rights had been restored and
   that you were able to lawfully carry a firearm?
 4
 5
        No, ma'am.
 6
        And what was Scott Jenkins describing for you -- excuse
 7
   me.
        Sorry.
 8
         So there was no conversation about you having a legal
 9
   ability to carry a firearm?
10
        No, ma'am, not at all.
11
        The only thing he knew was that you were a convicted
12
   felon?
13
        Yes, ma'am.
14
        Now, as the conversation went on, what was Scott Jenkins
15
   describing for you that he does to circumvent security and
16
   continue to carry a firearm in places where it's banned?
17
        To carry two different firearms and have a second one
18
   concealed on your person.
19
              MS. SMITH: If we could continue Government's Exhibit
20
   27 to the end.
21
              (Audio playing.)
    BY MS. SMITH:
22
23
         In that clip, was Scott Jenkins describing what you
24
   previously described about him carrying two firearms?
25
        Yes, ma'am.
   Α
```

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 32 of 318 Pageid#: 2653

```
Mike - Direct
1
        And was he acknowledging that he was breaking the rules?
 2
        Yes, ma'am.
 3
        And in fact, did he even say you're actually breaking the
 4
   law?
5
        Yes, ma'am.
 6
        Did you speak with Scott Jenkins about any expectations he
7
   had about your involvement as an auxiliary deputy sheriff?
8
        There were no expectations.
9
        And he told you there were no expectations?
        We had a conversation. I kind of asked during the
10
11
   conversation that I didn't have any time or that sort of thing,
12
   to come down.
13
        Did he have a problem with the fact that you weren't
14
   planning on working for the sheriff's office at all?
15
        No, ma'am.
16
        Did you previously review Government's Exhibit 28?
17
        Yes, ma'am.
18
                         Pull that one up, please.
              MS. SMITH:
19
              (Audio playing.)
20
              MS. SMITH: If you could pause that. Thank you.
21
    BY MS. SMITH:
22
        Is that a true and accurate version of the events that
23
   happened that day?
24
        Yes, ma'am.
```

Again, this is just a smaller clip of a much larger audio

```
Mike - Direct
 1
   recording?
 2
        Yes, ma'am.
 3
              MS. SMITH: If we could move Government's Exhibit 28
 4
   into evidence, please.
 5
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 6
              MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published to the
 8
   jury.
 9
              (Government Exhibit 28 marked and admitted.)
    BY MS. SMITH:
10
        And before I play it, occasionally we have like an
11
12
   inaudible in the transcript. What's happening when that
   occurs?
13
14
        Usually if you hear sort of like a noise, it has something
15
   to do with clothing brushing by the microphone. They're very
16
   small microphones and they're very sensitive, so it's very hard
   to avoid that.
17
18
                          If we could play Government's Exhibit 28
              MS. SMITH:
19
   in full, please.
20
              (Audio playing.)
    BY MS. SMITH:
21
22
        At the beginning of the clip, Scott Jenkins was referring
23
   to a range. What was he talking about with you?
24
         I believe it was a gun range.
25
        And was he telling you that you could go shoot with him?
```

## Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 34 of 318 Pageid#: 2655

Mike - Direct

- A I think there was -- yes, ma'am, I think there was some conversation about shooting.
  - Q And that was despite the fact that you were a felon?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.

3

- Now, during that conversation that we just heard, was that
- 6 when you were telling him that you were unavailable to really
- 7 help in any way?
- 8 A Yes, ma'am.
  - Q Did he seem to have any problem with that?
- 10 A No, ma'am.
- 11 Q At any point, did Scott Jenkins tell you there were
- 12 requirements about a certain number of hours you had to work
- 13 | for the sheriff's office?
- 14 A No, ma'am.
- 15 Q Did he tell you that you had to be available or on call in
- 16 any way?
- 17 | A No, ma'am.
- 18 Q And at the end of that clip, you said that you appreciated
- 19 ∥that he wasn't judging you. What were you referring to in --
- 20 by that?
- 21 A I was referring to the fact that I had a felony conviction
- 22 | and that I would be able to carry a firearm despite the fact
- 23 that it's against the law to carry one as a felon.
- 24 Q What else did you discuss with Scott Jenkins after being
- 25 sworn as it pertains to that bribe payment?

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 35 of 318 Pageid#: 2656

```
1 A The -- besides the felony, or --
```

- 2 Q Yes, besides the felony, what else did you guys discuss?
- 3 A The fact that I didn't want the money to be traced back to
- 4 me if I was giving money for the badge.
- 5 Q Did Scott Jenkins tell you ways in which you could conceal
- 6 ∥that payment?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q And what was he specifically telling you, if you can
- 9 ||recall?
- 10 A Basically, the conversation was there's ways to get money
- 11 from myself to Mr. Jenkins. There's ways around the -- to
- 12 | hide, it's not really a campaign contribution, and suggested
- 13 maybe that I could hand it to Kevin, who would subsequently
- 14 hand it to Mr. Jenkins.
- 15 MS. SMITH: If we could pull up Government's Exhibit
- 16 29.
- 17 (Audio playing.)
- 18 BY MS. SMITH:
- 19 Q Do you recognize Government's Exhibit 29?
- 20 A Yes, ma'am.
- 21  $\mathbb{I}\mathbb{Q}$  And again, is that a true and accurate depiction of the
- 22 events that occurred on that day?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q It's a clip; is that correct?
- 25 A Yes, ma'am.

```
Mike - Direct
 1
              MS. SMITH: Government would move into evidence
 2
   Government's Exhibit 29.
 3
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 4
              MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: All right. So admitted and may be
   published to the jury.
 6
 7
              (Government Exhibit 29 marked and admitted.)
 8
              MS. SMITH: If we could begin at the beginning and
 9
   play until the 1 minute and 10 second stamp, please.
10
              (Audio playing.)
    BY MS. SMITH:
11
12
        Who has been speaking in this clip so far?
13
        Myself. I was describing my situation as a felon, and
   Mr. Jenkins was adding comment to it.
15
        And what did Scott Jenkins say in response to your
   question about whether or not he knew what you were convicted
17
   of?
18
        He didn't know the details.
19
        But he was acknowledging that he was aware of it?
20
        Yes, ma'am.
21
              MS. SMITH: If we could continue playing Government's
22
   Exhibit 29 until 2 minutes and 3 seconds, please.
23
              (Audio playing.)
24
    BY MS. SMITH:
25
        During the end of this clip while you guys were talking,
```

```
1 what were you doing?
```

- 2 A At this point, I was handing over the manila envelope with
- $3 \parallel $10,000$  in cash over to Mr. Jenkins.
- 4 Q And when you said, most people give you 5, what were you
- 5 referring to?
- 6 A I was referring to the -- that I was aware that the usual
- 7 price for deputization was \$5,000, but I was really
- 8 appreciative because I had a felony conviction, that I would
- 9 actually give more than the usual amount. I would give \$10,000
- 10 to show my appreciation.
- 11 Q So when you said, I gave you double, 10, you're referring
- 12 to \$10,000?
- 13 A Yes, ma'am.
- 14 MS. SMITH: If we could continue playing until 2
- 15 minutes and 28 seconds, please.
- 16 (Audio playing.)
- 17 BY MS. SMITH:
- 18 Q What was Scott Jenkins's physical response when you were
- 19 giving him that envelope of cash?
- 20 A He seemed very pleased.
- 21 Q Did he seem confused about what you were referring to when
- 22 | you said some people give you 5, I'm giving you double, I'm
- 23 giving you 10?
- 24  $\blacksquare$ A No, ma'am, not at all.
- 25 MS. SMITH: If we could continue to the time stamp of

```
1
   4 minutes.
 2
              (Audio playing.)
 3
    BY MS. SMITH:
        What were you referring to when you said my private
 4
 5
   beliefs, personal beliefs, and keeping those to yourself?
         I was explaining as a contractor, a contractor can be let
 6
 7
   go at any moment for just about any reason, and that I wanted
   to keep my beliefs -- I wanted to be as apolitical as possible,
 8
 9
   so that I did not want to support one particular person over
10
   the other, so that if I was making any sort of -- giving
11
   anybody any money, I didn't want anybody tracing it back to
12
   myself.
13
         Did Scott Jenkins seem to have a problem with you wanting
14
   to hide where the money came from?
        No, ma'am.
15
16
              MS. SMITH: If we could continue in Government's
17
   Exhibit 29 to 5 minutes and 16 seconds, please.
18
              (Audio playing.)
19
    BY MS. SMITH:
20
        When Scott Jenkins says, there's nothing I'm doing for
21
   you, you don't even live here, and laughs, what was your
22
   impression of what he was saying?
23
        The whole idea of this was -- it was just simply -- it was
24
   kind of providing cash for the badge, and that there was
25
   nothing legitimate about it.
```

- Q And what gave you that impression?
- 2 A Just by the preponderance of everything that was
- 3 happening. There was no expectations, I did not live in the
- 4 area, I wasn't going to be trained, I had no background, and
- 5 that I was being deputized to carry a firearm as a felon.
- 6 Q And what was your impression about whether Scott Jenkins
- 7 was serious when he says, I can't take a bribe?
  - A I didn't expect him to say anything otherwise. I think he
- 9 knew it was a bribe.
- 10 Q What do you mean by that, you didn't expect him to say
- 11 | otherwise?

1

- 12 ∥A Typically in my experience you just generally don't --
- MR. ANDONIAN: Objection, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained. Rephrase.
- 15 BY MS. SMITH:
- 16  $\parallel$ Q You said you didn't expect anything. You have -- how long
- 17 | have you been an FBI agent?
- 18 A 26 years, ma'am.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q And so in your training and experience, have you met with
- 20 | subjects and targets of investigations?
- 21 A Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q And have you interviewed them?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q And based on all of that training and experience, why did
- 25 you not expect Scott Jenkins to say that it was a bribe?

```
1
              MR. ANDONIAN: Objection.
 2
                         It's an opinion. So sustained.
              THE COURT:
 3
              MS. SMITH: If we could move along to Government's
 4
   Exhibit 29 to the time stamp of 6:53.
 5
              (Audio playing.)
 6
    BY MS. SMITH:
 7
        When he -- when Scott Jenkins says, you're giving it to
 8
   Kevin, what was Scott Jenkins referring to?
 9
         How I would get money -- I think Mr. Jenkins was referring
10
   to how I'd get money from me to him without being directly
11
   linked directly as a payment to him.
12
        So was he -- was it your impression that he was implying
13
   you should give the money to Kevin Rychlik instead of him?
14
        Yes, ma'am.
15
        And how do you know that's what he was implying?
16
        In addition to the comments, Mr. Jenkins handed me back
17
   the manila envelope, and made a motion that I would take that
18
   money and then hand it to Kevin, and then Kevin would hand it
19
   to him, so there was a visual depiction of exactly referring to
20
   the comments.
21
              MS. SMITH: If we could continue at -- Government's
22
   Exhibit 29 until 7:42, please.
23
              (Audio playing.)
24
    BY MS. SMITH:
25
        When Scott Jenkins says, that's yours, we'll talk after he
```

- 1 | leaves, who is he speaking directly to?
- 2 A To Kevin.
- 3  $\parallel$ Q And what was he talking about when he says, that's yours,
- 4 we'll talk after he leaves?
- 5  $\blacksquare$ A That was referring to me leave, and then when I left, the
- 6 \$10,000 there.
- 7 Q And what was your impression about whether he was being
- 8 genuine about trying to follow the law?
- 9 A I don't believe he was because of the preponderance of the
- 10 situation.
- 11 MS. SMITH: And if we could play Government's Exhibit
- 12 until the very end, please.
- 13 (Audio playing.)
- 14 BY MS. SMITH:
- 15 Q When you said, did this go in the right direction, what
- 16 were you referring to?
- 17 A At the time, we were doing the motion with the money, it
- 18 was more of a joking manner that I would give it to Kevin, and
- 19 make sure that he would pass it on and not keep it for himself.
- 20 || So it was more or less a lighthearted joke.
- 21 Q What was your impression as to whether or not Scott
- 22 | Jenkins and Kevin Rychlik were aware of what you were referring
- 23 | to?
- 24 A I was under the impression that all three of us knew
- 25 ||exactly what we were talking about.

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 42 of 318 Pageid#: 2663

Mike - Direct 1 And Mike, have you investigated bribery in the past? 2 Yes, ma'am. 3 And has anyone expressly said to you, this is a bribe? 4 No, ma'am. 5 How do bribery targets typically communicate about the bribes? 6 7 MR. ANDONIAN: Objection, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: It's an opinion. He hasn't been 9 identified as an expert for rendering opinions. 10 MS. SMITH: I think he can testify to his training 11 and experience what targets typically say about bribes, Your 12 Honor, based on the 26 years he's had. 13 THE COURT: You all approach. 14 (Sidebar commenced.) 15 THE COURT: How is it not an opinion that needs to be 16 identified? 17 MS. SMITH: It's not an opinion because he's 18 discussing his training and experience and what has happened to 19 him in the past. It's a fact-based statement, Your Honor. 20 It's not making an opinion as to whether or not Scott Jenkins 21 was making a bribe. It's based on his training whether or not 22 they typically say that. 23 THE COURT: So there's a Fourth Circuit case that 24 came out and it dealt with -- it goes more to mental capacity, 25 but it allowed opinion testimony of that type, but it was

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 43 of 318 Pageid#: 2664

Mike - Direct

1 opinion testimony. That's what my issue is as to whether it's 2 opinion testimony. 3 MS. SMITH: I think because we're drawing on his experience it's not an opinion. It's a factual situation about 4 5 what he -- he's describing what he's done as a federal agent with the FBI in these types of situations. 6 7 MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, it's either one of two things. It's either an opinion, which is improper under these 8 9 circumstances, or it's a specific instance in which he's 10 experienced other alleged bribery schemes which have no bearing 11 here, because they're not relevant to what was happening under 12 these circumstances that he was personally involved in 13 observing here. So either way, it's not proper. 14 MS. SMITH: Your Honor, it's a lay opinion if it is 15 an opinion that he can make, and we believe it's proper. 16 THE COURT: Well, it's not a lay opinion because it's 17 based upon his experience. It's not an opinion that any of us 18 could give, which is what lay opinion is based upon, your 19 observation of whatever the events were. It's based upon his 20 experience. So I'm going to exclude the question. MS. SMITH: I'll move on, Your Honor. 21 22 (Sidebar concluded.) 23 BY MS. SMITH:

Now, Mike, after you received the badge and paid Scott

Jenkins \$10,000, what happened?

24

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 44 of 318 Pageid#: 2665

```
Mike - Cross
 1
         Shortly after the conversation we talked about, then I
 2
   just left the area.
 3
         Did you have any other interactions with Scott Jenkins
 4
   after that day?
 5
        No, ma'am.
 6
         Did Scott Jenkins at any time reach out to you about
 7
   purchasing a firearm from him?
 8
        No, ma'am.
 9
         Did he reach out to you in any capacity?
10
        No, ma'am.
11
        And during that entire time you were in Culpeper and
12
   meeting with Scott Jenkins on December 28th of 2022, was there
13
   any discussion about purchasing a firearm from Scott Jenkins?
14
        No, ma'am.
15
        And further, did anyone from the Culpeper County sheriff's
16
   office reach out to you about any training or service
17
   requirements you may have?
18
        No, ma'am.
19
              MS. SMITH:
                          No further questions, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT:
                         All right.
                                      Thank you.
21
              Any cross-examination?
22
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
    BY MR. ANDONIAN:
24
        Good morning, sir.
25
        Good morning.
```

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 45 of 318 Pageid#: 2666

Mike - Cross

```
1
         Sir, it's fair to say that --
 2
              MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, Your Honor, can we approach
 3
   really quick just for a second?
 4
              THE COURT:
                         Yeah, sure.
 5
              (Sidebar commenced.)
 6
                         I'm sorry to interrupt, Phil. The victim
              MS. SMITH:
 7
   witness coordinator just said that she just kicked two people
 8
   out of the courtroom that had cell phones. So I don't know if
 9
   you want to make another comment.
10
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
11
              MS. SMITH:
                          Thank you.
                                      Sorry.
12
              (Sidebar concluded.)
13
              THE COURT: So ladies and gentlemen, I just want to
14
   confirm the status of my order at this point. Anyone in the
15
   gallery cannot have any type of electronic device, computer,
16
   cell phone, iPad, tablet, whatever, any device that has the
17
   ability to be able to photograph and/or record. If you do, if
18
   I could ask you to remove that from the courtroom, I would be
19
   much obliged.
              Thank you very much. Go ahead, Mr. Andonian.
20
21
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
22
    BY MR. ANDONIAN:
23
        Good morning, again.
24
        Good morning, sir.
25
        Sir, it's fair to say in your capacity as an undercover
```

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 46 of 318 Pageid#: 2667

Mike - Cross

- 1 employee for the FBI that you're dishonest, right?
- 2  $\blacksquare$ A In what way, sir, I'm not understanding the question.
- 3 (Reporter clarification)
- 4 A I'm sorry, sir, I don't understand how I'm dishonest.
- 5 Q Your name is not Mike, right?
- 6 A Correct, sir.
- 7 Q You're not a wealthy businessman from northern Virginia, 8 right?
- 9 A Correct, sir. That's the nature of undercover work.
- 10 Q Part of your backstory in this particular case was also
- 11 that you worked closely with Kevin Rychlik, correct?
- 12 A I had met him or I had some knowledge, had some
- 13 interaction with him, yes, sir.
- 14 Q And that you were one of his -- well, you're familiar with
- 15 the acronym VASARS, right?
- 16 A A little bit maybe. I'm not really familiar with that,
- 17 || sir.
- 18 Q But your backstory was you were, quote, one of Kevin
- 19 Rychlik's VASARS guys, right?
- 20  $\parallel$ A I'm not sure exactly what Kevin portrayed. I did not have
- 21  $\parallel$ a conversation with Mr. Jenkins with -- anything with VASARS.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q Well, I'm not asking about a conversation you had with
- 23 Mr. Jenkins and VASARS, but I'm asking you, sir, what your
- 24 backstory was. And part of your backstory that you know is
- 25 | that you were one of Kevin Rychlik's VASARS guys?

Mike - Cross

```
1 A I'm not aware of that story, so I can't recall that, sir.
```

- Q You talked about before you inserted yourself into this
- 3 investigation you were briefed about the case, right?
- 4 A I had some limited briefing, yes, sir.
- 5  $\mathbb{I}$ Q So the answer to the question is yes, you were --
- 6 A Yes.

2

- Q -- briefed about the case?
- And you were given some facts about what the investigation
- 9 was about?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q The person that introduced you to Mr. Jenkins was Kevin
- 12 Rychlik?
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q When you met Mr. Jenkins, you told him, among other
- 15 things -- and we heard this in one of the clips -- that you
- 16 were a big supporter of his, right?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18  $\parallel$ Q You also told Mr. Jenkins that one of the ways that you
- 19 | could be supportive would be to donate money, correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q And Mr. Jenkins actually said one of the ways that you
- 22 could be helpful would be to donate money?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 MR. ANDONIAN: The Court's brief indulgence?
- 25 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

Carr - Direct

1 (Pause.) 2 MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you. Nothing further. 3 THE COURT: Any redirect, Ms. Smith? 4 MS. SMITH: No, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: May this witness be excused? 6 Yes, Your Honor. MS. SMITH: 7 THE COURT: Sir, you may be excused. Thank you very 8 much for being here. Please do not discuss your testimony as 9 long as the case is pending. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Have a nice day. 12 All right. The previous restrictions that I had with 13 respect to electronic devices in the courtroom for those who 14 have otherwise been allowed to bring them in, they can now 15 bring them back in. 16 All right. Ms. Smith, call your next witness, 17 please. 18 The government calls Harry Carr. MS. SMITH: 19 THE COURT: Mr. Carr, come on up, please, sir. 20 HARRY CARR, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT, SWORN 21 THE COURT: Mr. Carr, as you sit down, slide right up 22 to the microphone and bring the microphone up to you, and speak 23 into that so that we can pick up your conversation with 24 counsel. 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 49 of 318 Pageid#: 2670

Carr - Direct

```
THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
```

- 2 Go ahead, Ms. Smith.
- MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
  - DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MS. SMITH:

4

- 6 Q Good morning.
- 7 A Good morning.
  - Q Could you please introduce yourself by stating your name
- 9 and spelling your last name?
- 10 A Yes. My name is Harry Carr, C-A-R-R.
- 11 Q And Mr. Carr, where are you from?
- 12 A I live in New Jersey.
- 13 Q And what is your highest level of education?
- 14 A I have a Juris Doctor degree, a law degree.
- 15 Q And what do you do for a living?
- 16  $\parallel$ A I'm the CEO of a software company called Vcinity,
- 17 V-C-I-N-I-T-Y.
- 18 Q And you mentioned you live in New Jersey. How long have
- 19 **∥**you lived in New Jersey?
- 20 A Continuously since 1998.
- 21 Q Do you know someone by the name of Kevin Rychlik?
- 22 A I do.
- 23 Q And just briefly, how do you know him?
- 24 A I first met him roughly 20 years ago. I was a part owner
- 25 of an aviation business at the Manassas airport, and he owned a

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 50 of 318 Pageid#: 2671

Carr - Direct

helicopter business and a security business that were located I 2 think at the building just sort of adjacent to where we were. 3 What type of relationship did you two have? 4 So initially, we just knew him as a fellow business owner. 5 But then we had -- when we were trying to sell our aviation business, it turned out these buyers came to us and said, you 7 have a problem with your books. And we found out that the man who was sort of managing the business day-to-day was basically 9 stealing from us. So we terminated him, and we hired 10 Mr. Rychlik's security firm just to provide physical security 11 to our employees, because my partner lived in New York and I 12 lived in New Jersey, so we were not there on a regular basis. 13 At some point in 2022, did Kevin Rychlik reach out to you 14 about becoming an auxiliary deputy? He did. 15 16 What did he tell you? 17 Well, he basically said that he was going to be able to 18 get me sworn in as a deputy again, and it turned out it was in 19 Culpeper, Virginia. 20 Did he tell you what the process would be? 21 Well, initially, the process was I had to give him -- send 22 him like my license, and I don't remember if I had to fill out 23 a form, but something along those lines. And you know, we went 24 back and forth. He had kept -- he had promised me -- to help

me get that for multiple years. In 2022, it seemed to be

Carr - Direct

coming to fruition.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Q Do you recall the first time he kind of brought this to 3 your attention?

A The first time?

Q You said multiple years. This is 2022. Was it one or two years prior to that?

So it was more than that. I mean, way back when, I was a sworn deputy in Chesapeake, Virginia, because when we had the aviation business at Manassas Airport, we supported something called Virginia Air Search and Rescue. Mr. Rychlik was very involved in that. I think he was like an officer in that or something, because I've seen him in uniform a couple of times over the years. And we -- we had a business that managed aircraft and had what's called a fixed base operator. And we also had a small Cessna 172 that if someone went missing, either a child or, you know, an elderly person with dementia, or whatever, literally we would support law enforcement to look for -- we'd send the plane up. And I know Mr. Rychlik had a helicopter business. He would go up in helicopters and we would support that. And then we got introduced to the under sheriff down in Chesapeake, Virginia who was very involved in that, and he offered to make my partner and I sworn deputies. Do you remember approximately what year you were sworn in

A I believe it was the fall of 2006.

as a Chesapeake auxiliary deputy?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 52 of 318 Pageid#: 2673

Carr - Direct

1 Q And when you were sworn in as an auxiliary deputy, did you

2 have to make a payment for that badge?

- 3 A No way.
- 4 Q You said no way?
- 5 A No way.
- 6 Q Now, and let's fast forward. So in 2022, it seemed like
- 7 Kevin Rychlik could get you sworn in as a Culpeper auxiliary
- 8 deputy; is that right?
- 9 A That's what he was leading me to believe.
- 10 Q And when Kevin Rychlik approached you about this, had you
- 11 | ever been to Culpeper County?
- 12 A Have I ever been? Not that I recall, no.
- 13 Q Did you know the sheriff at the time, Scott Jenkins?
- 14 A I did not.
- 15  $\mathbb{Q}$  And -- but why did you want to be sworn in?
- 16 A Well, I had a badge previously and, you know, I mean,
- 17  $\parallel$  literally it was just kind of cool to have a badge. And I
- 18 actually got pulled over one time, because I was talking on my
- 19 cell phone, by a police officer in New Jersey. And when I had
- 20 my ID and he saw the badge, other than telling me I should have
- 21 a badge in New Jersey because I lived there, you know, he let
- 22 | me go. So I thought it was -- it would be something cool to
- 23 | have again. It was nothing more than that.
- Q Were you scheduled to be sworn in as an auxiliary deputy
- 25 in Culpeper County on October 28th of 2022?

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 53 of 318 Pageid#: 2674

Carr - Direct

- 1 A I thought I was, yes.
- 2 | Q And as of late October of 2022, again, had you met Scott
- 3 Jenkins at that point?
- 4 A I've never met him.
- 5 Q Had you filled out any paperwork to be an auxiliary
- 6 deputy?

9

- 7 A I believe I did.
- 8 Q With very brief information; is that fair?
  - A I believe so. And I know I sent Mr. Rychlik a copy of my driver's license as well.
- 11 Q Did you end up getting sworn in as an auxiliary deputy?
- 12 A I did not.
- 13 Q And why not?
- 14 A Because the night before I was supposed to fly down and go
- 15 to meet the sheriff, qualify with a handgun, and get sworn, I
- 16 was driving actually to my in-laws. I remember this very
- 17 distinctly. And Mr. Rychlik called me and said, we're all set
- 18 for tomorrow, there's just one thing I forgot to tell you. And
- 19 ||I said, what's that? He said, you have to bring a check for
- 20  $\parallel$ \$5,000. I said, for what? And he said, you have to make a
- 21 donation to the sheriff's re-election fund. I'm like, that's
- 22 | not going to happen. And I said, I thought, Kevin, that we're
- 23 getting this done because of all the support you continue to
- 24 still do for the air search and rescue stuff. And he said,
- 25 | yeah, well, that doesn't work anymore. If you don't -- if

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 54 of 318 Pageid#: 2675

Carr - Cross

you're not making a donation, you're not getting a badge. 1 2 I said, I'm not coming, just pull the plug, I'm not coming to 3 Virginia tomorrow. And I didn't go. And when we hung up the phone, I literally canceled. I had flight reservations to fly 4 5 into Dulles Airport, and I canceled them immediately. 6 And why did you push back on that \$5,000 requirement? 7 Because it felt like a quid pro quo, and I had no interest 8 in being any part of it. 9 And did you not go through with it? 10 I did not. 11 And have you spoken with Kevin Rychlik since? 12 No, I have not. 13 MS. SMITH: No further questions, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Any cross? 15 Just briefly, Your Honor. MR. CALEB: 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. CALEB: 17 18 Good morning, sir. 19 Good morning. 20 All right. Just a couple of things. You were under the 21 impression that you could be sworn as a Culpeper County 22 auxiliary because Kevin Rychlik led you to believe that, 23 correct? 24 That's correct.

And during your interactions in 2022 with Kevin Rychlik

Carr - Cross 1 about becoming an auxiliary deputy in Culpeper County, you 2 didn't speak to Mr. Jenkins; is that also correct? 3 I had never spoken to him, sir. 4 Never spoken to him, never met him? 5 I have not. Never met him. 6 Never got any communication from him regarding that \$5,000 7 that Rychlik told you you had to pay? That's correct. 8 9 And in fact -- well, moving on, you also said that at one point you were a deputy auxiliary, and that was in Chesapeake? 11 Yes. 12 And Chesapeake is in Virginia? 13 Yes, it is. 14 And at the same time you were a deputy auxiliary in 15 Chesapeake, Virginia, you were living in New Jersey, correct? 16 That's correct. 17 And you were able to be a deputy in -- an auxiliary deputy 18 in Chesapeake, even though you lived in Virginia, correct? 19 No --20 I'm sorry, in New Jersey, correct? 21 In New Jersey, correct. 22 MR. CALEB: That's all I have. Thank you. 23 THE COURT: Any redirect? 24 MS. SMITH: No, Your Honor.

May Mr. Carr be excused?

25

THE COURT:

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 56 of 318 Pageid#: 2677

Miesle - Direct

1 MS. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Carr, thank you very much. Please do 3 not discuss your testimony as long as the case is pending. Have a nice day and thank you for being here. 4 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Choy, call your next 7 witness, please. 8 MS. CHOY: The government calls Dawn Miesle. 9 THE COURT: Dawn Miesle. 10 Mr. Miesle, come on up and be sworn if you would, 11 please, sir. 12 DAWN MIESLE, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT, SWORN 13 THE COURT: Step up over here. As you sit down, 14 Mr. Miesle, slide yourself up to the microphone and then bring 15 the microphone to you so you can speak right into it. 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. CHOY: 17 18 Good morning, Mr. Miesle. 19 Good morning. 20 Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and spell 21 your last name for the court reporter? 22 Sure. My name is Dawn Miesle. I work at Apple. And my 23 last name is M-I-E-S-L-E. 24 Mr. Miesle, what is your educational background? 25 I have an undergraduate degree in international studies

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 57 of 318 Pageid#: 2678

Miesle - Direct

1 from Marietta College, and then I have a master's degree in 2 forensic sciences focused in high-tech crime investigations 3 from George Washington University.

And you mentioned you're employed at Apple?

Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

25

What's your position at Apple?

I am a technical security analyst at Apple.

How long have you held that position?

About nine years now, but I've been at Apple for over 16 years.

And what previous positions have you held at Apple?

A technical analyst and then a security -- I forget the title of it -- when I initially started, but essentially in charge of our evidence room.

And in your current position as a technical security analyst, what are your duties and responsibilities?

I work for our privacy and law enforcement Sure.

18 compliance group. And I have several responsibilities, primarily receiving, reviewing, and responding to law

20 enforcement requests, subpoenas, search warrants. I also do

21 training, both internal and external. So I train our team on

22 new technologies and new services that Apple produces, and then

23 I also train outside parties as well and how they can request

24 and get data from Apple.

As part of your employment at Apple, have you become

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 58 of 318 Pageid#: 2679

Miesle - Direct

1 | familiar with Apple's iMessage system?

A Yes.

Q And have you personally worked on the testing of Apple's iMessage capabilities?

A I haven't worked on testing of it, but I've run tests and pulled logs to see what kind of activity happens when you send an iMessage and receive iMessages.

Q I see. What is an iMessage?

A An iMessage is basically just a digital message that you can send, similar to a text message, or in the old days, the AOL Instant Messenger. You name it. It's basically a message you can send from one person to many people. And that could be both text -- it also could be pictures, videos, voice recordings, any number of things.

Q So what I'd like to ask you to do is walk through what happens when an iPhone user attempts to send a message to someone. So what would be the first thing that your iPhone would do if you try to put in someone's number and send a text to someone?

A Sure. So the Messages apps on the iPhone and iOS devices and also on Mac iOS devices -- so it's sort of across all of our products -- the Messages app will reach out to our IDS system, which is basically internet directory system -- to see if the person you're trying to send the message to also is an Apple user who has selected to use iMessage.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 59 of 318 Pageid#: 2680

Miesle - Direct

- 1 Q So let me pause you there.
- 2 A Sure.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$  So you mentioned the IDS system?
- 4 A Yeah.
- 5 Q Can you say, once again, what that was, because it went by
- 6 a little fast?
- 7 A Oh, sorry.
- 8 Q No problem.
- 9 A It's a internet domain services, or directory services,
- 10 depending on which engineering team you talk to, but it's
- 11 dessentially the same thing. It's like a -- the easy way to
- 12 think about it is a phone book of everybody who's registered to
- 13 use that service.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q Okay. So when the iPhone user goes to send a message, I
- 15 ∥think you said the first thing the phone does is it reaches out
- 16 to IDS?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Is that right?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And the purpose of that reach-out was what? Just tell us
- 21 lone more time.
- 22 A Sure. To check to see if the person or people that you're
- 23 trying to send the message to also use iMessage. So if you're
- 24 using iMessage and trying to send a message to somebody else,
- 25 lit's going to go to this IDS system and say, hey, is this

Miesle - Direct

```
person also an iMessage user.
```

- Q And does --
- A Sure.

1

2

- 4  $\mathbb Q$  -- and does the IDS system then send a message back to the
- 5 cell phone?
- 6 A Yes. So essentially what it does, it says, yes, this is
- 7 an iMessage user, and it will then also guery the system to get
- 8 encryption keys in order to facilitate sending messages back
- 9 and forth between the sender and recipient.
- 10 Q So if it turns out that the recipient is also an iMessage
- 11 user, then how will the sender's iPhone send the message to the
- 12 | recipient?
- 13 A Sure. So if they're an iMessage -- if both users are
- 14 | iMessage users, they'll get the encryption keys through the IDS
- 15 query, and then it will generate a message and send it over our
- 16 Apple push notification system.
- 17  $\mathbb{Q}$  What is that?
- 18 A So it's another thing that facilitates communication. So
- 19 essentially what it does is it's like the postman who, like,
- 20 I takes a message, brings it somewhere, and makes sure it gets
- 21 delivered. It's not just iMessage that uses that service. So
- 22 | it's any time there's a notification, someone rings your
- 23 doorbell, Door Dash is on its way delivering your food, you
- 24 name it. Any of -- if any app is using the push notification
- 25 | system, those messages all flow through what we call the APNS,

Miesle - Direct

1 which is just an acronym for push notification. 2 And if the IDS server tells the sender's iPhone, no, the 3 recipient is not an iMessage user, will the message get sent in 4 a different way? 5 Yes. So if they are not -- if both users are not using iMessage, the message will then be sent via the carrier, the 6 7 cell phone carrier, internet carrier, whoever it happens to be 8 that is that contact. 9 And when the sender's iPhone receives that information about the recipient that is, for example, an iMessage user, 10 11 does it cache or save that information? 12 Yes, it saves it for about eight hours. There are a 13 couple of variables there, but sort of -- within eight hours 14 it's not going to check again, but after that eight hours expired, it's then going to again re-query the IDS server when 15 16 you go to send your next message after that time has expired. 17 So if the sender's iPhone has cached or saved the 18 information about the recipient, then it doesn't need to query 19 the IDS server; is that right? 20 Yes, up until eight hours. So basically when it gets --21 when it gets confirmation that the recipient is also using 22 iMessage, and it does the encryption key exchange, it will then 23 store that and basically say, hey, the last time I checked was 24 this time. And every time you go to send that message again,

it locally will check, is this still a good encryption key, is

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 62 of 318 Pageid#: 2683

Miesle - Direct

this still a good contact. And then after eight hours, it's 2 going to go, oh, it's been too long, I need to check again. 3 And so based on what you've said, is it fair to say that 4 if the sender's iPhone has not sent a message to the 5 recipient's iPhone within the previous eight hours, then the 6 sender's iPhone will necessarily have to query that IDS server 7 before sending a message? 8 Yes. 9 And is that true regardless of whether the message 10 ultimately gets sent as either an iMessage or another type of message like an SMS? 11 12 So it doesn't matter. It can actually query the IDS 13 system and never send a message. There's a lot of background 14 stuff that it's trying to do proactively for you. But yeah, you could definitely set up that query and then never send a 15 16 message, or send it like a day later. But when you send it a 17 day later, again, it's going to have to do another query of 18 that system. 19 This IDS, this phone book, is it located on servers? 20 Yeah, it's located on servers throughout the country and 21 throughout the world in order to facilitate that, yeah. 22 In the course of your employment at Apple, have you become 23 familiar with where those servers are located? 24 Yes.

Are any of those IDS servers located within the

```
Miesle - Direct
1
   Commonwealth of Virginia?
2
        No.
 3
        Has Apple ever had an IDS server, during the course of
   your employment, that was located within the Commonwealth of
 4
5
   Virginia?
 6
        No.
 7
             MS. CHOY:
                        Thank you.
8
             THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
9
             Any cross-examination?
10
             MR. CALEB:
                         May I have the Court's indulgence?
11
             THE COURT:
                          Yes, sir.
12
              (Pause.)
13
             MR. CALEB: Your Honor, we don't have any questions.
                          All right. Very well.
14
             THE COURT:
15
             May this witness be excused?
16
             MS. CHOY: Yes, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Mr. Miesle, thank you very much for being
18
   here today. Please do not discuss your testimony as long as
19
   the case is pending. Safe travels back to your home.
20
             THE WITNESS: Thank you.
21
             THE COURT: All right. Who is the government's next
22
   witness?
23
             MS. CHOY: Special Agent Scott Medearis.
24
             THE COURT: That's going to take a little bit of
25
   time, I would imagine; is that right?
```

Miesle - Direct

So ladies and gentlemen, why don't we take our 1 2 mid-morning break a little bit early this morning and we'll 3 break until 10:30. I again remind you, please do not conduct any 4 5 independent research, discuss the case among yourselves, or begin to form any thoughts about the case until after 6 7 everything is completed. 8 I'll release the jury. (Jury out, 10:16 a.m.) 9 10 THE COURT: So we'll have Mr. Medearis -- or Special 11 Agent Medearis. Is that likely to conclude the government's 12 case? 13 MS. CHOY: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. All right. So that will take us 14 15 up to close to around lunch. 16 What does the government anticipate, or do you know 17 yet? 18 MR. ANDONIAN: The defense? 19 THE COURT: Excuse me. 20 MR. ANDONIAN: We anticipate calling Mr. Jenkins I 21 guess after lunch. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I'll have just the 23 standard remind him of his right to remain silent and so forth. 24 Okay. All right. Well, let's stand in recess until 25 10:30. Thank you.

#### Medearis - Direct

1 (Recess.) 2 THE COURT: We're back on the record in United States 3 v. Jenkins. Let the record reflect the government is present by its counsel. The defendant likewise is present along with 4 5 the benefit of counsel. 6 Before we bring the jury in, anything we need to 7 address from the government's perspective? 8 MS. PENG: Not from the government. 9 THE COURT: Defendant? 10 MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. Let's go ahead and bring the 12 jury in, please. (Jury in, 10:33 a.m.) 13 14 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, please have a seat. 15 All right. Ms. Peng, call your next witness, please. 16 MS. PENG: The United States calls Special Agent 17 Scott Medearis. 18 THE COURT: All right. Special Agent Medearis, come 19 on up and be sworn, please, sir. 20 SCOTT MEDEARIS, CALLED BY THE GOVERNMENT, SWORN 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 BY MS. PENG: 23 Agent Medearis, would you please introduce yourself to the 24 jury and spell your last name? 25 Good morning. My name is Scott Medearis, M-E-D-E-A-R-I-S.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 66 of 318 Pageid#: 2687

Medearis - Direct

- 1 Q How are you currently employed?
- 2  $\blacksquare$ A I'm a special agent with the FBI.
- 3 Q How long have you been a special agent with the FBI?
  - A Almost 16 years.
  - Q What kind of training do you receive prior to becoming a special agent?
- 7 A We attend the FBI academy. It's approximately 20 to 21
- 8 weeks at the academy. We go over basic legal principles,
- 9 firearms training, defensive tactics, investigative techniques.
- 10 Q Did you say that was a 20 to 21-week training?
- 11 A Yes.

4

5

- 12 Q Do you also receive ongoing training?
- 13 A We do. We have regular training throughout our career,
- 14 ||legal training specific to certain types of investigations.
- 15 Q And in your 16 years, can you just briefly describe for us
- 16 what kinds of cases have you worked on?
- 17 A Primarily worked on white collar fraud cases and public
- 18 corruption cases. I did two years detail to the Drug
- 19 | Enforcement Administration investigating dirty doctors and
- 20 ||criminal enterprises that would press counterfeit pills.
- 21 Q Have you also worked on cases involving bribery?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 ||Q Approximately how many of those would you say you've
- 24 helped or worked on?
- 25 A At least ten.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 67 of 318 Pageid#: 2688

Medearis - Direct 1 And how many cases overall would you say you've worked on? 2 In some capacity, well over 100. 3 Now, you're the case agent into the bribery investigation of Scott Jenkins? 4 5 Yes. 6 Can you tell the jury what a case agent is? 7 A case agent is -- in this case, we have two co-case 8 agents, but we are the ones that are making decisions about 9 which way the investigation will go, what techniques we'll use, what witnesses we'll interview, kind of overall bringing the 10 11 case on behalf of the Bureau, I guess. 12 So is it fair to say that you're the agent who is 13 primarily responsible for gathering the evidence in this case? 14 Yes. 15 And you described this a little bit already, but 16 generally, what are some of the responsibilities a case agent 17 has on an investigation? 18 Lots of different things, from writing reports of 19 interviews, conducting surveillance, drafting affidavits, 20 meeting with and operating with sources. 21 Q Things of that nature? 22 Yes. 23 Now, I want to direct your attention to this current 24 investigation -- or this investigation.

How did it first come to the attention of the FBI that

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 68 of 318 Pageid#: 2689

Medearis - Direct

1 Scott Jenkins was involved in a possible bribery scheme? 2 In approximately late summer of 2021, Mr. Rychlik brought 3 information to the FBI regarding the scheme with Mr. Jenkins. How did Kevin Rychlik come to the attention of the FBI? 4 5 He was under investigation for tax fraud, and as part of meeting with the government, he brought the information forward 6 7 at subsequent meetings that were set up with FBI agents in 8 Washington. 9 In those initial meetings with Kevin Rychlik after he came forward, what did he report about what was going on with Scott 10 11 Jenkins? 12 He identified a scheme by which Mr. Jenkins was accepting 13 payments in exchange for deputizing people as auxiliary deputies. 14 And prior to Kevin Rychlik coming forward with that 15 16 information, did the FBI know about the bribery scheme? 17 No. Α 18 And just generally speaking, does the FBI receive lots of 19 information from people reporting possible crimes? 20 Α Yes. 21 And so when the FBI receives a report like that, how does 22 it generally determine which reports are worthy of further 23 follow-up? 24 Well, we conduct initial investigation to see if we can

substantiate the allegations that were made.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 69 of 318 Pageid#: 2690

Medearis - Direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And in terms of the actions taken once Kevin Rychlik reported that information, what sorts of general steps were taken to try to corroborate his report? We reviewed campaign finance records to see if the individuals he identified as having made payments to Mr. Jenkins did, in fact, make payments. We reviewed NCIC logs to see if the individuals who were identified by Mr. Rychlik had been queried by the Culpeper County sheriff's office, meaning had a background check been run on them. We reviewed financial records to see if we could corroborate some of the allegations of the financial transactions Mr. Rychlik brought forward. We reviewed toll records from phone companies to establish that Mr. Rychlik and Mr. Jenkins had been, in fact, in contact. And we ultimately got search warrants to independently verify the text messages that Mr. Rychlik had provided us, showing the ongoing communications regarding their scheme. So is it fair to say that you obtained a whole bunch of records from different places in order to try to corroborate Kevin Rychlik's initial account? Α Yes. And so we looked at some of these records already in the trial, and we're going to go through some more of them, but can you tell me a little bit more about what types of search warrants you were able to obtain?

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 70 of 318 Pageid#: 2691

Medearis - Direct

1 We obtained search warrants for iCloud and e-mail accounts 2 to review text messages and e-mails. We obtained search 3 warrants for historical location information to see where certain devices were at certain times. We obtained search 4 5 warrants for physical devices that we later seized from Mr. Jenkins. There may be others. Those are the ones I'm 6 7 remembering off the top of my head. 8 We've seen in this trial some of the text messages that 9 were the results of those search warrants? 10 Yes. 11 At some point, did Kevin Rychlik become an active 12 cooperator with the FBI? 13 Yes. Α 14 What does it mean to be an active cooperator? 15 The distinction active being he was taking -- carrying out 16 assignments or tasks that we provide to him, as opposed to just 17 giving us information. We are proactively tasking him to 18 conduct investigation. 19 So an active cooperator gathers new evidence as opposed to 20 providing information about things that have already happened? 21 MR. CALEB: Objection to the leading, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Let's rephrase. 23 BY MS. PENG: 24 What steps, if any, does the FBI take to monitor active 25 cooperators?

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 71 of 318 Pageid#: 2692

Medearis - Direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We -- so for in-person meetings, we will routinely meet with the cooperator ahead of time, provide them the recording devices, turn them on in our presence, provide a preamble so we know it was on. They go in and do their activity, and then when the activity is done, we meet with them afterwards and collect the devices so that we know they were active and they weren't turned off or anything like that while the meeting was happening. We surveil the meeting so we know that they actually took the tasks they were tasked to do. We have -- in this case, we have location data to know where he was during the meetings, so everything kind of corroborated each other. And we reviewed phone records after the fact to ensure that when he said he was making a phone call he was, in fact, making a phone call, or we weren't missing phone calls that he wasn't recording. So we do what we can to corroborate that he's following the instructions. Do you also write reports of contacts with him? Absolutely. We document every contact, whether it's substantive where he's providing information, or reporting about a meeting, or if it's even just simply logistical, we'll meet you tomorrow, without any substance, we document all that. And does the FBI provide directions to active cooperators as to what they should do? Yes. Cooperators are admonished -- at the time they're, quote, signed up, so to speak, we provide them admonishments

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 72 of 318 Pageid#: 2693

Medearis - Direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about what they can and cannot do. And then when they are tasked to specifically engage with subjects, we give them directions on how to communicate and how to use the devices and topics to engage on with the subject. So you mentioned a word admonishments. What specifically are the admonishments that the FBI gives to active cooperators? There are many, depending on the types of cooperation. There's a few basic ones which are that their cooperation will be voluntary, that they must be truthful when they're providing information to us, and that they cannot go take separate independent action as a government agent. So just because you're working with us doesn't mean you can go out and conduct your own investigation on something else. And then there are specific additional admonishments when the source is tasked to take other actions. How often are those admonishments given? The admonishments are given at the time we start working with them. They're given annually thereafter, and then they're given at the -- if the source is engaged in additional -- we call it otherwise illegal activity, so if we are giving them authorization to facilitate a bribe payment, we admonish them about what can be done in that and specific rules surrounding that. Now, in your 16 years as an FBI agent, have you handled many informants or sources?

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 73 of 318 Pageid#: 2694

### Medearis - Direct

1 A Yes.

Q Including active cooperators?

mistakes or not follow instructions.

A Yes.

Q And in your experience, do they always follow the

5 instructions of the FBI?

A No.

Q Why not?

A Generally the best sources are criminals. That's what makes them good sources. They have access to criminals and the networks that criminals operate. If I were to go as an FBI agent and approach a subject of an investigation, not only would it then be overt, I'd have a hard time penetrating the network. So by the very nature, the best sources are criminal. Sometimes you know, by their nature, they will sometimes make

- Q Can you tell us a little bit more about why you say the best sources are criminals themselves?
- A Criminal subjects and the inner circles of their networks will take steps to protect themselves to avoid detection by law enforcement. They kind of keep a close trusted circle. And as an FBI agent, that's one of the most difficult things is to access them or their network. When somebody is a criminal, they can kind of show a little street cred and say, hey, you can trust me, I'm a criminal too, I won't rat you out -- or if they're part of the network itself, they're obviously already

engaged in that activity, so they're trusted by those criminals.

- Q So do criminals have access to information that law enforcement otherwise would not have access to?
- A Generally, yes.

3

4

5

6

7

16

17

- Q Now, you talked about this already a little bit, but what specific steps did the FBI take to monitor Kevin Rychlik?
- 8 So as I mentioned, we surveilled the in-person meetings 9 when he met with Mr. Jenkins and some other people. We would 10 cross reference phone records so that we would know if there 11 was calls showing up in his phone records that weren't being 12 recorded, we would know that. We had location information 13 about where the devices he was using were located so we could know if he didn't go to the meeting location. 14 obviously all the meetings were recorded so we could hear what 15

was happening, and whether or not, you know, he was being

truthful about what was happening during those meetings.

- 18 Q Was Kevin Rychlik provided a phone by the FBI?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q What capabilities did that phone have?
- 21 A The phone had software on it that could record -- record 22 audio and visual. It could broadcast real time, and also had 23 location information so we could track where it was.
- Q And so we've seen some of the evidence from the phone and from the recording devices that Mr. Rychlik was wearing in this

Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 75 of 318 Pageid#: 2696

#### Medearis - Direct

1 trial?

2 A Yes.

3  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  Now, in addition to the active cooperation that

4 Mr. Rychlik did, did he also provide historic information about

bribe payers dating back to 2015?

A Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

Q Generally speaking, what information did he provide about those bribe payers dating back to 2015?

A He provided their identity so we knew who they were, and he provided text messages surrounding the topics of their payment and being sworn in.

Q Did you take steps to try to corroborate that information?

A Yes.

14 Q What did you do?

A As I mentioned, we reviewed Mr. Jenkins's campaign finance

reports going back to 2015, which corroborated that the

17 | individuals Mr. Rychlik had identified had, in fact, made

18 contributions. We reviewed, like I said, the NCIC query logs

19 to see if criminal histories had been pulled for these

20 | individuals by the Culpeper County sheriff's office. We

21 | reviewed financial records to attempt to corroborate some of

22 I the transactions Mr. Rychlik had referenced. And then we

23 looked at toll records to establish the communication between

24 Mr. Rychlik and Mr. Jenkins. And then we independently

25 | verified the legitimacy of the text messages that Mr. Rychlik

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 76 of 318 Pageid#: 2697

Medearis - Direct

```
had provided himself, to make sure he hadn't omitted text
 2
   messages, to make sure that he couldn't somehow make them up or
 3
   fabricate them, make them look just like iMessages. We went to
   Apple to actually get the underlying text messages.
 4
 5
        So I just want to be clear. He provided -- Rychlik
   provided information about bribe payments prior to him becoming
 6
 7
   an active cooperator with the FBI?
 8
        Yes.
        And based on the information you gathered, did you prepare
 9
   summary charts in order to summarize the information relevant
10
11
   to this investigation that you've prepared for the trial?
12
        Yes.
13
        And do those summary charts accurately reflect information
   that's voluminous in nature?
14
15
        Yes.
16
        Did you prepare a summary chart regarding contributions to
17
   Scott Jenkins for Sheriff for the period January 2015 to August
   2019?
18
19
        Yes.
20
              MS. PENG: If I could have use of the device, please?
   BY MS. PENG:
21
22
        And is -- I'm showing you what's been marked as Government
23
   Exhibit 801.
24
         Do you recognize what this is?
```

25 A Yes, I do.

```
1
        What is it?
 2
        This is a chart that I created that reflects information
 3
   about certain individuals Mr. Rychlik identified as having made
   payments to Mr. Jenkins in exchange for being deputized as an
 4
 5
   auxiliary deputy.
 6
              MS. PENG: Move to admit and publish Government
 7
   Exhibit 801.
 8
              THE COURT:
                         801? You said 801?
 9
              MS. PENG:
                         Yes.
10
              THE COURT:
                          Any objection?
11
                          No additional objection, Your Honor.
              MR. CALEB:
12
              THE COURT:
                         All right. It may be admitted and
13
   published to the jury.
14
              (Government Exhibit 801 marked and admitted.)
    BY MS. PENG:
15
16
        Agent Medearis, can you just walk us through what this
17
   summary chart is depicting?
18
        So this is summarizing certain information about the
19
   individuals -- some of the individuals Mr. Rychlik identified.
20
   It covers the time period of January '15 through August '19.
21
   The left -- furthest left column, date of contribution, is the
22
   date the contribution as reported by Scott Jenkins For Sheriff,
23
   the second column is the amount of that contribution, third is
24
   the contributor, fourth is whether or not that individual
25
   listed as the contributor was sworn as an auxiliary deputy with
```

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 78 of 318 Pageid#: 2699

- 1 Culpeper County, and then the last column is whether or not
- 2 that individual was a resident of Culpeper County.
- 3 Q So looking at the contributor column, were these names
- 4 | identified by Kevin Rychlik?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6  $\parallel$ Q Now, and then looking at the amount of contribution, is
- 7 this -- are these the amounts taken from campaign finance
- 8 reports?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q I'm just going to show you an example of that. These next
- 11 exhibits have already been admitted.
- 12 So is this an example of the campaign finance report that
- 13 you derived the information on that chart?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15  $\mathbb{Q}$  And what period is this for?
- 16  $\parallel$ A It's for the period ending in March 31, 2015. It doesn't
- 17 ∥specify a start, but I believe it's from January 1, 2015
- 18 | through March 31, 2015.
- 19 Q And this is the information that we see reflected in the
- 20 ||chart?
- 21 **|** A Yes.
- 22 Q And this as well?
- 23 **|** A Yes.
- 24  $\mathbb{Q}$  And so the numbers we see on that chart came from these
- 25 campaign finance reports?

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 79 of 318 Pageid#: 2700

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q All right. So let me direct you to the checkmark under
- 3 auxiliary deputy column. What is this checkmark representing?
- 4 A It represents that these -- that the individuals listed
- 5 there were in fact sworn in as auxiliary deputies in Culpeper
- 6 County.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  How did you determine that they were sworn in as
- 8 Wauxiliaries?
- 9 A We obtained their oaths from the clerk of court.
- 10 Q And how about the last column, Culpeper resident?
- 11 A That just shows whether or not they are residents of
- 12 Culpeper County.
- 13 Q And how did you determine whether they were residents or
- 14 | not?
- 15  $\blacksquare$ A I interviewed all of them at their residence.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q Now, did you also conduct an investigation into Scott
- 17 Jenkins's financial records?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 Q Can you tell me what records you obtained in order to
- 20 | conduct that financial investigation?
- 21  $\blacksquare$ A We obtained records for Mr. Jenkins's personal accounts,
- 22 his credit cards, mortgages. We obtained records for his
- 23 brother, some of his family members' accounts. We obtained
- 24 records for the sheriff's campaign accounts. There may be a
- 25 | couple other ones, but those are the main ones.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 80 of 318 Pageid#: 2701

- 1 | O How many credit cards does Scott Jenkins have?
- 2 A I'm aware of three that he had at the time.
- 3 Q How many bank accounts did he have in his personal name?
- 4 A I'm aware of two, one checking and one savings account.
- 5 Q Was that a joint bank account?
- 6 A The checking account was joint with his wife. There was a
- 7 savings account that I believe was in his own name only, but I
- 8 don't recall specifically.
- 9 Q Did you also obtain records for his campaign account?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 O And that's in the name of Scott Jenkins For Sheriff?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And you mentioned you obtained records for mortgages?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15 Q Can you explain what those were?
- 16 A There was Mr. Jenkins's refinance -- or got mortgages in I
- 17 believe it was December of 2019 and December 2020, or close to
- 18 there.
- 19  $\|Q\|$  As a general matter, what is the purpose of conducting a
- 20 | financial investigation in a case such as this?
- 21 A Generally, we're trying to understand where the money
- 22 went.
- 23 Q Now, did you also prepare a summary chart summarizing the
- 24 voluminous financial documents you obtained?
- 25 A Parts of it, yes.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 81 of 318 Pageid#: 2702

```
1
        And did you prepare a summary chart that's now marked as
 2
   Government Exhibit 804?
 3
        Yes.
 4
             MS. PENG: Your Honor, I would move to admit and
 5
   publish 804 at this time.
 6
              THE COURT: Any objection?
 7
             MR. CALEB:
                         No objection.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. So admitted and may be
 9
   published to the jury.
10
              (Government Exhibit 804 marked and admitted.)
11
    BY MS. PENG:
12
        Agent Medearis, could you tell us what we're looking at
13
   here in this chart?
14
        So this is a chart summarizing some of Mr. Jenkins's
   accounts around September of 2019. On the left half, under the
15
16
   heading account balances, AUB, which stand for Atlantic Union
17
   Bank, account ending in 2712. In the light yellow is the
18
   statement date. So that's the date that the account's monthly
19
   statement was cut each month. The dark yellow is the average
20
   balance listed on that statement. So on August 11th, the
21
   statement was cut and the average balance in the account for
22
   the preceding 30-ish days was $3,886.39. The dark gray column
23
   is the lowest balance on that statement. So going through the
24
   30 days that were included on the August 11th statement, the
25
   lowest balance during that time was $199.89, and the date of
```

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 82 of 318 Pageid#: 2703

Medearis - Direct

1  $\blacksquare$ that lowest balance was July 29, 2019.

2  $\mathbb{Q}$  So before you go on, so on the left-hand side where it

says account balance, AUB 2712, what are these balances

reflecting?

3

4

5

6

7

8

A Balances in that account.

Q So in his checking account?

A Yes.

Q And so the statement date obviously is the date of the

9 statement?

10 A Correct.

11 Q And tell us what -- why does it have an average balance

12 here?

13

14

16

17

18

21

24

A That's calculated and presented on the bank statement,

igust kind of generally shows average balances in the account,

15 the average assets that Mr. Jenkins had in that account.

Q Okay. You can continue. What about the right-hand side?

A So this is the approximate credit card balances. The

three right columns, VACU is Virginia Credit Union, BOA is Bank

19 of America, and Cap One is Capital One. And then the dark red

20 | is the total of those three cards. And then the numbers listed

below each is the balance on that card at approximately the

22  $\parallel$  date on the statement date. When I say approximately, because

23  $\parallel$ the credit card statements don't match up with the bank

statement dates. So I had to go in and try and calculate --

25 | you know, if the statement was cut say August 1st instead of

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 83 of 318 Pageid#: 2704

Medearis - Direct

1 August 11th, I'd have to go in and calculate additional 2 purchases to get the exact balance on that date. 3 So you basically made adjustments to account for -- to 4 account for the same time period as the bank account statement 5 and the credit card statements? 6 Correct. 7 So on the right, you have the three credit cards you identified Scott Jenkins had? 8 9 Yes. 10 And the total amount in the dark red, those are the 11 balances being carried on those credit cards? 12 Yes. 13 As in he owed that much on the total credit cards? Correct. 14 15 And now just generally speaking, what is the state of 16 Scott Jenkins's personal finances around September of 2019? 17 So kind of coming into August, September, October, you can 18 see his lowest balance is towards the end of the month. 19 getting close to living paycheck to paycheck. So you can see 20 the balance is going close to 0 there at the end of the month. 21 Simultaneously, he has growing credit card balances. So I'd 22 say the state of affairs is he's living beyond his means --23 MR. CALEB: Objection. 24 THE COURT: Sustained. Calls for an opinion. 25 BY MS. PENG:

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 84 of 318 Pageid#: 2705

Medearis - Direct

1 Based on what you know about the investigation, what else 2 was happening around September/October of 2019? 3 Mr. Rahim had made payments on July 31st, and I believe the second was around September 18 or 19. And you can see the 4 5 average balances in Mr. Jenkins's checking account 2712 increase significantly shortly after Mr. Rahim made his 6 7 payments, and then simultaneously you can see Mr. Jenkins's 8 credit cards going down significantly about the same time. 9 And again, these are Mr. Jenkins's personal credit cards? 10 Correct. 11 And his personal bank account? 12 Correct. 13 And now, can you explain to us what is being shown now in 14 terms of the summary in this exhibit? 15 This is just to kind of widen the angle a little bit and 16 show records going from January 18 to September 22, across that 17 entire period, the average balance during that entire time on 18 his credit cards was \$33,000 owed, and the average balance in 19 his bank account was approximately \$13,000 over that time 20 period. His annual net salary was approximately \$84,000. 21 How did you determine what his salary was? 22 I added all of the direct deposits into his bank account 23 from Culpeper County and divided it by that time frame. 24 All right. Before -- I just want to walk through one

entry here. So if you look at January 2020, can you just walk

25

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 85 of 318 Pageid#: 2706

### Medearis - Direct

- 1 us through what the figures are showing in terms of the balance
- 2 he had in his bank account and the credit card statements?
- 3  $\blacksquare$ A So the average balance for the 30 days leading up to
- 4 January 12 was \$3,103.89, and the amount he owed on his credit
- 5 cards collectively was a little over \$38,000.
- 6 Q Now, I want to talk to you a little bit about Rick Rahim.
- 7 How did Rick Rahim first come to the attention of the FBI?
  - A He was identified in this investigation by Mr. Rychlik.
  - Q Based on the investigation, what did Rick Rahim give to
- 10 Scott Jenkins in terms of monetary value?
- 11 A There were two payments of cash, one for \$15,000, one for
- 12  $\parallel$ \$10,000, and then there was a loan of approximately \$35,000,
- 13 and then he also provided -- we call it in-kind contributions
- 14 to Mr. Jenkins's campaign.
- 15 Q Based on the investigation, what did Rick Rahim get in
- 16 | return from Scott Jenkins?
- 17  $\blacksquare$ A He received his restoration of firearms rights. He
- 18 | received a concealed handgun permit. And then he was sworn in
- 19 as an auxiliary deputy.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q Did you obtain records, as you previously described,
- 21 ||summarizing the events with respect to Rick Rahim?
- 22 A Yes.

8

9

- 23 Q Is that what we're looking at here right now on Government
- 24 Exhibit 805?
- 25 A Some of them, yes.

#### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 86 of 318 Pageid#: 2707

```
Medearis - Direct
 1
             MS. PENG: Your Honor, I'm going to admit the exhibit
 2
    at the end, but just use this as a demonstrative for now, so if
 3
   it can be published to the jury.
             THE COURT: This is 805?
 4
 5
             MS. PENG: Yes, but it will -- the exhibit is --
 6
             THE COURT: It's going to grow?
 7
             MS. PENG: Yes.
 8
    BY MS. PENG:
        Okay. So looking at Rick Rahim, can you tell us what --
 9
10
             MS. PENG:
                        Oh, so it should be published.
11
             THE COURT: No objection to publishing it, Mr. Caleb?
12
             MR. CALEB: No objection.
13
             THE COURT:
                          It can be published to the jury.
14
   BY MS. PENG:
        Okay. Can you tell us what is being depicted in the first
15
16
   column, payment date?
17
        These are the dates of payments made by the individual in
18
   the name column. So in this case, these are the dates of Mr.
19
   Rick Rahim's payments to Mr. Jenkins.
20
        And the next column, NCIC, what is that referring to?
21
        That's referring to whether or not Mr. Rahim was queried
22
   in NCIC by the Culpeper County sheriff's office.
23
        What is NCIC?
24
        It's the National Crime Information Center.
                                                      It's a
25
   database of criminal information, stolen property, whether or
```

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 87 of 318 Pageid#: 2708

Medearis - Direct

not somebody has an outstanding warrant, criminal history. 2 There's many different components. It's accessed daily by 3 local, state, federal law enforcement agencies to check various records, criminal records. 4 5 And so when you say this -- in the chart it's showing whether NCIC was queried, what does that mean? 6 7 Every time an agency queries information in the NCIC 8 database -- so runs a criminal history, or checks to see if the 9 VIN on the car comes back as stolen -- there's a log made of 10 the agency that queries it, what information was queried, the 11 date and time stamp. I think that's it. 12 So did you obtain the NCIC query records for Rick Rahim? 13 Yes. 14 And that's what led to the summary chart information here? 15 Yes. He indicated he was queried by the Culpeper County 16 sheriff's office. 17 Okay. So I'm showing now what's been admitted as 18 Government Exhibit 745. 19 Do you recognize what this is? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q What is it? 22 This is a log indicating the queries that were made by 23 either the Culpeper County sheriff's office or the Culpeper 24 Commonwealth's Attorney's office for Rick Rahim between January

25

of '18 and October 2024.

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 88 of 318 Pageid#: 2709

Medearis - Direct

- 1 Q So this is the NCIC query records you were talking about?
- 2 A Yes.

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 Q So what can you tell us about when Rick Rahim's name was 4 first queried in NCIC?
- A He was first queried on July 31st, 2019 by the Culpeper County Sheriff's office at approximately 12:16 p.m. which is
- 8 Q What information would have been obtained through this 9 query by Culpeper County?

the same day that he first met Mr. Jenkins.

- 10 A It would -- any criminal history that existed would have 11 been returned.
- Q And based on what you know about this investigation, what can you tell us about these other queries that were done for Rick Rahim?
  - A They match up to other actions that were taken regarding Mr. Rahim. So the next one up on November 14th was the day he applied for his restoration of firearms rights, I believe. And you can see the query was conducted by the Commonwealth's Attorney's office. The kind of middle one there was April 24, which is within a couple days of Mr. Owens's memo to the file. Mr. Owens, the Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney that was --
  - shows that his office in fact queried Mr. Rahim that day. And then the August 18th, I believe is close in time to shortly

wrote the memo about questioning Mr. Rahim's residence, it

after he -- Mr. Rahim applied for his handgun -- handgun

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 89 of 318 Pageid#: 2710

- permit. And then the top two, May '21, are close in time to when he was sworn in as an auxiliary deputy.
- Q So each time one of these agencies queried Rick Rahim, would they have received information about his prior felony
- 5 | conviction?
- 6 A Yes.
- Q Did you also try to conduct an investigation or obtain records from DCJS with respect to Rick Rahim?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 0 What is DCJS?
- 11 A It's the Virginia Department of Criminal Justice Services.
- 12 Q Just generally speaking, what do they oversee?
- 13 A They oversee policy and training requirements for law enforcement officers throughout the Commonwealth.
- 15 Q Did you get any responsive documents or records returned 16 based on your request to DCJS for records pertaining to Rick
- 17 Rahim?
- 18 **A** No.
- 19 Q Did you receive any records back from DCJS regarding a 20 record of a waiver with respect to Rick Rahim?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q So going back to this chart, I want to direct you to the
- 23 next column, which is the Jenkins appointment order. What date
- 24 is that depicting?
- 25 A That is the date that is on the appointment order that's

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 90 of 318 Pageid#: 2711

### Medearis - Direct

- signed by Sheriff Jenkins and countersigned by the judge at the time.
- 3 Q So I'm showing you this exhibit which has already been

This date corresponds to the appointment order for

- 5 Rick Rahim signed by Scott Jenkins?

meeting, what is that date depicting?

6 A Yes.

4

8

- 7 Q And what about the first meeting date -- first Jenkins
- 9 A That is the date that the individual named, in this case,
- 10 Mr. Rahim, first met Mr. Jenkins.
- 11  $\|Q\|$  How did you determine the date to put in that column?
- 12 A Based on some of the historic text messages and testimony
- 13 from Mr. Rahim and Mr. Rychlik.
- 14  $\|Q\|$  And what about the oath date, what date is that?
- 15 A That's the date that Mr. Rahim swore his oath to become an auxiliary.
- 17 Q And you determined -- did you determine that date based on the oath and qualification records we've seen?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And so this is the date that an auxiliary actually signs
- 21  $\parallel$ the appointment -- or takes the oath in the clerk of office
- 22 [sic]?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q And then the payment amount here is \$25,000. How did you
- 25 determine that?

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 91 of 318 Pageid#: 2712

- A That is the sum of the two payments Mr. Rahim made, the \$15,000, the initial payment on July 31, and the \$10,000 at the dinner on September 19.
- 4 Q What about this last column, reported by SJFS, what is 5 that referring to?
- 6 A It's indicating whether or not the payments made by
- 7 Mr. Rahim were reported as campaign contributions or in-kind
- 8 contributions in the Scott Jenkins For Sheriff campaign finance
- 9 reports.
- 10 Q So you looked in the same campaign finance reports we've
- 11 previously looked at?
- 12 A Correct.
- 13 Q And what is -- for example, Government Exhibit 624, which
- 14 has already been admitted, covers the period of the Rahim
- 15 payments?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And did you determine whether Rick Rahim appeared on any
- 18 of the reported donations for that period?
- 19  $\|A\|$  He did not appear on any donations for any period.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q Does this cover also the Rick Rahim donation period?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And does his name appear on any of these reported
- 23 donations for the period?
- 24 A No.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q Okay. So looking at -- directing your attention back to

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 92 of 318 Pageid#: 2713

- 1 that first column, when was the date of the first payment,
- 2 based on what you know from the investigation, that Rick Rahim
- 3 provided to Scott Jenkins?
- 4 A July 31st, 2019.
- 5 Q Did you review financial records for Scott Jenkins after
- 6 | that date?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q I'm showing what's been admitted already as 304. What is
- 9 | it that we're looking at here?
- 10 A That is the first page of the bank statement for Atlantic
- 11 Union Bank account 2712 in the name of Patricia and Scott
- 12 Jenkins.
- 13 Q And what period does this cover?
- 14  $\blacksquare$ A It covers the 30 days leading up to August 11, 2019. So I
- 15 think it says July 11 to August 11, 2019.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And is this one of the statements from that bank
- 17 statement?
- 18  $\|A\|$  This is the next month's statement, same account.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q But it shows a record from the same account from these
- 20 dates?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q I'm going to direct your attention to this. What is this
- 23 entry here?
- 24  $\blacksquare$ A That is a \$915 deposit into the account that posted on
- 25 August 22, 2019.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 93 of 318 Pageid#: 2714

- Q And can you describe what we're looking at here in Government Exhibit 322, which has already been admitted?
- 3 A Those are the offset tickets for the deposit. So the bank
- 4 received in a \$15 check made payable to Patricia Jenkins and
- 5 then \$900 in cash.
- 6 Q What is it about this \$900 deposit that drew your
- 7 | attention?
- 8 A It was subsequent to the payment Mr. Rahim had made. It
- 9 was also the first cash deposit into the account I think since
- 10 October of 2018.
- 11 Q Since October of 2018?
- 12 A So almost a year prior.
- 13 Q And is this additional bank statements from that same bank
- 14 | account?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q What is it that we're looking at here?
- 17  $\parallel$ A That's a \$6,000 deposit made on September 9.
- 18  $\mathbb{Q}$  Why did this deposit draw your attention?
- 19 A Because it was made in cash.
- 20 Q And the amount?
- 21 A The amount was larger than Mr. Jenkins's monthly paycheck,
- 22 \$6,000.
- 23 Q And the date on this one is September 9th, 2019?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q What is it that we're looking at here in Government

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 94 of 318 Pageid#: 2715

Medearis - Direct Exhibit 322? 1 2 This is again the offset indicating that \$6,000 was 3 deposited in cash. 4 How can you tell that this was deposited in cash? 5 Because there is no check associated with the deposit. 6 And is this a continuation of the bank statement? 7 Yes. 8 What is it that we're looking at here on September 18th? 9 That is a \$5,000 payment made to Scott Jenkins's personal Bank of America credit card. 10 11 Why did this draw your attention? 12 Again, it was significant in size, and it was subsequent 13 to the cash deposit that was made nine days ago -- nine days prior. 14 15 Now, we've been talking about the July 31st, 2019 meeting 16 and those records. Did you prepare a summary chart summarizing 17 the records we just looked at? 18 Yes. 19 MS. PENG: I move to admit and publish 802. 20 THE COURT: 802? Any objection? 21 MR. CALEB: Court's indulgence, please. 22 MS. PENG: It's already stipulated to, I believe, 23 actually. It's already in evidence. So it can be published. 24 MR. CALEB: No objection.

Yeah, 802 is already in. It may be

25

THE COURT:

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 95 of 318 Pageid#: 2716

- 1 published to the jury.
- 2 BY MS. PENG:
- 3 Q Could you just walk us through again what the summary
- 4 | chart depicts?
- 5 A So this depicts certain transactions across certain bank
- 6 accounts. So on the left column is the date of the
- 7 transaction. The first column is Michael and Faith Jenkins's
- 8 | accounts. This is Mr. Jenkins's brother and sister-in-law.
- 9 The middle account is Mr. Jenkins's and his wife's account that
- 10 we've been reviewing. And the last column is the bank account
- 11 for Scott Jenkins For Sheriff.
- 12 Q Does this summarize the financial transactions we just
- 13 looked at from the bank accounts -- in the Scott Jenkins
- 14 | account?
- 15 A Yes.
- $16 \parallel \mathbb{Q}$  And is this noting what you summarized for us earlier
- 17 | regarding the frequency of cash deposits previously?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q So based on your investigation, when was the second
- 20 | meeting with Rick Rahim and Scott Jenkins?
- 21 A September 19th, 2019.
- 22 Q Are these the text messages confirming that that meeting
- 23 | occurred?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q It's already in evidence in Government Exhibit 124.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 96 of 318 Pageid#: 2717

### Medearis - Direct

- Now, did you do the same financial analysis regarding the Scott Jenkins bank account after September 19, 2019?
- 3 A Yes, I did.
- 4 Q This is the first page of those financial records?
- 5 A This is. This is Mr. Michael Jenkins and his wife's
- 6 account, so Mr. Jenkins's brother and sister-in-law's bank
- 7 account.

1

2

- 8 Q Why did you notice the financial transactions we're about
- 9 to discuss in the Michael Jenkins and Faith Jenkins account?
- 10 A Because the loan checks that Mr. Rahim gave to Mr. Jenkins
- 11 were not deposited in his account. They were deposited into
- 12 his brother's account.
- 13 Q Is this the deposit that you're talking about?
- 14 **A** Yes.
- 15 Q The \$35,000 loan?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17  $\mathbb{Q}$  And what about the next deposit here -- or rather the
- 18 | withdrawal?
- 19  $\blacksquare$ A That's a withdrawal of \$9,000, the same day of the \$35,000
- 20  $\parallel$ loan -- or the two 17,500 checks totaling \$35,000 were
- 21 deposited into Mr. Michael Jenkins's account. Shortly
- 22  $\parallel$ thereafter, there was a \$9,000 withdrawal in cash.
- 23 Q So what is it that we're looking at in Government Exhibit
- 24 314 and 323?
- 25 A These are -- so the top left is the deposit ticket showing

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 97 of 318 Pageid#: 2718

- a \$35,000 deposit, and then the two checks are what was 2 deposited. You see the one check on the right from BV Management, Mr. Rahim's business, and then the check on the left is from his partner, James Newberry, both for 17,500, made 5 out to Scott Jenkins. And then the endorsements on both checks show what is Scott Jenkins and what I believe to be Mike Jenkins's signature on them. 8 So BV Management, how is that associated with Rick Rahim? 9 He is the owner of that company. 10 And then James Newberry, what is his connection to Rick 11 Rahim with respect to this loan to Scott Jenkins? 12 He was a partner in the transaction that co-funded the 13 loan.
- 14  $\mathbb{Q}$  So the two of them together financed the \$35,000 loan?
- 15 A Correct.
- Q And this is the deposit slip showing that they were deposited into Mike Jenkins's account?
- 18 A Correct.
- 19 Q And you were talking about a cash out ticket. Can you 20 explain to us what we're looking at here?
- 21 A This is just a document to memorialize that \$9,000 was
  22 taken out from Michael Jenkins's bank -- the account just
  23 received the 35,000; \$9,000 cash was withdrawn shortly
  24 thereafter.
- 25 Q Did you prepare a summary chart summarizing the

# Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 98 of 318 Pageid#: 2719

- 1 transactions we just looked at?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Can you talk to us about what we're looking at here in
- 4 this first few column -- rows?
- 5 A So again, the left column is the date. It shows the date,
- 6 and in this case, the time. So on September 20th, 2019 at 3:16
- 7 p.m. the two checks we just saw totaling \$35,000 were deposited
- 8 | into Michael Jenkins's bank account. And then two minutes
- 9 later, the same account, same day, \$9,000 cash was withdrawn.
- 10 Q Now, I'm going to -- now, are we looking back at the
- 11 Jenkins bank account, Scott Jenkins?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And what date period are we looking at in this particular
- 14 | statement?
- 15 A This is covering September 19 to September 23.
- 16 Q What is it that's being highlighted?
- 17  $\parallel$ A That is a \$7,200 cash deposit into Mr. Jenkins's personal
- 18 bank account on September 20th.
- 19 Q And how about here?
- 20 A That's another cash deposit a few days later on the 23rd,
- 21 \$7,600.
- 22  $\mathbb{Q}$  Why did these deposits draw your attention?
- 23 A Again, because they were cash and because of their size.
- 24  $\|Q$  Is this a continuation of the bank statements?
- 25 A Correct.

### Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH Document 276 Filed 12/19/24 Page 99 of 318 Pageid#: 2720

- 1 Q Can you describe what is depicted on September 24th here?
- 2 A That is a \$7,600 payment to Mr. Jenkins's Bank of America
- 3 credit card.
- 4 Q That's his personal credit card?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What about this transaction?
- 7 A That's a \$7,200 payment to Virginia Credit Union credit
- 8 | card that's in both I believe Patricia and Scott Jenkins's
- 9 name.
- 10 Q And how about on September 26?
- 11 A That is a \$12,000 deposit.
- 12 Q What is it that we're looking at here on Government
- 13 Exhibit 303 and 324?
- 14 A These are checks written from Michael Jenkins's bank
- 15  $\parallel$ account. The top one is a check to Scott Jenkins dated --
- 16  $\parallel$ September 24 in the amount of \$9,000. The bottom one is dated
- 17 September 23rd in the amount of \$12,000. And that one is made
- 18 Out to Patricia Jenkins.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q So these are checks made out of the Michael Jenkins
- 20 account to Patricia and Scott Jenkins?
- 21 | A Correct.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q And this is after the \$35,000 loan that was deposited into
- 23 the Michael Jenkins account?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  And what about this on the right here?

- 1 A That indicates that the check written to Scott Jenkins of
- 2 \$9,000 was cashed and not deposited. I was going to say the
- 3  $\blacksquare$  date I think was -- on there was September 26.
- 4 **|**Q Are we back in the Scott Jenkins bank account?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What is happening here?
- 7  $\blacksquare$ A That is a \$5,000 deposit on September 27.
- 8 Q And can you describe for us what this entry is on
- 9 September 30th?
- 10 A That is just an example of one of the direct deposits
- 11 ||into -- of Mr. Jenkins's salary into this bank account.
- 12  $\mathbb{Q}$  Was this a monthly deposit?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 ||Q What is happening on October 1st highlighted here?
- 15 A That is a \$9,000 payment again to the Virginia Credit
- 16 Union card in both Scott and Patricia Jenkins's name.
- 17 Q And how about here?
- 18 A Another \$5,000 payment to that same Virginia Credit Union
- 19 card.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q This is a continuation of the summary chart we were
- 21 | looking at?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 | Q Okay. Can you just walk us through what happens after
- 24 September 20th and the \$9,000 cash being withdrawn from the
- 25 Michael Jenkins account?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So after the \$9,000 cash is withdrawn, 20 minutes later, \$7,200 cash is deposited into Scott Jenkins's account. few days later, there's \$7,600 cash deposited into Scott Jenkins's account, and then over the next two days, there is matching payments made to credit cards in Scott Jenkins's name. And then this is a continuation of those financial transactions? Correct. Okay. Can you summarize for us again and walk us through what is going on here? So on September 26th, 2019 at 9:03 a.m., the \$12,000 check from Michael Jenkins to Patricia Jenkins is deposited into Scott and Patricia Jenkins's account, and then one minute later, the \$9,000 check from Michael Jenkins to Scott Jenkins is cashed. And then the next day, there's a little more cash, \$2,000 withdrawn from Michael Jenkins's account. And then you can see on September 27th, \$5,000 deposited to Scott Jenkins's account, and then two payments, one on September 30th, \$9,000 to Scott Jenkins's personal credit card, and on October 3rd, \$5,000 to his credit card. What is this document that we're looking at here on 7/29? The is part of the promissory note memorializing the loan, the \$35,000 loan from Mr. Rahim to Mr. Jenkins. And that was the \$35,000 loan we just looked at that was deposited into Michael Jenkins's account?

1 Correct. 2 And can you just summarize for us the terms of the loan 3 under purpose, and what this loan is supposed to have been? 4 This is referencing the fact that Mr. Jenkins was nearing 5 completion of a residential construction at that address, 6 identifying the value of the house, and that an upcoming 7 refinance of the house would be based on that value, and that 8 at the time that the refinance with Federal Savings Bank is 9 closed, this loan, the \$35,000 loan, will be listed in the HUD 10 sheet and paid off with the proceeds of that loan. 11 So this references a mortgage from the Federal Savings 12 loan? 13 Yes. Α 14 And it says the proceeds of that loan will pay back this 15 loan from Rahim? 16 Not necessarily that, but if it's not paid off before, 17 that from the closing of that loan, the Rahim loan will be paid 18 off from the new mortgage. 19 Okay. Now, were you able to obtain statements from the 20 Virginia Conflict of Interest and Ethics Advisory Council --21 Α Yes. 22 -- in the course of the investigation? 23 Yes, I was. 24 So I'm showing you what's been admitted as Government

Exhibit 601. Is that one of those statements of conflict of

25

- 1 | interest you obtained?
- 2 **|** A It is.
- 3 Q Can you just describe to us generally what these forms are
- 4 Ifor?
- 5 A These are forms that are required to be filed annually by
- 6 ∥certain elected officials within the State of Virginia. It
- 7 dentifies certain financial interests, whether they've
- 8 received gifts, or have loans outstanding, or been treated to a
- 9 trip, or have investments in certain companies, and supposed to
- 10 | identify potential conflicts of interest that that elected
- 11 official might have.
- 12  $\mathbb{Q}$  And do you see that the agency name at the top is the
- 13 Culpeper County sheriff's office?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And who signed this form?
- 16  $\|A\|$  It was electronically signed by Scott Jenkins.
- 17  $\parallel$ Q The -- what does it require to be filled out in schedule B
- 18 | right here?
- 19  $\|A\|$  The filer in this case, Mr. Jenkins, needs to state
- 20 | whether or not they owe \$5,000 to any one creditor, including
- 21 any contingent debt to any one creditor.
- 22 Q What period does this disclosure statement cover?
- 23 A It covers the calendar year preceding. So this was filed
- 24 on January 3, 2020, so it would cover the 2019 calendar year.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q So it would have covered loans in the 2019 period?

- 1 A Correct.
- 2 Q And based on your knowledge of the investigation, was the
- 3 Rahim portion of that loan ever repaid?
- 4 | A No.
- 5 Q Is this -- I'm showing you what's been admitted already as
- 6 Government Exhibit 602. Is this another conflict of interest
- 7 | form?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q The same one that we've been looking at?
- 10  $\blacksquare$ A The same type of form.
- 11 Q Same type of form?
- 12 A Filed the following year, 2021.
- 13 Q And what period does this form cover?
- 14  $\blacksquare$ A It covers the calendar year of 2020.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q Does it also have a requirement to disclose any amount
- 16 | owed more than \$5,000?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And what is the answer here?
- 19 A No.
- 20  $\mathbb{Q}$  Just like the prior form?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 ||Q| Now, were you able to obtain the mortgage documents for
- 23 Mr. Scott Jenkins that was referenced in the loan document from
- 24 Rick Rahim?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q I'm showing you what's been admitted already as Government 2 Exhibit 315. Can you tell us what we're looking at here?
- A This is the Uniform Residential Loan Application; it's the standard mortgage loan application.
- 5 Q Generally speaking, what kind of information is required 6 on a loan application?
- A Generally speaking, an applicant will need to provide
  basic information about employment, what their income is, how
  long they've lived at their residence, and then make -- they
  need to disclose what their assets are, and what their
- 11 outstanding liabilities are.

  12 O So they need to provide information for the bank to
- Q So they need to provide information for the bank to assess whether they qualify for a loan?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q What is the date of this application?
- 16 A It's signed and dated December 23rd, 2019.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  And the borrower on the left is Scott Jenkins?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q All right. So what is it that we're looking at in terms 20 of this portion of that application?
- A This is the section of the application in which the applicant needs to list the liabilities and what they have --
- 23 outstanding debts that they have.
- Q Just looking at what's filled out here, what types of liabilities are listed?

- 1 A The credit cards we referenced, there's some other like
- 2 department store credit cards, there is a SunTrust bank loan at
- 3 the bottom there. That's -- you would have other mortgages,
- 4 but that's what we're looking at here.
- 5 Q Is the \$35,000 loan listed here in terms of liabilities?
- 6 A It is not.
- 7 Q Is this the second page of the liabilities part of the
- 8 | form?
- 9 A It is.
- 10 Q And no disclosure of the \$35,000 loan?
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q And is this the last page of the loan document?
- 13 A Of the application, yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q Of the application. And his signature appears there,
- 15 Scott Jenkins?
- 16 A It does.
- 17 Q Did you obtain another loan application for Mr. Scott
- 18 Jenkins from Federal Savings Bank?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q And that's what's been admitted as Government Exhibit 316.
- 21 Can you tell us what this document is?
- 22 A These are the records from the loan application and
- 23 refinance that Mr. Jenkins did in December of 2020.
- 24  $\parallel$ Q And again, as far as you know from this investigation, the
- 25 Rahim portion of the loan he gave Scott Jenkins was never

- 1 repaid?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And what is it that we're looking at here on the top of
- 4 the page?
- 5 A This is the first page of that application.
- 6 Q Does this application also require the disclosure of any
- 7 outstanding liabilities by the applicant?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Are we looking at the liabilities page of that loan
- 10 | application?
- 11 A Yep.
- 12 Q And generally speaking, what is listed here?
- 13 A Various credit cards, mortgages, not Mr. Rahim's loan.
- 14 | Q The Rahim loan is not included?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And when is this application signed by Scott Jenkins?
- 17 A December 11, 2020.
- 18 Q So I'm going to switch gears a little bit and talk to you
- 19 | about interstate wires. Did you investigate whether the crimes
- 20 | committed here involved interstate wires?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q So this has already been admitted as Government Exhibit
- 23 112.
- 24 Do you recognize what these text messages are?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q What are they?
- 2 A This is part of the text messages exchanged between Scott
- 3 Jenkins and Rick Rahim.
- 4 Q Now, the green bubble is a text message from Scott
- 5 Jenkins; is that right?
- 6 A It is.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  And it says, I'm headed over to catch Paul.
- Based on your understanding of the investigation, who is
- 9 Paul?
- 10 A That is Paul Walther, the Culpeper Commonwealth Attorney
- 11 lat the time.
- 12 Q Where is Paul's office located, based on what you know in
- 13 the investigation?
- 14 A Downtown Culpeper.
- 15 Q And this message says, I'm headed over to catch Paul?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  Does that indicate to you that Mr. Jenkins was in Culpeper
- 18 | at the time he sent this message?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q And that number here, do you recognize that as the number
- 21 for Scott Jenkins?
- 22 A His personal cell phone, yes.
- 23  $\mathbb{Q}$  Now, the message that is directly preceding the message we
- 24 were just talking about, when is that message sent?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A July 4th, 2020 at 4:24 p.m. Universal Time, which is

Pageid#: 2730 Medearis - Direct approximately 12:24 Eastern Time. 2 So that was the message that Scott Jenkins sent before the 3 July 7th message? 4 Correct. 5 And you were here when Dawn Miesle from Apple was testifying about querying of Apple servers? 6 7 I was. 8 And so is this prior message more than eight hours before 9 the July 7th message? 10 Yes. 11 And so that means that Scott Jenkins's phone would have 12 had to query an Apple server outside of Virginia? 13 MR. CALEB: Objection. THE COURT: 14 Sustained. It's leading. BY MS. PENG: 15 16 Do you understand about -- do you have an understanding as

Do you understand about -- do you have an understanding as to whether Scott Jenkins's phone, while sending the July 7th message, would have had to query a server outside of Virginia?

MR. CALEB: Objection.

20 THE COURT: Still leading. Sustained.

21 BY MS. PENG:

- Q So again, the July 7th message is more than eight hours after the July 4th message?
- 24 A Yes.

17

18

19

25  $\parallel$ Q Did you obtain records from cell phone companies and also

- 1 a report of Mr. Jenkins's phone?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q What is it that we're looking at here?
- 4 A This is part of a Cellebrite report. Cellebrite is the
- 5 software that law enforcement agencies use to extract data from
- 6 cellular devices and kind of organize and review what's on
- 7 somebody's phone.
- 8 Q Is this the Cellebrite extraction of Mr. Jenkins's phone?
- 9 A It's part of it.
- 10  $\parallel$ Q Or the first page rather of that extraction?
- 11 A It's the first page of part of the report from
- 12 Mr. Jenkins's phone.
- 13 Q And what does this report say about what the call number
- 14 lis for this device?
- 15 A The number associated with the device at the time was --
- 16 | well, you can see it highlighted there. It's Mr. Jenkins's
- 17 personal cell phone number.
- 18 Q And that's the same number that sent the messages we're
- 19 looking at on the prior page?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q What does the report say about what kind of device that
- 22 | call number was associated with?
- 23 A It was an iPhone 11.
- 24 Q And did you obtain records from the phone company
- 25 regarding this call number?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q That's the same number that appears in those phone
- 3 records?
- 4 A That's the number highlighted there, yeah.
- 5 Q And what date range did he have this number for, according
- 6 to these records?
- 7  $\blacksquare$ A For the time period of January 1, 2018 through July 7,
- 8 2021, this number, the 9617 number, was subscribed to Scott
- 9 Jenkins.
- 10 Q And does the serial number from the phone records match
- 11 the serial number of the device that the FBI looked at?
- 12 A It does.
- 13 Q What does that demonstrate to you about whether those
- 14 devices were the same device?
- 15 A They are, in fact, the same device.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q All right. Can you just summarize for us here the first
- 17 | line of what we walked through with respect to Rick Rahim?
- 18 A Mr. Rahim made payments to Mr. Jenkins on -- one on July
- 19  $\parallel$ 31, '19, one on September 19, '19. He was queried by the
- 20 | Culpeper County sheriff's office in the NCIC database.
- 21  $\parallel$ Mr. Jenkins signed an appointment order to appoint him as a
- 22 deputy -- auxiliary deputy on May 18, 2021. Mr. Rahim first
- 23 met Jenkins on July 31, 2019. The oath that Mr. Rahim swore
- 24 took place on May 27, 2021 to become an auxiliary deputy. The
- 25 ∥total amount he paid Mr. Jenkins was \$25,000. The form of that

payment was cash. And none of that was ever reported by Scott
Jenkins For Sheriff.

- Q So during this time, had Kevin Rychlik started working with the FBI yet?
- A Not at any of the dates referenced in this chart.
- Q Now, did you compile similar information as what we're seeing in this first row for the other bribe payers in this case?
  - A I did.

3

4

5

- 10 Q So let's go to Mr. Fred Gumbinner. Can you tell us what
- 11 | -- can you summarize for us what is depicted in this chart?
- 12 A So Mr. Gumbinner's payment was made on October 2nd, 2019.
- 13 He was, in fact, queried in the NCIC database. Mr. Jenkins
- 14 | signed the order appointing him as an auxiliary deputy sheriff
- 15 on March 2, 2020. Mr. Gumbinner first met Mr. Jenkins on
- 16  $\parallel$ January 25, 2023. He swore his oath on March 6, 2020. The
- 17 | amount of his payment was \$20,000. The form of that payment
- 18 was cash, and it was never reported by the Scott Jenkins For
- 19 Sheriff campaign.
- 20 Q So the information that's depicted in this row is sourced
- 21 I from much of the same documents we went through for Mr. Rick
- 22 Rahim?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q All right. So what is it that we're looking at here in
- 25 terms of Exhibit 706?

- 1 A This is the --
- 2 Q 307, sorry.
- 3  $\blacksquare$ A This is the \$20,000 check Mr. Gumbinner wrote to Rick
- 4 Rahim's company, Food Truck Company, LLC on October 1, 2019.
- 5  $\mathbb{Q}$  And what is this on the right?
- 6 A This is the appointment order where Mr. Jenkins appointed
- 7 Mr. Gumbinner as an auxiliary deputy sheriff on March 2, 2020.
- 8 Q All right. And this is a copy of the same check we were
- 9 **∥**just looking at?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 | Q And what is it that we're looking at in Government Exhibit
- 12 308 with respect to what happened to that check?
- 13 A That check was deposited into Mr. Rahim's bank account for
- 14 BV Management. It was deposited on October 2, 2019, and the
- 15 total deposited amount was \$21,000.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q So this is Mr. Rahim depositing the Fred Gumbinner check?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Now, are you aware that there was a meeting between Rick
- 19 Rahim and Scott Jenkins based on the investigation on October
- 20 | 2nd, 2019?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q And these are the text messages from Government Exhibit
- 23 | 125 supporting that meeting?
- 24 A Yes, they are.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q This is them confirming that meeting on October 2nd, 2019?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q All right. So what happens on October 3rd, 2019, a day
- 3 | after that meeting?
- 4 A Mr. Rychlik and Mr. Jenkins exchanged text messages about
- 5 the meeting the previous day with Rick.
- 6 Q So on the right-hand side we have messages from
- 7 Mr. Rychlik?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And the left-hand side are messages from Mr. Jenkins?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q And so Mr. Rychlik says, I heard another 21K?
- 12 A Yes, he does.
- 13 Q What is Mr. Jenkins's response to that?
- 14  $\parallel$ A Response to the whole text there: It was good, wish you
- 15 could have made it, talked a lot about ideas and he even
- 16 | suggested a few things I'm trying to do on campaign.
- 17 | Q So this was one day after the meeting between Scott
- 18 Jenkins and Rick Rahim?
- 19 A It's the very next day.
- 20 Q Now, we're moving to February 26, 2020. That's a couple
- 21 of months after?
- 22 **A** Yes.
- 23 Q And this is Government Exhibit 106. Can you tell us
- 24 what's going on in this exhibit that's already been admitted?
- 25 A These are text messages from Mr. Rychlik to Mr. Jenkins

- 1 discussing the fact that Rick was getting frustrated that he
- 2 had provided Fred's money to Mr. Jenkins, but Fred has not been
- 3 sworn yet. And Mr. Rychlik is relaying the frustration in
- 4 trying to figure out what he should -- asking Mr. Jenkins what
- 5 Mr. Rychlik should tell Mr. Rahim about why Mr. Gumbinner is
- 6 not sworn in yet.
- 7 Q And these are messages that Kevin Rychlik sent to Scott
- 8 Jenkins?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q And do you see this forwarded message from Rick Rahim, I
- 11 | would have to give him the 20K back now, in the second message?
- 12 **A** Yes.
- 13 Q And now, is this the same text messages we're looking at
- 14 just in a slightly different format?
- 15 A Yes, showing the date and time stamp associated with each
- 16 message.
- 17 | Q Okay. And can you explain to me what is the -- what has
- 18 been added in terms of the date and timing of these messages?
- 19  $\|A\|$  When the specific message was actually sent.
- 20 | Q That was in the phone records that you obtained?
- 21 A The messages were time stamped I believe in the Apple
- 22 production.
- 23 Q And so looking -- walking through these messages, so you
- 24 have on the right-hand side on the text from Rick, that's a
- 25 message from Kevin Rychlik?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2  $\blacksquare$ Q And then so who sends the message, give me 10 to 15 to
- 3 | call?
- 4 A That's Mr. Jenkins responding.
- 5 Q And then you see the license of Fred Gumbinner sent to
- 6 Scott Jenkins by Kevin Rychlik?
- 7 | A Yes.
- 8 Q And then what does Scott Jenkins say at approximately 8:35
- 9 a.m.?
- 10 A He says, thanks, a few more minutes. I'm with state
- 11 police.
- 12 Q And then what is the last message that Kevin Rychlik sends
- 13 here in this chain at 9:26 a.m.?
- 14 | A It says, Rick good now/happy. I told him next week
- 15 midweek to get back -- get back with me with date.
- 16 Q Did you obtain records from AT&T to try to see whether
- 17 phone calls occurred between Kevin Rychlik and Scott Jenkins in
- 18 connection with these chain of text messages?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Are we looking at the records that you obtained from AT&T?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22  $\|Q\|$  Can you just briefly explain what these records are?
- 23 A These are time stamps of calls that are made and received
- 24 by, in this case, Mr. Rychlik.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q Are they call -- are they referred to as call detail

Medearis - Direct 1 records? 2 Yes. 3 What are call detail records? They show the date, the time, duration of phone calls, and 4 5 the originating party, and the receiving party, and in some cases what types and device numbers were used to make those 7 calls. 8 So what do these records show occurred at around 9:09 9 a.m.? This shows an 11 minute and 55 second call at 9:09 Eastern 10 11 Time from Mr. Jenkins's work number, the sheriff official cell 12 phone number ending in 5813 to Mr. Rychlik's personal cell 13 phone number. And what does it show occurred at 9:21 a.m.? 14 15 Almost immediately after the call with Mr. Jenkins ended, 16 there was a 4-minute call from Mr. Rychlik to Mr. Rahim. 17 And looking back at the text messages, can you explain to 18 us how these phone calls fit in with these messages? 19 So at 8:35 Mr. Jenkins responded, thanks, a few more 20 minutes, I'm with the state police. 21 And Mr. Rychlik said, okay, thanks buddy, I'm holding off 22 on jumping in the shower for your call. 23 So essentially, I'm waiting for your call. 24 About 30 minutes later, Mr. Jenkins called Mr. Rychlik,

and they had an almost 12-minute conversation. And then as

soon as -- almost as soon as that was done, Mr. Rychlik in turn called Mr. Rahim and had about a 4-minute conversation.

And then almost as soon as that call with Mr. Rahim was done, Mr. Rychlik texted back to Mr. Jenkins and said, Rick good now, he's happy. I told him next week midweek to get back with me with date.

Q So now I want to talk to you about Jim Metcalf.

What did the investigation reveal about what Jim Metcalf did with respect to Scott Jenkins?

- 10 A He made a payment in exchange for being deputized as an auxiliary deputy.
- 12 Q So what did he give Scott Jenkins?
- 13 A He gave him a \$5,000 check.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 14 **|**Q What did Scott Jenkins do for Jim Metcalf?
- 15 A He deputized him as an auxiliary deputy and gave him a loadge and credentials.
- Q Can you walk us through the timeline with respect to what happened for Jim Metcalf?
  - A So Mr. Metcalf made his payment to Mr. Jenkins on September 7, 2022. He was queried by the Culpeper County sheriff's office in the NCIC database. Mr. Jenkins signed Mr. Metcalf's appointment order on September 6. They first met on August 2nd, 2022. Mr. Metcalf swore his oath as an auxiliary deputy on September 7th, and he wrote a \$5,000 check

as payment, and that check was reported by the Scott Jenkins

1 For Sheriff campaign.

2

- Q What about for Tom Cooper, what did he do?
- 3 A On October 26, 2022, Mr. Cooper made a payment of \$5,000
- 4 in exchange for being deputized as an auxiliary deputy.
- 5  $\mathbb{Q}$  Do you notice that the first time -- what do you notice
- 6 about the first time that Scott Jenkins met Tom Cooper?
  - A It was the same day he was sworn in and the same day he
- 8 made the payment.
- 9 Q And was this check given by Tom Cooper reported by the
- 10 Scott Jenkins campaign account?
- 11 A Yes, it was.
- 12 Q Is this the check that Tom Cooper gave to Scott Jenkins?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q Okay. How about this next person, Jerry McKee?
- 15 A Jerry McKee made his payment, \$5,000 in cash, on November
- 16  $\parallel$ 14, 2022. He was queried in the NCIC database. His --
- 17 Mr. Jenkins signed the appointment order to make Mr. McKee an
- 18 auxiliary deputy on November 9th. They first met on November
- 19  $\parallel$ 14th, which again, was the date of the payment and the day that
- 20 Mr. McKee took his oath. This \$5,000 cash payment was not
- 21 reported by Scott Jenkins For Sheriff.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q What do you notice about the first time Jerry McKee met
- 23 || Scott Jenkins?
- 24 A It was the same day that he made his payment and the same
- 25 day he swore his oath.

1 Is this the cash that the FBI gave to Undercover Agent 2 Jerry McKee? 3 MR. CALEB: Objection. 4 MS. PENG: This is a demonstrative, Your Honor. 5 Is this a question for Mr. Medearis? THE COURT: 6 I objected, Your Honor. MR. CALEB: 7 THE COURT: Oh. I'll sustain the objection. 8 he's -- let him lay a foundation -- or lay a foundation with 9 him as to what he knows about the picture. BY MS. PENG: 10 As the case agent on this case, do you recognize what's 11 12 depicted here in terms of the photograph? 13 Yes. The undercover agent sent photos of the money that he used, and those photos were serialized in our case file. 14 And that's a photograph of Mr. Jerry McKee getting a 15 16 badge? 17 Α Yes. 18 Walk us through Mike, the second undercover agent. Okay. 19 What happened with him? 20 So Mike made a \$10,000 cash payment on December 28th, 21 2022, which was the same day he first met Scott Jenkins. It 22 was also the same day he swore his oath as an auxiliary deputy. 23 Mr. Jenkins swore the appointment order the day prior to 24 meeting Mike the first time. Mike was never run in the NCIC 25 database, and his cash payment was never reported in the Scott

- 1 Jenkins For Sheriff campaign records.
- 2 Q So as the case agent for the case, you're familiar with
- 3 the backstory that the FBI created for the undercover agent
- 4 Inamed Mike?
- $5 \parallel A = I \text{ am}.$
- 6 Q So can you tell us what was included in his backstory with
- 7 respect to his criminal record?
- 8 A A felony conviction.
- 9 Q And if the NCIC system had been queried, did the FBI
- 10 ensure that the felony conviction would have been retrieved?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And so as part of the backstory created for him, a sort of
- 13 fake felony record was placed in his criminal background?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q But based on what you received from NCIC, the Culpeper
- 16 | sheriff's office never ran a query on Mike?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 Q And as part of the backstory for Mike, did the FBI ever
- 20 **A** No.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q And under federal law -- well, under federal law, is it
- 22 against the law for a felon to possess firearms?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q So it would have been illegal for Mike to have a firearm?
- 25 MR. CALEB: Objection.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. It's leading. It's asked and 2 answered as well. 3 BY MS. PENG: Based on your experience as an FBI agent investigating 4 5 federal laws, if somebody had a felony conviction and did not restore their firearms rights, would they legally be allowed to 6 7 possess a qun? 8 No. 9 And we're looking at the money that was given to the undercover agent, Mike, to provide to Scott Jenkins? 10 11 That's correct. 12 And that's a picture of him being sworn in, right? 13 Receiving his badge. 14 Sorry, receiving his badge. Okay. Can you walk us through what happened with Phil 15 16 Howell? 17 Mr. Howell first met Scott Jenkins on December 29, 2022, 18 which was the same day that he made his payment, and the same 19 day that he swore his oath. The appointment order for 20 Mr. Howell was signed almost a month prior, on November 30th, 21 2022. Mr. Howell was queried in the NCIC database by the 22 Culpeper County sheriff's office. His payment was in the 23 amount of \$5,000 in cash, and that was not reported by the 24 Scott Jenkins For Sheriff campaign. 25 Okay. So you just stated -- can you -- you're saying that

- 1 he signed the appointment order a month before first meeting
- 2 Phil Howell?
- 3 A Correct.
- $4 \parallel Q$  Okay. So what are we looking at on the left here?
- 5 A This is a still frame from the recording device that
- 6 Mr. Rychlik was wearing on December 29th, and it captures
- 7 Mr. Howell handing over a white envelope containing \$5,000 cash
- 8 to Mr. Jenkins.
- 9 Q And that's Mr. Howell receiving his badge?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q Now, you were here for the testimony of Mr. Phil Howell?
- 12 **A** I was.
- 13 Q Do you recall him saying that he had taken out the money
- 14 ||that he gave to Scott Jenkins that day from the bank?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16  $\mathbb{Q}$  And that they were in \$100 denominations?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Now, after December 29th, 2022, did the FBI conduct
- 19 **∥**surveillance on Scott Jenkins on December 31st?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21  $\|Q\|$  So that's two days after Phil Howell handed over the
- 22 envelope of cash to Scott Jenkins?
- 23  $\blacksquare$ A Yes, it is.
- 24 Q Can you tell us what you observed on December 31st, 2022?
- 25  $\blacksquare$ A I observed Mr. Jenkins attend the -- a gun show at the

```
Medearis - Direct
   Dulles Expo Center. I observed him remove a white envelope and
 2
   take out $100 bills to purchase a $3,000 handgun.
 3
         So these are the photographs that you took while
 4
   conducting surveillance that day?
 5
         I did not take these photographs.
 6
        Oh, I'm sorry.
 7
         I was there, but a different FBI employee took these
 8
   photographs.
 9
        And you recognize them as fair and accurate depictions of
10
   the scene that day?
11
        Yes.
12
              MS. PENG: Government moves to admit Government
   Exhibit 412.
13
14
              THE COURT: Any objection?
15
              MS. PENG: And publish.
16
              MR. CALEB: We object. Objection.
17
              THE COURT: On what grounds?
              MR. CALEB:
18
                          May I approach, Your Honor?
19
              THE COURT: Yeah, you all approach.
20
              (Sidebar commenced.)
21
              THE COURT: Who conducted the surveillance?
22
              MS. PENG:
                        Mr. Medearis, along with other FBI agents.
23
              MR. CALEB: Just in its current form -- and now I'm
24
   looking over the courtroom deputy clerk's shoulder -- in its
25
   current form -- she took it down -- with the narrative --
```

1 THE COURT: Kelly, put that picture back up. 2 It's the narrative at the bottom. 3 MS. PENG: These were provided to defense a while ago 4 and they didn't object to the narrative. I mean, we could just 5 show the photographs, but this is how we prepared the presentation at this time, and I don't think the narrative is 7 prejudicial in any way. It would summarize what Agent Medearis 8 is expected to testify to. 9 MR. CALEB: The narrative has -- first of all, as a 10 general matter, the way that the exhibits are being displayed, 11 they're essentially leading the witness by putting the 12 testimony up before asking the question. That's the first 13 thing. And that's consistent with how this exhibit was 14 presented as well. There's no reason for the narrative or for the testimony to be included on the exhibit. And to the extent 15 16 we didn't object before, we're objecting now. It's just 17 inappropriate to have his testimony pasted on copies of the 18 pictures and admitted as evidence. 19 THE COURT: Last word, Ms. Peng? 20 MS. PENG: I think all of the exhibits we've shown so 21 far have already been admitted or their summary exhibits or 22 demonstratives. As the case agent, he's permitted to testify 23 about what he knows in terms of the investigation. 24 THE COURT: Right. The issue with this in particular

is that it summarizes essentially what his testimony is from

1 pictures that are not otherwise in evidence. 2 So here's what I'm going to do. I will -- what 3 exhibit number is it? 412? I'll admit 412, but the narrative 4 has to come off the bottom. 5 MS. PENG: Okay. 6 If you go through as to who did --THE COURT: 7 establish that Agent Medearis knows who did -- I think he did 8 the surveillance -- what he saw and what the pictures depict 9 and I'll submit it. MS. PENG: That's fine. We'll have to switch over 10 11 from Trial Director to the legal assistant. There will just be 12 a little switching back and forth. 13 THE COURT: That's fine. 14 And you are leading. So try to -- I know Mr. Caleb is trying not to object, but especially with the new exhibits, 15 16 let's not lead. 17 MS. PENG: Okay. 18 (Sidebar concluded.) 19 (Government Exhibit 412 marked and admitted.) 20 MS. PENG: Ms. Fastenau, could you pull up Government 21 Exhibit 412 for just Agent Medearis, please? THE COURT: 22 Well, he's identified the photograph. 23 objection to the photographs coming in; is that right? 24 MR. CALEB: No objection to the photographs. 25 So I'll admit the photograph and they may THE COURT:

```
1 be published to the jury.
```

- 2 MS. PENG: Okay. Is there a next page?
- 3 BY MS. PENG:
- 4 Q Okay. So Agent Medearis, what is it that we're looking at
- 5 here in photograph -- in this photograph?
- $6 \parallel A$  This is Mr. Jenkins counting out \$100 bills that he
- 7 removed from a white envelope and used to purchase a \$3,000
- 8 handgun.
- 9 Q Were you part of the surveillance that day?
- 10 A I was.
- 11 Q And what did you observe Mr. Jenkins do -- which you
- 12 | partially just summarized for us -- but could you tell us
- 13 again, what did you observe him do that day on December 31st,
- 14 2022?
- 15 A I observed him remove an envelope, a white envelope, and
- 16 ∥take out \$100 bills in cash and -- well, from where I was
- 17 | standing, I couldn't see they were \$100 bills. I later learned
- 18 they were \$100 bills. And I saw him purchase that gun in the
- 19 gray bag there in front of him.
- 20 Q And so after you observed him making this purchase, did
- 21 you do anything to try to confirm what is it that he used to
- 22 pay for those firearms?
- 23  $\blacksquare$ A Yes. I went and talked to the gentleman there in the ball
- 24 cap, the seller of the firearm, and he showed me the money that
- 25 | had been used by Mr. Jenkins. I took photos of it. And Mr. --

```
Medearis - Direct
 1
   I believe his name was Spellman, was the name of the dealer,
 2
   and he provided me with paperwork related to the transaction.
 3
              MS. PENG: Ms. Fastenau, could you pull up for Agent
   Medearis Government Exhibit 413.
 4
 5
   BY MS. PENG:
         Do you recognize what Government Exhibit 413 is depicting?
 6
 7
        Yes.
 8
        And what is it?
 9
        These are photos I took of the cash that was used to
10
   purchase -- that Mr. Jenkins used to purchase the handgun.
11
              MS. PENG: Your Honor, I move to admit Government
12
   Exhibit 413, and then I'm going to publish using my device.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Any objection?
14
              MR. CALEB:
                          No objection.
15
                          So admitted and may be published to the
              THE COURT:
16
   jury.
17
              (Government Exhibit 413 marked and admitted.)
18
    BY MS. PENG:
19
         So Agent Medearis, what do --
20
              MS. PENG: Is it -- is it being published?
21
              THE CLERK: Just to him.
22
              MS. PENG:
                        Oh. It's already been admitted.
23
              THE COURT: 413 is in. It can be published.
24
    BY MS. PENG:
25
         So Agent Medearis, what is it that we're looking at in
```

- 1 Government Exhibit 413?
- 2  $\blacksquare$ A Those are the \$100 bills that Mr. Jenkins used to purchase
- 3 the handgun.
- 4 Q Is this another picture you took that day?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6  $\parallel$ Q And how soon after did Mr. Jenkins leave that counter did
- 7 you go and take these pictures?
- 8 A Minutes.
- 9 Q So another photograph you took that day?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q And is this a composite of all those photographs that we
- 12 just looked at in Government Exhibit 413?
- 13 A It is.
- 14 Q Can you summarize now for us what happened with Rubar
- 15 | Sandi?
- 16 A On January 4th, Mr. Sandi made a \$5,000 cash payment to
- 17  $\parallel$ Mr. Jenkins. He -- which January 4th was also the date he
- 18 first met Mr. Jenkins, and the day he swore his oath as an
- 19 ∥auxiliary deputy. His appointment order was signed about a
- 20 | week prior on December 27, 2022. Mr. Sandi was run -- queried
- 21  $\parallel$ in the NCIC database. His \$5,000 cash payment was not reported
- 22 by the Scott Jenkins For Sheriff campaign.
- 23  $\parallel$ Q What is it that we're looking at on the left here?
- 24 A That is a photo of Mr. Jenkins, Mr. Cooper, Mr. Rychlik,
- 25 and Mr. Sandi taken outside after they had lunch.

1 Q And how about on the right?

2 A That's Mr. Sandi receiving his badge from Jenkins.

3 Q Did you conduct any financial inquiry into Scott Jenkins's

bank account after this January 4th, 2023 meeting?

A Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

11

14

15

16

18

20

Q What is it that we're looking at here?

7 A This is a deposit ticket into Mr. Jenkins's personal

checking account on January 4, 2023. And then the bottom right

is a slip memorializing that the \$7,000 deposit was made in

10 cash.

Q And this has already been admitted as Government Exhibit

12 306.

13 What do you notice about the timing of this deposit?

A It's shortly after the meeting with Mr. Sandi ended.

Q Can you describe for us how you know what the timing of

the meeting was between Scott Jenkins and Sandi Rubar -- Rubar

17 | Sandi?

A Because I was able to review the recordings made by

19 Mr. Rychlik's devices, which were time stamped, and I was able

to go kind of calculate on the recording when they left and

21  $\|$ look at that time, and it was approximately 3:21 p.m.

22 Q Did you conduct an investigation into the interstate wire

23 connection for Count Four of the indictment in this case

24 against Scott Jenkins?

25 **|** A Yes.

- 1 Q Now, this has already been admitted as Government Exhibit
- 2 130. I want to ask you about this particular wire. So can you
- 3 describe for us what these text messages are?
- 4 A These are text messages exchanged between Mr. Rychlik
- 5 using the phone that the FBI gave him and Mr. Jenkins on his
- 6 work phone, the 5813 number.
  - Q So you recognize the 5813 number as Mr. Jenkins's phone?
- 8 A Correct.

- 9 Q And what is the timing of this message?
- 10 A The message sent by Mr. Rychlik was on January 3rd at
- 11 12:11 Universal Time, which again, is about -- I think at that
- 12 I time of the year -- 7:11 a.m.
- 13 Q So on the left is a message that Kevin Rychlik sent to
- 14 | Scott Jenkins?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And on the right in the green is a message that Scott
- 17 Jenkins sent to Kevin Rychlik?
- 18  $\parallel$ A It was the next text in the series. It was Mr. Jenkins's
- 19 response.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q And based on what you know about the investigation, in
- 21 Mr. Rychlik's message, Tom is coming too. Who is that Tom
- 22 referring to?
- 23 A Mr. Cooper -- Tom Cooper.
- 24 Q And Mr. Jenkins's message back, what does it say?
- 25 A See you a little later this morning.

- 1 Q When is this message sent by Mr. Jenkins?
- 2 A January 4th, 2023 at 1:23 p.m. Universal, which again, I
- 3 think it's like 8:23 a.m. Eastern.
- 4 Q Is that more than eight hours after the message that Kevin
- 5 Rychlik sent to him?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q What is it that we're looking at on this exhibit?
- 8 A This is part of the extraction report for Mr. Jenkins's
- 9 work phone, the number ending in 5813.
- 10 Q Is that the number that we were just looking at?
- 11 | A Yes.
- 12 | Q And does this report tell you what type of device this
- 13 | was?
- 14 A Yes, it was an iPhone 11.
- 15 Q Did you also obtain records from Sprint?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17 Q Is that the record that you obtained?
- 18 A Some of them specific to this phone, yes.
- 19  $\parallel$ Q And what number does this record show it's for?
- 20  $\blacksquare$ A It's for the 5813 number.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q And did the equipment device number match the device that
- 22 | was downloaded by the FBI that was seized from Scott Jenkins?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Can you tell us what's being highlighted here?
- 25  $\parallel$ A That's essentially the serial number on the phone, and on

the left from the iPhone physical device serial number, and 2 then on the right is the serial number associated with Sprint's 3 records as being assigned to that telephone number. 4 Going back to the text message, based on what you know 5 about this investigation, when Scott Jenkins says, see you a little later this morning, where was he located? 6 7 He was in Culpeper. He was meeting -- that day he met 8 with Mr. Rychlik, Mr. Cooper, and Mr. Sandi in Culpeper. 9 Was that the day that one of them was sworn in? 10 Yes. 11 And who was that? 12 Mr. Sandi. 13 Did you create a summary chart of the investigation into 14 payments after Phil Howell made a payment and after Sandi Rubar [sic] made a payment? 15 16 Yes. 17 Have you summarized that in Government Exhibit 806? 18 Α Yes. 19 Can you walk us through what is being depicted on 806? 20 On December 29th --21 MS. PENG: I'm sorry, I thought this was already in 22 evidence. 23 THE CLERK: I've got 801, 804, 805. 24 MS. PENG: Okay. Move to admit and publish 806.

THE COURT: Any objection?

1 MR. CALEB: No objection. 2 THE COURT: All right. So admitted and may be 3 published to the jury. 4 (Government Exhibit 806 marked and admitted.) 5 BY MS. PENG: Can you walk us through what this chart is summarizing? 6 7 So these are transactions that happened around the time of 8 Mr. Howell and Mr. Sandi's payments. So on the left column is 9 the date. You see in the first row December 29, Mr. Howell made a \$5,000 payment, the second row, December 31, 2022, Mr. Jenkins spent \$3,000 cash at the Dulles Expo Center to buy 11 12 the handgun. On January 4, Mr. Sandi gave \$5,000 cash to 13 Mr. Jenkins. The same day, Mr. Jenkins deposited \$7,000 cash 14 into his personal bank account. What, if anything, do you notice about the total amounts 15 16 being depicted in this chart? 17 The total amount received in cash by Scott Jenkins from 18 Mr. Howell and Mr. Sandi was \$10,000, and there was \$10,000 19 either spent by Mr. Jenkins or placed into his personal bank 20 account. 21 Now, we just discussed the money that Scott Jenkins used 22 to purchase those firearms. Can you tell for sure that that 23 was the same cash that he received from Phil Howell two days 24 prior?

25

I cannot.

```
Medearis - Direct
 1
        Now, this is the full chart of Government Exhibit 805 that
 2
   you had just been discussing; is that right?
 3
        It is.
        And this summarizes all of the information we've covered
 4
 5
   in terms of the records you've obtained and the evidence
   obtained in this case?
 6
 7
        This does summarize all that.
 8
              MS. PENG: Your Honor, I move to admit Government
   Exhibit 805 into evidence.
 9
10
              THE COURT:
                          Any objection?
11
              MR. CALEB:
                          No objection.
12
              THE COURT: So admitted and may be published -- I
13
   think it's already being published in any event as a
   demonstrative, but now it's in evidence.
14
15
              (Government Exhibit 805 marked and admitted.)
16
    BY MS. PENG:
17
        So I want to ask you about one last person who doesn't
18
   appear on this chart, Harry Carr.
19
              MS. PENG: Are we able to pull up Government Exhibit
20
   718?
21
              Can we switch it to Ms. Fastenau, please?
22
    BY MS. PENG:
23
        718 is already in evidence.
24
        Mr. Medearis, can you just tell us what this document is?
25
        This is an appointment of auxiliary deputy sheriff
```

- 1 pertaining to Mr. Harry John Carr, signed by Mr. Jenkins on
- 2 November 17, 2022.
- MS. PENG: Thank you. You can take that down.
- 4 BY MS. PENG:
- $5 \parallel Q$  So can you tell us when the FBI concluded its
- 6 investigation in this case?
- 7 A Mr. Jenkins was charged in approximately June of 2023.
- 8 Q In connection with that work, did you obtain a seizure
- 9 warrant?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 0 What is a seizure warrant?
- 12 A A seizure warrant is a warrant that law enforcement
- 13 agencies can apply for to a federal judge to get authorization
- 14 I to seize assets.
- 15 Q What was the target of the seizure warrant you obtained?
- 16 A Mr. Jenkins -- or the Scott Jenkins For Sheriff campaign
- 17 | account.
- 18 Q When was that warrant executed?
- 19 🛮 A Approximately January 31, 2023.
- 20 Q And what was seized from that account?
- 21 A \$10,000.
- 22 Q Based on what you know, what was the source of that
- 23  $\parallel$ \$10,000 that was permitted to be seized?
- 24 A The bank records show that that \$10,000 was the \$10,000
- 25 | that came from Mr. Metcalf and Mr. Cooper.

- Q How do you know that?
- 2 | A Because I reviewed the bank account for the Scott Jenkins
- 3 For Sheriff campaign, and based on the transactions in the
- 4 account, there was no other transactions -- maybe some interest
- 5 posting, but no other substantial transactions after
- 6 Mr. Jenkins deposited those checks. And I think prior to the
- 7 deposit, the balance was only about \$1,500 or so.
- 8  $\mathbb{Q}$  So when was the check, the \$5,000 check from Mr. Metcalf,
- 9 deposited into the Scott Jenkins For Sheriff account?
- 10 A I'd have to look at a record to refresh me, but it was
- 11 shortly after Mr. Metcalf made the payment to Mr. Jenkins.
- 12 MS. PENG: Can we pull up Government Exhibit 805 and
- 13 publish it?

- 14 BY MS. PENG:
- 15 Q Does this refresh your recollection as to when Mr. Metcalf
- 16 | gave Scott Jenkins that check?
- 17 A Yes. The payment date was September 7. I don't recall
- 18 the exact deposit date, but he gave the check to Mr. Jenkins on
- 19 September 7th.
- 20 ||Q But your recollection is that it would have been deposited
- 21 shortly after that?
- 22 A Yes. I know either Mr. Metcalf or Mr. Cooper was
- 23 deposited maybe a week or two after. I don't remember which
- 24 one, but they were both I'll say within a month of the days
- 25 | that Mr. Jenkins received the check.

1 And so based on the timing of those deposits and when the 2 seizure warrant was executed, can you tell us again why you 3 believe that money was from Jim Metcalf and Tom Cooper? Just based on the sequencing of transactions, there was no 4 5 other deposits into the account to fund that. So it was absolutely directly related and sourced by these checks from 6 7 Mr. Metcalf and Mr. Cooper. 8 And when did the investigation go in -- in the bribery 9 case go overt? It was either January 30 or 31, 2023. 10 11 What happened on January 30 -- 31st that made the 12 investigation overt? 13 We interviewed several individuals in the case, executed 14 search warrants, and seized the funds from the campaign's bank account. 15 16 What does it mean for an investigation to go overt? 17 That's when we take investigative actions that are 18 directly attributable to the FBI. So we go in true name and 19 approach people and discuss the existence of the investigation. 20 So that's -- is that when the investigation goes from 21 being covert to then known to people outside of the 22 investigative team? 23 Generally speaking. 24 And was an interview conducted of Scott Jenkins on January

25

31st, 2023?

1 Yes. 2 Now, prior to January 31st, 2023, did you have any 3 indication that Scott Jenkins might have been aware that the FBI was starting to look into the conduct involving Rick Rahim? 4 5 Yes. Why do you think that? 6 7 So prior to June of 2022, the Washington field office of 8 the FBI started investigating Mr. Rahim relative to some sort 9 of fraud scheme unrelated to Mr. Jenkins's investigation. At 10 some point during their investigation, they learned that Mr. 11 Rahim was claiming to be an auxiliary deputy with Culpeper 12 County sheriff's office. The agents in the Washington field 13 office, through a task force officer -- a task force officer is 14 an individual with an outside agency that works with the FBI 15 investigations. So through an outside task force officer, 16 reached out to someone within the Culpeper County sheriff's 17 office to confirm that, and there were text messages exchanged in which --18 19 MR. CALEB: Objection. 20 THE COURT: We're getting into hearsay now. I mean, 21 he can't say what the text messages say. 22 BY MS. PENG: 23 Can you explain to me again without going into the 24 contents of any text messages, why it is that you believe that 25 Scott Jenkins may have been aware that the FBI was looking into

Medearis - Direct the conduct involving Rahim prior to when he was interviewed in 2 the case on January 31st, 2023? 3 On June 2nd, 2022, a task force officer communicated with people within the Culpeper County sheriff's office and 4 5 learned --6 MR. CALEB: Objection. 7 THE COURT: Well, I'll let him testify about 8 communications. He's not offering the substance of the 9 communication. He can't testify what the task force officer 10 learned. That's hearsay. 11 Rephrase your question. 12 BY MS. PENG: 13 So you can answer again. Just don't tell me the -- don't state the content of the text messages, but to the extent that you know what occurred, you can talk about that. 15 16 Okay. The task force officer learned that Mr. Jenkins had 17 been advised that the FBI had an investigation into Mr. Rahim, 18 and was inquiring to know whether Mr. Rahim was, in fact, an 19 auxiliary deputy. So did then that task force officer reach out to the 20 21 Culpeper sheriff's office? 22 Through a contact, but yes. 23 So -- okay. So tell me more about that. 24 So on June 2nd, the task force officer communicated with

people -- I can't remember whether it was directly or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

indirectly through another task force officer, but reached out to the Culpeper County sheriff's office to determine whether or not Mr. Rahim was an auxiliary deputy, and -- because he was the subject of an FBI investigation in Washington. On June 24th, 2022, Mr. Jenkins met with Mr. Rychlik, and Mr. Jenkins stated he had been contacted by the FBI -- been alerted to the fact that the FBI was investigating Mr. Rahim. MS. PENG: Thank you. And Your Honor, I neglected to move into evidence Government Exhibit 803, so I'm going to move it into evidence at this time. THE COURT: Any objection? Do you need to see it? MR. CALEB: Yeah. THE COURT: Can you pull up 803, Ms. Fastenau? MR. CALEB: No objection. So admitted and may be published to the THE COURT: jury. (Government Exhibit 803 marked and admitted.) MS. PENG: That's all I have for now. THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, why don't we take our lunch break at this point in time, come back about 1:15. I will remind you not to have any discussions amongst yourself or discussions with anyone about the case. Don't begin to make a decision at all. And why don't you be back here at 1:15, and then we'll get through the cross-examination of Special Agent Medearis.

1 So I'll allow the jury to be excused. 2 (Jury out, 12:17 p.m.) 3 THE COURT: You all have a seat for a second. 4 Special Agent Medearis, you can step down. I will just remind 5 you since you are still on the stand, you cannot have any discussion over lunch about your testimony, even with your own 6 7 lawyers. 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Otherwise, Ms. Peng, do we need to 10 address anything on behalf of the government at this point? 11 MS. PENG: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Caleb, Mr. Andonian? 13 MR. ANDONIAN: Nothing from us, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Very well. We'll come back at 1:15 and 15 we'll get through the cross-examination of Mr. Medearis and 16 we'll go from there. 17 Thank you very much. Stand in recess. 18 (Recess.) 19 THE COURT: Back on the record in United States v. 20 Jenkins. Let the record reflect the government is present by 21 its counsel. The defendant likewise is present by counsel. 22 I'm sorry I'm a couple of minutes late. As I was wrapping up, 23 Judge Wilkerson stuck his head in to see Judge Connelly. So I 24 looked at the letterhead, knew where I stood, and so I came up 25 a little bit late.

1

2

3

4

6

9

11

14

So anything we need to address from the government's standpoint before we begin, Ms. Peng? MS. PENG: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: How about from the defendant's 5 standpoint. MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, just a matter of 7 logistics. We don't expect to have any cross for Special Agent 8 Medearis. I understand the government will be resting at that point. So we want to make our motion outside the presence of the jury. 10 THE COURT: Sure. Right. Yeah, I mean, I think that 12 -- I mean, technically the jury -- they need to rest in front 13 of the jury. Not so fast, Special Agent, he may change his mind. 15 So why don't we do that and then I'll send them out, 16 and we'll make a motion, and then we'll see where we are, and 17 what we tell the jury for the rest of the afternoon. 18 Ms. Choy? 19 MS. CHOY: The only thing left from us is to enter 20 those two stipulations into evidence after Special Agent 21 Medearis steps down. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Yeah, so there will be one -- a 23 couple of pieces of evidence. We'll go ahead and bring the 24 jury in. And I'll instruct the jury about the stipulations as 25 well.

```
Medearis - Direct
1
             Let's go ahead and bring the jury in.
2
    (Jury in, 1:24 p.m.)
 3
             THE COURT:
                         Ladies and gentlemen, please have a seat.
 4
             All right. Mr. Andonian or Mr. Caleb, any
5
   cross-examination?
 6
             MR. CALEB: No cross for this witness. Thank you.
 7
             THE COURT: All right. Very well. Special Agent
8
   Medearis, you may step down. I know you're in the courtroom,
9
   but please do not discuss your testimony while the case is
   pending.
10
11
             THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
12
             THE COURT: Thank you very much.
13
             All right. Ms. Peng?
             MS. PENG: Your Honor, this witness is not excused.
14
15
   We reserve him for any rebuttal case that --
16
             THE COURT: Okay. Right.
17
             Especially since you haven't been excused, Special
18
   Agent Medearis, do not discuss your testimony.
19
             THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: All right. Any other evidence from the
   government?
21
22
             MS. CHOY:
                       Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, there are
23
   two stipulations between the parties which have been marked as
24
   Court's Exhibit 1 and Court's Exhibit 2. The government
25
   enters -- offers Court's Exhibit 1 and 2 into evidence.
```

THE COURT: All right. I will offer those into evidence.

Ladies and gentlemen -- and those may be published to the jury as well, if they haven't already.

So ladies and gentlemen, the government and the defendant have agreed or stipulated to the matters of fact that are set forth in Court's Exhibit 1 and Court's Exhibit 2. This means that both sides agree to these facts, and you must therefore treat these facts as having been proven. I'll let you read those.

(Pause.)

MS. CHOY: With Your Honor's permission, I'll just read them into the record?

THE COURT: Oh, yes, that will be fine.

MS. CHOY: Stipulation number 1, which is Court's Exhibit 1, says that both Culpeper County, a local government, and the Culpeper County sheriff's office, an agency thereof, received benefits in excess of \$10,000 under one or more federal programs involving a grant, contract, subsidy, loan, guarantee, insurance, or other form of federal assistance in each of the fiscal years ending June 30th, 2019, June 30th, 2020, June 30th, 2021, June 30th, 2022, and June 30th, 2023.

And stipulation number 2, which is marked as Court's Exhibit Number 2, states that Jack Henry and Associates does not have any data centers or servers in the Commonwealth of

1 Virginia. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Choy. You may 3 take those down now, Kelly. (Court's Exhibits 1 and 2 marked and admitted.) 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Choy, what's next? 6 MS. CHOY: At this time, Your Honor, the government 7 rests. 8 THE COURT: All right. So ladies and gentlemen, 9 we're going to take a quick break. We're going to take a break 10 at this point in time. There are a couple of things I've got 11 to take up before we move forward. So I'm going to ask you to 12 go back to the jury room, and I will -- I'm not exactly sure 13 how long it's going to be, but we'll get you back out here as 14 quickly as we can, or I'll send word back out as to when you're -- when we'll be ready for you again. 15 16 So I'll recess the jury. 17 (Jury out, 1:28 p.m.) 18 THE COURT: All right. You all please have a seat. 19 All right. Mr. Andonian? 20 MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor. At this time, the 21 defense makes a motion for judgment of acquittal, we would 22 submit on the record presented to the Court at this time. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to offer anything in 24 addition to that? I'm going to have the government, if they 25 want to offer anything -- you just submit it on the record?

Pageid#: 2768

MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Any response from the government?

MS. CHOY: The government opposes that motion, Your Honor. We submit that every element of every charged offense has been proven on the record as submitted.

THE COURT: Okay. So at this point -- and I'm going to make more detailed findings later on -- I'm going to take the motion under advisement at this point. Understanding that under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 29, the district court on the defendant's motion must enter a judgment of acquittal on any offense for which the evidence is insufficient to sustain a conviction. A Rule 29 motion focuses on both the elements of the offense, the offenses charged, and the factual sufficiency of the evidence. On the motion for judgment of acquittal, the question is whether the evidence viewed in the light most favorable to the prosecution is such that the finder of fact must find the defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, citing United States v. Wooten, 503 F.2d 65, Fourth Circuit case, 1974. A defendant who brings a sufficiency challenge bears a heavy burden. The court's review of the insufficient evidence claims is sharply limited and defendant is entitled to relief only if no rational trier of fact could have found proof of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The court neither weighs the evidence nor assesses the credibility of witnesses and

Pageid#: 2769

assumes that the jury will resolve all contradictions in the favor of the government.

So that's where we are at this stage. I'm going to take the motion under advisement, and I'll probably rule on it as we go through jury instructions as to whether all the counts go to the jury.

So with that, Mr. Andonian, does the defendant intend to present any evidence?

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor. We intend to call Scott Jenkins.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So Mr. Jenkins, let me get you to stand up here for just a second. There's some advisements I need to give you.

Mr. Jenkins, every defendant in a criminal case has an absolute Constitutional right to testify at trial in their own defense. Similarly, every defendant also has an absolute and equal Constitutional right not to testify. The burden is on the government to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. That burden never shifts throughout the trial. The law does not require a defendant to prove his innocence or to produce any evidence at all. If you decide not to testify, I will instruct the jury that no inference or suggestion of guilt can be drawn from the fact that you did not testify, and that they cannot consider your decision in any fashion whatsoever in their deliberations.

Ultimately, it's up to you to decide whether to testify on your behalf or not to testify. Before you make that decision, I want to make sure that you understand that if you decide to testify, you'll be placed under oath before testifying, and that testifying falsely could result in certain penalties. In particular -- in particular, I tell you that a witness may be subject to prosecution for perjury under federal law if the witness knowingly makes a false material declaration under oath in a proceeding before a federal court.

Additionally, a defendant who provides false testimony at trial and is ultimately convicted may be subject to a sentence enhancement for obstruction of justice. This enhancement applies if the Court finds that the defendant gave false testimony -- one, gave false testimony, two, concerning a material matter, three, that is willfully made with an intent to deceive. Such an enhancement, if it applies, results in a two-level increase in the defendant's total offense level under the United States Sentencing Guidelines and produces a higher range of imprisonment under those advisory guidelines.

I tell you that you have a right to testify if you choose to do so. You also have a right not to testify. It's up to you to decide which right to exercise in this case.

Do you understand these things?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And I presume that you have carefully

1	considered each of these matters, consulted with your attorney
2	in making a decision whether to testify; is that fair to say?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: And it's your decision to testify in this
5	case?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I need to.
7	THE COURT: All right. Very well.
8	Okay. With that, are we ready to bring the jury in?
9	MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's bring the jury
11	back in.
12	(Jury in, 1:34 p.m.)
13	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, please have a seat.
14	Ladies and gentlemen, the government has rested its
15	case. The defendant can present any evidence, if they choose
16	to do so.
17	Mr. Andonian, does the defendant wish to present any
18	evidence?
19	MR. ANDONIAN: We do, Your Honor. And we call Scott
20	Jenkins as a witness.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, come on up, if you would,
22	please, sir.
23	SCOTT H. JENKINS, CALLED BY THE DEFENSE, SWORN
24	THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, as you've heard us before,
25	as you sit down, slide up and bring the microphone to you and

```
Jenkins - Direct
 1
    speak into the microphone.
 2
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
 3
              THE COURT: Mr. Andonian, go right ahead.
 4
              MR. ANDONIAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
 6
    BY MR. ANDONIAN:
 7
        Good afternoon, Mr. Jenkins.
 8
        Good afternoon.
 9
        Could you please introduce yourself to the jury and state
   and spell your name for the record?
10
11
         Scott Howard Jenkins, last name Jenkins, J-E-N-K-I-N-S.
12
        Mr. Jenkins, where were you born?
13
        Culpeper, Virginia.
14
        And where do you live now?
15
        Culpeper, Virginia.
16
        Have you ever lived anywhere else?
17
   Α
        No.
18
        Are you married?
   Q
19
        Yes.
20
        Do you have kids?
21
   Α
        Yes.
22
        Can you tell us a little bit about your educational
23
   background?
24
         I attended Culpeper County Public Schools and graduated
25
   from Culpeper High School in 1989. The only other education
```

1 formally would be Germanna Community College. I took some 2 criminal justice courses in my early 20s as I was a deputy 3 sheriff. Never received an associate's or any type of degree. And of course, criminal justice classes and certifications as a 4 5 law enforcement officer. 6 (Reporter clarification) 7 And I'm sorry, were you done answering? 8 That was it. Criminal justice classes as a law No. 9 enforcement officer through 30, 40 years. Now, we've obviously -- you've heard throughout the course 10 11 of this trial testimony about your tenure as sheriff of 12 Culpeper County, and I want to get to that in a minute. 13 before that, can you just tell us a little bit about your law enforcement background prior to becoming sheriff? 14 15 I graduated high school, as I said, at age 18, I became a 16 deputy sheriff in Rappahannock County working in the jail as an 17 officer for almost I think two years. Then taking on a job 18 with Culpeper County sheriff's office at age 20, beginning in 19 the jail for a short period, and then into patrol. To be 20 short, through the years, a patrol officer in Culpeper 21 sheriff's office, then a detective and patrol sergeant, 22 investigation sergeant, investigation lieutenant. That would 23 have been under Sheriff Hart. I rose to lieutenant of CID, the 24 fourth ranking spot in the office.

No need for detail, but at that point, 2004, my wife and I

were both employed there with Sheriff Hart and we resigned the same day for corruption. I went to work at the Culpeper Police Department later as an entry level officer, became a sergeant in patrol, and targeted investigations.

And in 2007, was approached by community leaders,

Commonwealth Attorney, and the chief of police, mayor, and many
others in the community, gathered together and asked me if I

would be willing to run against the sheriff to get him out of
office. And I chose to do that, under -- unsuccessful.

When the election was over, a dear friend from many years before that I'd worked with in Rappahannock was elected sheriff in Rappahannock. So January 1 of 2008, I took the job as her number two person as chief deputy until the end of 2011, at which time I'd won the election then for Culpeper sheriff, and I took office as sheriff in Culpeper January of 2012, and served until the end of 2023.

- Q Okay. I want to ask just big picture now. In your terms as sheriff, so between 2012 and 2023, can you just describe for us some of your agenda items or your platform?
- A Plenty of things online you could read, were things such as cost savings, and more law enforcement on the street and so forth, increased criminal justice services. My first year in office, proudly we saved over a half million dollars in the jail area alone. And one squad in our patrol division in six months of 2012 made more drug and DUI arrests than the entire

1

4

5

8

9

11

17

24

sheriff's office had in the four years prior, not because of a 2 crime wave, just we created a proactive aggressive office. And 3 I'm very proud of the work they did that year and the years to follow. That first term, it's no secret, when I took over, I removed over 30 employees; I did not re-hire for January 1 of 6 7 Some of those officers had committed crimes and done things they shouldn't. MS. PENG: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this point. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. He can testify he removed 12 some folks, but it's conclusory as to the reasons why. 13 MS. PENG: We also had a motion in limine on this, 14 Your Honor, regarding prior good acts. 15 THE COURT: I'm going to let him give some 16 background, but you know the limits. MR. ANDONIAN: Very well. BY MR. ANDONIAN: 18 19 Mr. Jenkins, just staying very broad, just talking about 20 other agenda items. So you've talked about some of the things 21 that you accomplished when you first took office. What were 22 some other agenda items or platform items that you had? 23 MS. PENG: Same objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: I'm going to let him give a broad picture 25 of his background as to his office, but let's move it along to

1 where we need to be. 2 THE WITNESS: There was a second and third term. 3 know, in the second term -- each term we had certain goals, such as national accreditation for the office. We achieved 4 5 that in that next term, along with -- just for the sake of brevity, I'll just say that, you know, that, along with in the 7 future, it's well-known my stance on protection of the Second 8 Amendment rights, and border security, and our cooperation with 9 ICE for 287(g) agreements to remove criminal illegal aliens. 10 We were only the second office in the State of Virginia to do 11 that, and we were the last to continue doing that a few years 12 ago when I was still in office. No one else in the state was 13 cooperating with ICE. 14 MS. PENG: Objection --15 THE COURT: Let's move it along. MS. PENG: -- and strike the evidence -- or the 16 17 testimony. 18 THE COURT: I'll allow it, but let's move along. 19 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 20 Just two follow-up questions on this. 21 Did you receive any media attention for any of these 22 views? 23 An enormous amount, especially for the Second Amendment

and those stances, there was an enormous amount of media

attention nationally and local.

24

1 Now, as sheriff, did you have political opponents? 2 Numerous. 3 Did you have what you would consider to be political 4 enemies? 5 Absolutely. Mr. Jenkins, you've been in this courtroom throughout the 6 7 duration of the trial thus far, and you've heard a lot of 8 discussion about some of your behavior with respect to 9 communications, putting cell phones away, not using text 10 messages. 11 Do you recall that testimony? 12 Yes, I do. 13 Can you just explain to us why you acted that way? 14 A very good reason. Multiple, multiple reasons. One good 15 example is the Facebook page, Culpeper Deputies No Longer 16 Silent. The current sheriff had one of my deputies secretly 17 record me behind closed doors, and they cut that tape into 18 three different pieces and made it appear I was saying 19 something different. Then they posted it for the world to 20 attack me. And that's just one. But -- well, I don't know how 21 far I can go, but I'll just say that that was just simply a 22 political attack from one of the many political enemies that 23 had -- due to my actions as a sheriff. 24 There were many other reasons. Electronic devices can be used so easily, even back then in 2015, and especially today 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with technology advancements. I mean, we are a local office that has a small budget, and we had apps that we used for investigations where we could turn a cell phone on unbeknownst to anyone and record what's going on in the room. Obviously, with some of the attacks I've had and the things I've been involved with at the national level with border security and other things -- I don't know how much I can say. I want to get one really good example of what I faced, but I don't know if I can say it. Let me just ask you this: Were you ever trying to hide anything illegal? No, that wasn't the purpose. I want to talk to you -- now, again, you've sat in here throughout this trial and you've heard a number of witnesses talk about your tenure as sheriff and powers that you had. Let me just start by asking: When you were sheriff of Culpeper County, how big was your department? Well over 100. As I recall, 119 I believe full-time, and at any given time it fluctuated, two to three dozen part-time employees, which they basically worked full-time hours to fill slots at a cheaper budget price. And then they did various things, court security, civil process, and other things. it took at least that many at any time to handle the volume of calls for service, and management of the jail, and so forth. Okay. Who oversaw ultimately all of those individuals?

1 A In a sheriff's office in Virginia, everyone is appointed 2 by the sheriff, and the sheriff has the sole discretion.

Q As the sheriff, did you have any individuals working under you that were responsible for the day-to-day operations of the office?

A Many. Starts with the chief deputy, the number two person in charge, and then from there, a command staff of people at various ranks, such as captain or lieutenant, down to sergeants overseeing squads.

Q What about Kevin Rychlik, was he -- did he have -- first of all, let me just ask: Did he have any rank within the Culpeper County sheriff's office?

A He was a lieutenant when I left, but before that, he was a sergeant overseeing the auxiliary deputies.

Q Okay. And when you say overseeing the auxiliary deputies, what do you mean by that?

A Well, they were deputies that didn't have rank. And as far as structure, he was the one that was the first line supervision above them, and then it would go from him up to -- up to the chief deputy and the sheriff, flow.

Q What if any role did Mr. Rychlik have in the recruitment of auxiliary deputies?

A Just like other lieutenants and division heads in various areas, they actively look for good personnel to bring into the department. So a patrol captain, for example -- one I can

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

recall, Sean Walters, was one of the greatest recruiters we ever had. He was constantly bringing new faces from other agencies or other places that he found people that would be good quality employees to bring on, and he would bring them forward, vouch for them, and we would begin the process of hiring them. Who ultimately had the final word over the daily operations of the sheriff's office? The sheriff. Are you familiar with the term Constitutional officer? Certainly. What does that mean to you? In Virginia, a Constitutional officer is one of five offices stated in the Virginia Constitution that are elected by the people directly on a platform that they campaign on. they have the Constitutional authority to implement their platform from day one, by choosing their staff as they wish. At any given time during that four-year term they can fire, hire at will in order to continue implementing their platform. It's very different from a department of a government such as a police department or other departments. In Virginia, the Commissioner of Revenue, the Commonwealth's Attorney, the Clerk of the Court, and Treasurer are the other four besides Sheriff who are elected Constitutionally to office and serve four-year terms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the sheriff is a Constitutional officer in the Commonwealth of Virginia? Yes. I'm sorry. I went too long. Trying to give you background. Sheriff -- Mr. Jenkins, when you were sheriff, did you know every single employee that worked for you? Well, almost all of them I had met. You know, the last couple of years I was there, we had grown, and I wouldn't always meet every employee. It might be the chief deputy, or -- you met Pete Siebel, people like that in command would do the screening and interviews, backgrounds, and so on. know, there were a number of them that, you know, I may never meet for a few months or however long until I run into them in the jail or somewhere. I'm always told the name, sign the oath order for Curtis, the chief deputy, or Pete, or someone who is on basically a committee of two or three people that are screening and doing final approval on hiring, they recommend them to me. But no -- you know, just a simple answer, no, I don't know all of them. I would run into people that had -you know, had been working there three, four, five, six months and I had never seen them before. And I guess a related question to that, and you might have answered that in there, but have you -- did you -- at the time you were sheriff, did you meet -- physically actually meet every single employee that was employed by your office?

A No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q I want to shift gears. Again, you've been in this courtroom for the last handful of days, and you've heard a lot of testimony about the auxiliary deputy program, or auxiliary deputies at the Culpeper County sheriff's office.

Let me just ask you, was there an auxiliary deputy program at the Culpeper County sheriff's office under your tenure?

A Yes, from the start.

Q And can you just describe for us what that program entailed?

A sheriff in Virginia can hire any deputy he chooses, appoint them at any time. And that goes for full-time, part-time, paid people, and then also an auxiliary or reserve deputy staff who are not paid or compensated with money, much like in old times -- and some people refer or title them as a posse or other titles. Sheriffs have wide latitude in how they choose to run their office, structure it, and so forth. you can swear in the average citizen as an auxiliary deputy and have them available for call up at any time. Some, such as when I took over as sheriff, there were people that I retained from the prior sheriff that were auxiliaries that worked in the records office. They would fingerprint applicants, file records. Some might do training, such as canine training. I know I had a master canine trainer I had sworn in. I never met him, for years, but he was a huge help to our canine instructor

staff and canine unit for years free of charge. He helped a lot of agencies. But anyway, it varies for auxiliaries. 2 3 hope that answered what you're asking. 4 We talked -- excuse me -- I hope that doesn't mean I'm 5 catching what's got the courtroom here. We talked a minute ago about Kevin Rychlik. Why did you 6 7 appoint Kevin Rychlik to oversee the deputy -- the auxiliary 8 deputy program? 9 I had known Kevin for several years -- well, several 10 I can't remember exactly when we first met, but I had 11 known him -- I'd met him over in Rappahannock County when I 12 worked there as a chief deputy. 13 And what were some of Mr. Rychlik's responsibilities in his role as the lieutenant overseeing the auxiliary deputy 14 15 program? 16 Well, I'd say, you know, the greatest asset was the 17 VASARS, Virginia Airborne Search and Rescue helicopter. 18 an all-volunteer program. I know we've heard from them. 19 it's a service -- you know, they were based in Manassas, and 20 within 15 minutes they could be overhead in Culpeper helping a 21 deputy who is maybe chasing a fugitive or looking for an 22 autistic child that's lost on our Project Lifesaver program. 23 Kevin actually implemented that for us, with us, in the office. 24 Elderly Alzheimer's patients that get lost, they were -- they

ran a lot of missions and helped find a lot of people both, you

know, suspects or criminals, to the other people who were in danger. That was probably one of the greatest services.

And, you know, they provided support at events and things as well, county fairs, parades, and other things where we needed more hands on deck, more personnel to help. Kevin or others that worked with him at VASARS would come down and help out with things such as that, and events.

You know, some of our auxiliaries -- for example, one guy had been a great help as an auxiliary, owns a trucking company. He simply comes out and drives our command bus to events or scenes and things, or on the camera system, eight, ten hours at an event for us, saves a deputy time doing that, or even an armored vehicle --

MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. He's starting to --

MR. ANDONIAN: Very well.

# BY MR. ANDONIAN:

- Q Let me focus you a little bit more with respect to the program, the auxiliary deputy program. What, if any, plans did you have for the program's future?
- A The latest or most recent before I left office -- well, we got stopped by COVID, actually -- but anyway, COVID hit early 2020. Kevin was in charge of standing up an enormous search and rescue program that would include people who bring to bear horses, ATVs, drones, what have you, such as -- and the

helicopter -- to come out when called for large search areas, provide training to people, so forth.

Kevin created a PowerPoint presentation that outlined, briefed our command staff initially, then later all of our supervisors. And that would have been in 2022, because we were hoping to get it going early 2023. No secret, we got stalled by COVID, and we were headed into an election year in 2023. What better time than to kick off a new program that saves lives?

So the intent -- Kevin was managing that and getting it going. We were going out to local fire and rescue first to recruit our first 40, 50 people. And we hoped to have 100 in our first wave of training in the early spring 2023 ready to go. And that basically doubles the strength force multiplier for the office, to double its capacity in the event of a search.

- Q Did Kevin Rychlik have any role in recruitment with respect to that plan to grow the auxiliary deputy program?
- 19 A Certainly.

- Q Did auxiliary deputies -- were they required to reside in Culpeper County?
  - A No. Our full-time weren't. Over half the department my entire tenure, over half the department lives in counties outside Culpeper. It's been made to appear that they don't, but that's a fact.

1 Even if a deputy, whether it's -- well, obviously a 2 full-time, but even if an auxiliary deputy resides outside of 3 Culpeper, was it -- what was your expectation with respect to their provision of service to the office if called upon? 4 5 The same as anyone -- any other deputy. And I had said on national media repeatedly -- everyone knows what I said, that 6 7 they're available for call up. If I were to swear in the 8 thousands I said I would as auxiliaries to keep their firearms 9 under an unconstitutional gun ban, they would be available --10 MS. PENG: Objection. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained. 12 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 13 Let me keep going with the questions about the auxiliary 14 deputy program. Again, you were in here in the courtroom and you heard some testimony from a few witnesses on a general 15 16 order that related to the auxiliary program. Do you recall 17 that? 18 Yes. 19 Okay. First of all, what is a general order in the 20 Culpeper County sheriff's office? 21 A general order is a rule or a procedure for how business 22 is done day-to-day. And it would cover anything from maybe a 23 pursuit policy, to use of force, to uniforms and equipment, any 24 number of things.

Okay. At the end of the day, whose authority are those

general orders issued under at the Culpeper County sheriff's office? 2 3 In my office and any sheriff's office, the sheriff alone, 4 they are the ones responsible. And they may choose not to have 5 general orders or any policy if they so choose. With respect to the specific general order that has come 6 7 up in this trial regarding auxiliary deputies, did you draft 8 that general order? 9 I don't ever remember seeing it. 10 How, if you know, did that general order come about? 11 It would come from the training accreditation officer who 12 does draft the general orders. And just so you understand, I 13 mentioned earlier national accreditation. When I took over, we 14 were state accredited. And they're very similar. Accreditation is roughly 160-plus standards of best practices 15 16 for law enforcement at state accreditation or national. 17 you are reviewed by peers and tested to see that you meet those 18 standards day-to-day in your operations. So for accreditation, 19 our accreditation officer, that is his job to work to see that 20 all of those general orders, day-to-day policies and procedures

Q Okay. Was it ever your intention to have a policy within your office that limited your ability to appoint auxiliary deputies?

25 A Absolutely not.

meet those standards.

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Jenkins, there are a lot of specific things I want to go over with you, but one that I want to start with is this: And I'll just say it -- ask it bluntly. Why is it -- you sat in this trial, you've looked at exhibits. Why is it that you were accepting payments and giving people badges? Accepting donations for your campaign is perfectly okay. Swearing in auxiliaries or full-time deputies is what a sheriff And they have complete latitude to select whoever they choose. What you're seeing and hearing during this trial is a culmination of years of that process being -- I'm searching for the word -- but speeded up, crunched down to multiple things happening for the sake of time because, you know, my staff saw from the fall -- the end of 2019 on, with -- starting with my 15 minutes of fame, I guess, on the gun issue, to other things -- that alone, for example, it crashed the servers for my e-mail. We had to create multiple --MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. I'll strike the last part of that answer. So Mr. Jenkins, focus on the question that's asked, and you know the areas that I have not allowed this trial to go And so stay within those parameters. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. BY MR. ANDONIAN:

Sir, just to get back to the question. Again, you've sat

in this courtroom. You've looked at exhibits. You've seen video clips. And I'm just asking you about these and why it is that you're on video taking money and then giving badges to auxiliary deputies?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Those same actions have happened many times for me and any sheriff as far as receiving donations, hiring or appointing an auxiliary deputy or a regular deputy.

Kevin, as well as other staff, handles backgrounds or screening or what have you, was simply bringing those activities together to meet my schedule, because on any given day, my captain or lieutenant or chief deputy may have me pop in to meet a full-time deputy who maybe is hired for the jail, and I have a two-minute conversation to say hello, and they're on their way to get sworn in and do other things. And it's for an average deputy. Some I may never meet. I try to meet them all, but I don't always do that. And you're seeing what happens with a regular deputy hire or an auxiliary deputy hire, whether they give a donation or they don't. I may meet them. I may not meet them. It's logical, if someone is giving a donation -- and Kevin has told me they are -- then certainly you would want to try, if you're an elected official with a brain, you would want to try to meet anyone who's going to -especially a large donation -- want to meet them and say thank you.

Q Was at any point in time your intent to give a badge away

1 solely in exchange for the payment of money? 2 No. 3 So why was it happening at the same time, again, as we've 4 seen in many of the exhibits throughout this trial? 5 The fact that it was happening at the same time, I understand in hindsight, you know, how it appears and is being 6 7 presented. But if you don't feel you're doing anything wrong, 8 you're just speeding through daily activities like so many 9 other things. My staff could tell you, I mean, from the time 10 you hit the door, it's jump from one thing to the other. 11 That's why you have an assistant, a chief deputy, that are 12 constantly moving you on to the next thing. You pop in -- I 13 mean, the videos and witnesses you've heard this week are proof 14 of that. I mean, I might go to lunch with that new potential auxiliary deputy, and not even have time to go to the 15 16 courthouse to see them sworn in. I'm trying to go to another 17 quick meeting with someone waiting on me at the office. 18 then I pop in, take a photo, and so forth. 19 Understanding the whole picture is what's important of 20 what my day would be like, and what -- I mean, it's like the 21 text messages. I mean, anyone can tell you -- I mean, they had 22 my records. There's thousands of text messages a week. 23 don't necessarily even see them all --24 THE COURT: Let's go to the next question. 25 And Mr. Jenkins, can you slide up to the microphone

```
1
   and bring that microphone in? I'm having a hard time hearing
 2
   you.
         Thank you.
 3
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'll speak up.
 4
             THE COURT: Thank you.
 5
    BY MR. ANDONIAN:
 6
        Did you tell Kevin Rychlik to tell individuals that they
 7
   had to pay $5,000 or some amount of money in order to get a
 8
   deputy auxiliary badge?
 9
        No.
             There were multiple that he brought to me through the
10
   years that never paid a dime or never asked for anything.
11
             MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Sustained.
13
             MS. PENG: Move to strike the testimony.
14
             THE COURT:
                         The question was -- Mr. Jenkins, answer
   the question as asked of you. The question was, did you tell
15
16
   Mr. Rychlik that people had to pay? So just answer that
17
   question that was asked.
18
             So I'll strike the last part of the answer.
19
             THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, sir.
20
    BY MR. ANDONIAN:
21
        I want to turn to a gentleman named Rick Rahim. Again, we
22
   heard Mr. Rahim's name a number of times throughout this trial.
23
   And I want to go back to when you met Mr. Rahim, and in the
24
   months following. How would you describe your relationship
25
   with Mr. Rahim at that time?
```

1 We quickly became friends. 2 Why did you provide all of the help that you did in 3 helping Mr. Rahim try to get his firearms rights restored? From the time I became sheriff, I've never opposed a 4 5 nonviolent felon getting their rights restored. Local attorneys have asked my opinion to support. I've done that 6 7 from 2012. So it was nothing unusual for a man to come to me, 8 especially vouched for or brought to me by a friend and lieutenant of the auxiliaries, and say to me -- you know, to be 9 10 brief, things such as, you know, I'm a father of an 11 and 11 12-year-old boy -- boys, I should say -- who -- you know, I had 12 made a mistake 30 years ago, and it's been a lifelong goal to 13 get my rights restored, to own a gun, to be able to target 14 shoot, take them hunting, and so forth. 15 And let me just stop you right there. Is this what you're 16 describing something Mr. Rahim said to you? 17 Yes, sir. That's what he said. Sorry. I'm trying to be 18 There was so much, you know. But that -- this was a short. 19 hope, a dream of his that he had had, and he knew -- my stance 20 was well-known on that issue for my entire period of sheriff. 21 Other counties in the state obviously are not as favorable. 22 Is that enough? I can say a lot more, but I don't want to 23 24 THE COURT: Just wait for the next question. 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

- 1 BY MR. ANDONIAN:
- 2 Q Did your relationship with Mr. Rahim have anything to do
- 3 with your desire to help him?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Did you help Mr. Rahim get his firearms rights restored
- 6 simply because he provided you money?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Let me ask you some questions about what you knew about
- 9 Mr. Rahim's residency in 2019 around the time -- and 2020, I
- 10 should say, as well.
- 11 What did you know, if anything, about Mr. Rahim's
- 12 | residency in Culpeper?
- 13 A I don't know how much background -- obviously, that's a
- 14 requirement, being a resident, to apply with the court. So
- 15 that said, he entered into a lease with my brother to rent the
- 16 | farmhouse property there in Culpeper. And --
- 17  $\parallel$ Q And let me just stop you there. Were you aware that
- 18 Mr. Rahim and your brother had executed a lease for the
- 19 | farmhouse?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 | Q Is the farm -- we've talked about it. I think we might
- 22 | have seen a picture. But is the farmhouse an actual physical
- 23 | building?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$  Does it have bedrooms in it?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q Does it have running water?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Plumbing?
- 5 A Uh-huh.
- 6 Q What was your understanding --
- 7 A And just to be clear -- I'm sorry, let me add this. I
- 8 know in this past recent months or summer, I know that my
- 9 brother has been having people do remodeling on it. So I don't
- 10 want anyone saying I'm making up -- you know, it may not be
- 11 running water right now, but back then in the years up until
- 12 now -- I just want to make sure that's clear.
- 13 Q Fair enough.
- 14 Did you understand that the lease between Mr. Rahim and
- 15 your brother to be a real lease?
- 16 A Yes. I think he's the one that wrote it.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  What was your understanding of Mr. Rahim's voter
- 18 registration status in 2019-2020?
- 19 A He told me he was registering to vote there, registering
- 20 | his \$100,000 vehicles he had there, multiples, everything you
- 21 | would do.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q Did you know that Mr. Rahim also had a home in northern
- 23 Virginia?
- 24 A More than one.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q What was your understanding of how many homes Mr. Rahim

1 had? 2 I can't swear more, but I do know he had a very large 3 house that he lived in with his family when I met him in Great Falls. I know he had a beach house somewhere in Carolina, and 5 at least one other really nice home in northern Virginia, I think Fairfax. I remember that because of some of the -- a 6 7 lease or something he had an issue with, he mentioned. 8 What was your understanding, given that you were aware that he had other homes, including homes outside of Culpeper --9 10 what was your understanding of what -- what it meant to be a 11 resident of Culpeper? 12 Well, you know, I'm not a lawyer, and I don't know any 13 official definition. I mean, there are many people that have 14 multiple homes. And to my knowledge, what I know to be proper -- and I use one example -- was from an attorney in 15 16 Culpeper, from her mouth, was that --17 MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Calls for hearsay. 19 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 20 Just based on your understanding. 21 Okay. 22 And only your understanding of what residency in Culpeper 23 meant? 24 A person can choose to live in whichever home they want as 25 their primary residence, is how I understood it. And they

choose to, you know, reside there, register vehicles maybe, pay taxes there, register to vote there if they do vote, and so on.

- Q Why did you ultimately believe that Mr. Rahim was a resident of Culpeper County?
- A Because he did all of that and he told me he was. He was going to, and he did.
  - Q Now, staying on the topic of Rick Rahim, did there come a point in time when Mr. Rahim gave you some sum of cash in some dollar amount?
- 10 A Yes.

3

4

7

8

- 11 Q Can you just describe for us why he did that?
- 12 A Rick was a businessman. He did marketing and a lot of
- 13 things. But we became friends. We had -- well, from day one,
- 14  $\parallel$  we had conversations on investments and other things. He did
- 15 that with others besides me, my staff, and family as well.
- 16 Rick is someone always looking to make a dollar or help someone
- 17 make a dollar. So it was a business investment. That's what
- 18  $\parallel$ the money was. We talked about it and moved forward on it.
- 19 Q What kind of business investments were -- are you 20 referring to?
- 21 A Well, the biggest easiest one to point to would be the
- 22 Make Virginia Great Again logo that I had copyrighted. There
- 23 was a -- Governor Northam had a faux pas. And when that hit
- 24 the press that same week, I asked --
- 25 MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Sustained. 2 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 3 Just -- if you could just focus on what the business venture was that you're referring to? 4 5 Okay. So I copyrighted the logo, Make Virginia Great Again, thinking it would be a good investment for future 6 7 election campaigns by the Virginia Republican Party or whoever 8 wanted that phrase. So I had -- I had done that, and Rick and 9 I's conversation quickly led to, you know, why haven't you 10 marketed that and made, you know, red shirts and hats and 11 whatever? And that's what we did. Thousands of dollars. I'm 12 sorry. 13 I didn't mean to cut you off. Well, just -- I mean, we bought thousands and thousands of 14 15 dollars -- you know, there's probably a credit card bill if you 16 look back just for the first order of over \$10,000 just in 17 shirts and hats. And when you have to buy hundreds and 18 hundreds of small, medium, large, up to 4X, and baseball hats 19 and all that, I mean, I -- I mean, I could fill part of this 20 courtroom with the boxes in a storage area now that I still 21 have of those red shirts and hats. COVID hit and we didn't --22 you know, we didn't get -- I'm sorry. I'm going too far. 23 sorry. 24 So did the money that Rick Rahim gave you -- and I'm just 25 talking about kind of the cash payments. We'll talk about the

loan in a minute. But the cash payments, is that where the 2 money went?

Well, that's one, but I mean, yes, to business investments, yes.

Was any of the cash that Mr. Rahim ended up giving you intended for the campaign at all? 6

No.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We've also -- you heard testimony -- and obviously, you know about a loan that you entered into, a loan agreement you entered into with Rick Rahim; do you recall that?

Yes.

12 And can you just tell us a little bit about what that loan 13 was for?

It was written up as a -- I think he called it a bridge loan. I was building a house in 2019, and it was nearing completion. There were overruns and costs and things. And I had put out a lot of money or borrowed money from family or friends to cover things to work towards getting that completed on time. Builders have overruns and things come up, or you add things, if all that makes sense. And so anyway, that was primarily one of the reasons I was -- you know, as far as getting cash in to cover various things. But that money actually was -- I guess you'd say when it had come to fruition and I had the money -- was part of the same thing, you know,

business investment for what we talked about doing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me ask you this: Just a minute ago -- well, a little while ago before you took the stand and Special Agent Medearis was on the stand and was showing all the bank records and documents, the financial documents, and he indicated that some of that loan proceeds -- or all of it, maybe -- was deposited into your brother Mike's bank account. Do you recall that? Yes. Why -- can you explain that? I can't sit here right now and swear exactly. I'm telling you the best I know. And that is -- I mean, I'm trying to think how to prove it. But I mean, it's well-known, all my family, friends, everyone that knows me knows we -- my brothers and I, for example -- or my parents, will share -- you know, loan each other money short-term, whatever, help each other. mean, the way that I got my property to build my first home wasn't from my money. My parents sold their home, gave my brothers and I property to build on, and then from there we -you know, so I'm trying to paint a picture to understand that what looks like something they're painting as bad -- I can't swear exactly how much I might have owed my brother at the time or what I needed to pay Mike Russell, the builder, or the people that I was owing -- or loader work and things I was paying for. When you're not doing anything wrong, you don't try to create some paper trail to cover things. MS. PENG: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. Strike the last portion. 1 2 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 3 While we're on the topic of money that Rick Rahim gave 4 you, do you recall the testimony from Mr. Rahim about a time 5 that you and he were in your pickup truck talking and he gave you some amount of cash? 7 I don't remember it like that. He gave me cash, but this 8 thing -- one of them says we were in the truck --9 Well, let me ask you -- let me ask a question about that. Who was in the truck at that time? 10 11 According to him, it would be him. 12 Yeah, I just want to ask your recollection based on what 13 you remember, who was in the car with you -- if anyone -- with you and Mr. Rahim? 14 I can't swear that he gave me money in the car. I don't 15 16 remember that. The way they both -- they claimed a little 17 different --18 Objection, Your Honor. MS. PENG: 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 Testify from your recollection, Mr. Jenkins. 21 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 22 What do you -- tell us, then, what you recall about the 23 interaction with Mr. Rahim in the truck. 24 I know we were in the truck at some point. I know we've had many -- you know, the first meeting and others, we've had 25

many meals together and gone to lunch. You know, Kevin was 2 present at some point. I don't remember Kevin being in the 3 back of the vehicle either. I know that was said. I don't 4 remember it the way either of them testified. 5 MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. 7 Strike the last response. The answer is 8 nonresponsive. BY MR. ANDONIAN: 9 10 How do you remember that interaction? 11 Do I remember being in a vehicle? 12 Yeah, well, I can break it down like that. Do you 13 remember -- do you have a memory -- I want you to forget about 14 what other people have testified to here. 15 Do you have a memory of being in the truck with Rick 16 Rahim? 17 I was in the truck with him at multiple points, yes. 18 And do you have a recollection of Rick Rahim giving you 19 money at any point in time when you were in the truck with him? 20 I don't remember that. 21 When did Rick Rahim give you money, if not when you and he 22 were in the truck? 23 I'm sorry. Say it --24 Sure. If you don't remember Mr. Rahim giving you money while you were in the truck with him, when is it that Mr. Rahim

1 did give you money?

- 2 A Well, once standing, you know, with each other handing me
- 3  $\parallel$  the money directly, not seated in a truck. And then another
- 4 I time at a restaurant.
- 5  $\mathbb{I}$ Q Do you recall the testimony in the exhibit that we saw
- 6 | about Mr. Rahim's civilian helicopter pilot ID that was made up
- 7 | from the Culpeper County sheriff's office?
- 8 A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q Can you just explain for us why you had that
- 10 dentification card made up for Mr. Rahim?
- 11 A No secret. He wanted to be part of the office, just like
- 12 he was part of VASARS at one point with Kevin as a pilot. I
- 13 knew him to be a pilot and own his own helicopter at the time.
- 14 As I said, we had become friends. You know, it's just no
- 15 surprise. You know, he -- he wasn't at a point where I was
- 16 | ready to swear him in as a deputy, obviously, but I looked at
- 17 ∥that as something very kind or good to do for someone who is --
- 18 they're your friend, you know.
- 19  $\|Q\|$  You said that you understood that Mr. Rahim had a
- 20  $\blacksquare$ helicopter at the time you made that identification. Did I
- 21 hear that correctly?
- 22 **|** A Uh-huh.
- 23  $\parallel$ Q When you gave Mr. Rahim that identification card and
- 24 knowing he had a helicopter, was it your expectation that he
- 25 | would use that helicopter if you needed him to use it for the

office? 1 2 Yes. 3 Mr. Jenkins, I want to jump to a different topic. 4 I'm sorry, could I add about that ID? 5 Let me ask -- let me just ask a question. Is there something else about the identification card that we're talking 6 7 about for Mr. Rahim that you would like to add? 8 MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. 10 THE WITNESS: The ID card is just a standard format. 11 We're a small agency. We make our own ID cards with a machine; 12 buy it at Staples maybe. But Bernie Feaganes and others were 13 ones that made IDs for the office. So Bernie and Rick had 14 become quick friends and flown together. Bernie is a pilot. recall, you know, Bernie was the one that was like, look, you 15 16 know, making this ID, there's a stock sample ID that says 17 whatever it does, deputy sheriff, what have you, on the 18 signature line, and the photo area on the ID card, for every 19 employee. You may be -- I don't know, a jail cook or a records 20 clerk or something in the office and have an ID card that looks 21 the same as that format with a star and things as the other 22 deputy cards. I know it seemed the other day when testifying 23 like it was odd, but it's not odd. 24 MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Sustained. So it's become more of an

area of discussion, so let's move on. 1 2 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 3 I want to turn your attention to a different topic. 4 this is the -- again, you sat in here and you listened to 5 witnesses, you've seen exhibits, and there's been testimony and video clips of you yourself talking about channeling money 6 7 that's coming to you through your brothers, for example, or 8 other sources. 9 Do you recall that evidence and that testimony? 10 Yes. I saw that. 11 Can you explain for us why it is you would be telling 12 anybody that they could take money that was intended for you 13 and your campaign and give it through your brothers? 14 I think unfortunately the way -- as you're pointing to 15 those videos, I guess we all say things quickly or respond to 16 things at times and wish we had said it in a different way, but 17 nonetheless, maybe poor choices of words or phrasing, but 18 what's in my head and what I know to be proper or correct, as I 19 -- I don't have any campaign or finance training or education; 20 I'm not saying that. But what I know and understand to be 21 right, to be legal, to be proper, and what I learned from my 22 whole life as a sheriff and as a deputy working under sheriffs 23 on their campaigns, was just that, that if you, or you and a 24 couple other people want to support a campaign, you can do so. 25 You may do so individually, you may recruit others to do

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fundraisers and things of that nature, but it's up to you to choose -- I guess that's what I'm trying to say -- it's up to you to choose how you want to go about that donation. you taking out \$20 that you have, or together creating a fundraiser and you make \$600, and you want to create a donation from six different names or the Scott Jenkins For Sheriff chicken dinner proceeds -- you know, you have to document when it's over a certain amount, you know, names and so forth. hope that -- I don't know how far I can --But let me dig into this more. But why would you be talking about people making payments through other people instead of just simply giving you money directly and declaring it as a direct donation? Well, I understand what you're asking better now, I think. That's -- any politician or people who are in campaigns quickly learn there's a couple of reasons. Like if you have someone, a business person or a business, for example, wants to donate -it's two reasons primarily. One is if someone wants to donate \$10,000 to a campaign in 2024, if you write that \$10,000 check in January, for example, to a small-town sheriff's campaign who doesn't maybe have that many donors, well, very quickly, you know, Delegate Whoever -- Bryce Reeves, Nick Freitas, whoever -- is going to see that, and poach your donors, is the way, you know, candidates see that. So for one reason, if the person wants to donate a large

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

amount, then me personally and most candidates would tell you they would rather they do it in smaller amounts maybe to be less on the radar for people to try to plead to them for money, money that you would hope to maybe get later in the year if they only donated a few thousand and so on. I hope that's making sense.

And you know, the other thing is, too, we all know that, you know, we as humans in the public reading a newspaper or looking at a finance report quarterly on these campaigns, we have short memories. And if we see, you know, Tom Smith donated \$2,000 in January, then the quarterly report is like a 90-day period, then that next time they read a report in like May or June, and they see there's another 2 or 3,000 by Tom Smith, a lot of people looking at that -- and the media doesn't even pick up and do stories either on it -- they just don't notice it. Well, it may look like Scott or whoever's campaign it is is getting a lot of people donating all year long when it might be the same person. So it can give you a momentum or view that, you know, more and more people are jumping on the bandwagon of the campaign, and that encourages more donations or more support, or just people looking to decide who to vote for, see who's raising what, that you know --

point. It's nonresponsive to the original question.

THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. It became

MS. PENG: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this

1 more of a narrative, so --BY MR. ANDONIAN: 2 3 I'll focus the question. And what I'm hearing you describing is perhaps why people might want to split donations 4 5 up into different amounts. But I wanted to go back to the question of why it is you would be talking about people -- if I 6 7 had money and I wanted to donate it to you, why you would be telling me, hey, you can give it to my brother instead, instead 8 of just giving it to you directly. Why go through your 9 brother? 10 11 I'm sorry, I thought I explained where I was coming from. 12 Well, I'm just trying to -- again, we saw a number of 13 clips where you're saying something to the effect of if 14 somebody wanted to just give my brother a check and then my 15 brother could donate to the campaign, but I would know where it 16 came from -- do you recall those video clips? 17 Yes. Uh-huh. 18 Why is it that you were suggesting that people instead of 19 donating directly to you could give money to your brother 20 instead, and have your brother make the donation, instead of 21 them making the donation directly -- or is the answer that you 22 gave previously, is that your answer to that question? 23 I mean, I guess I could add to my answer that I already 24 gave, because that was accurate. But you know, in addition to 25 that, as I understand and have always known to be the legal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

standard, if I'm not the one, you know, doing that, you are perfectly free to go talk to whoever you would like about donating together, individually, what have you. Some people don't want their name or their business -- a lot of times it's a business -- tied to something. So, you know, constantly all the time -- I mean, God knows how many people do that every day -- and it has nothing to do with me as a candidate. You can choose at any time to give someone money. If you talk to them, if that person wants to later take that money and give it to a stranger or a campaign, that's -- that's something people do. I know they do that a lot. That's the only other thing I can tell you that -- I'm not -- I don't know how else I can explain that part, if that's what the question is. Let me ask it this way. THE COURT: Let's move on from this. MR. ANDONIAN: Can I just -- one more? THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah. BY MR. ANDONIAN: One more question. Let me just ask it this way: When you were making a suggestion that people could give money to your brothers instead of directly to you, were you trying to suggest that anybody do anything illegal? No.

You also saw video clips of you -- or maybe it was an

1 audio clip of you -- in which case you heard -- discussing with 2 Kevin Rychlik having payments come in in different amounts. 3 Do you recall that? 4 Yes. I saw that. 5 Can you explain what it was you meant by that when you were telling Mr. Rychlik that maybe it would be better to have 6 7 payments come in in different amounts? 8 Repetitive here, but it's basically what I was just saying 9 a few minutes ago. It's helpful to a campaign to generate 10 support, a positive impression in the community, to have more 11 donors, more donations coming in, even if it's from the same 12 person multiple times. A lot of people do that. And again, my 13 preference would be, unless you need the money for something 14 right away, it would be better politically, looking at a campaign, to receive 1,000 or 2,000, and then again a month 15 16 later, whenever, another 1,000, you know, or 100, whatever it 17 is, and have multiples, because it's -- you're getting the 18 money, but getting it that way, it helps you politically. 19 Was there ever any intent when you told Mr. Rychlik about 20 potentially having people split payments up into different 21 amounts to have people do something unlawful? 22 No. 23 Was it ever your intent when you asked Mr. Rychlik about 24 having people split payments up into various amounts, to have 25 -- to help somebody conceal money in any way?

1 No. 2 Mr. Jenkins, I want to turn now to another -- another 3 aspect of the trial, and I'll call your attention to a phone call that we heard yesterday, I believe, between you and 4 5 Mr. Rychlik -- or it was a recorded conversation between you and Mr. Rychlik in the parking lot of a Target in Gainesville. 6 7 Do you remember that? 8 Yeah. 9 And in that conversation -- I'm just orienting you to it -- in that conversation, you and Mr. Rychlik were discussing 10 11 a potential gun -- sale of several guns. 12 Do you recall that? 13 Yes. 14 Mr. Jenkins, I just have to ask: What was that 15 conversation about? Why were you having that conversation with 16 Kevin Rychlik? 17 Basically to correct a problem. 18 And what was that problem? 19 As short as I can make it, it was the dawn of an election 20 year, 2023. I had taken donations in. To my knowledge, first 21 reports are never due until after the first month at least,

February 1st, for example, at least in my brain that's what I

always had David Myers -- or in earlier years maybe another

person, another assistant -- but they would always ding me,

thought. The whole time I'd been sheriff, every campaign, I've

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

text me, or message me to say, hey, you've got a campaign report due two weeks from now, or one week from now, so that I would know that if there was any deposits to be made or anything -- new information to give to the treasurer, which is David Jones you heard from, or one of the ladies. In the latter years, it wasn't David directly, but one of his ladies like Donna or Jenny that David would communicate directly with for me. But I hadn't gotten one of those messages from David. I know -- I don't know exactly the reason. It's just -- he had been out injured, a line of duty incident. And I guess for whatever reason in December, whenever that report was due, it had been missed. And you know, I had gotten checks from a couple of people you heard from this week, and they were deposited. But it was -- after those checks, I had gotten these cash donations. And I had those. I took them home, put them in the vault. So they weren't deposited and, I mean, just -- it looks horrible, but it was me trying to fix -- to legally fix a problem where one of a couple of things had to happen: When I realized, okay, you know, past time and there's a report due, then I can try to do this to be a legal process of that, and --Well, let me ask you this: Why didn't you just amend the report that you had missed and include the cash donations that you had received? Α Politics, and --

1 What do you mean by that? 2 Being attacked. I mean, I'm -- the media, the political 3 opponents, I'm constantly under attack. So it would just -- it would be like red meat for them to just come after me. 4 5 Why -- help us understand. Why would it be like red meat by just simply filing an addendum or an amendment? 6 7 In my mind -- maybe I made too much of it, but you know, 8 panicked, whatever you want to call it. In my mind, that's the 9 way I looked at it at that time, and that's what brought me to 10 do that, because I was -- I was trying to do something that 11 would be legal and proper to -- because, you know, that's the 12 world I've always worked in with law enforcement. You know, 13 there were lines and things you -- when you file or sign the 14 document, that's where it matters is what goes on record. And that's what I was trying to accomplish. 15 16 So talk us through this. You never had a conversation 17 with anybody, those four individuals, about selling them a gun. 18 Why is it that you came up with that idea, and what was that 19 particular idea going to accomplish? 20 Well, it was the fastest way to solve the problem quickly 21 to do that. Something needed to be done then, and you know, 22 Kevin is the one that knew -- they were VASARS guys or whatever 23 that came to me from him and so forth. So, you know, Kevin 24 being a licensed gun dealer for years, and me knowing that, 25 then -- and that's the only way legally you could do it,

- 1 because the law had changed, where, you know, it used to be you
- 2 could sell a gun to someone with -- used with no paperwork if
- 3 lit's not through a dealer. But at that time, it's required.
- 4 So in order to make that transaction, Kevin being a licensed
- 5 | federal firearms dealer, he was just the perfect logical
- 6 solution to go to, and of course to communicate directly with
- 7 them about, you know, making it happen.
- 8 Q So were you -- is it fair to say that you were essentially
- 9 changing the money they gave you from a donation to a purchase?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q Did you bring firearms to that meeting with Mr. Rychlik in
- 12 the Target parking lot?
- 13 A I did.
- 14  $\|Q\|$  And I think we saw them in the courtroom the other day,
- 15  $\parallel$ and we saw pictures of them as well. Are those the firearms
- 16 | that you brought with you?
- 17 A I saw the pictures. I didn't see them. But yes, they
- 18 were the ones that I owned.
- 19  $\|Q\|$  And my next question was going to be, those were, in fact,
- 20 your guns?
- 21 A Yes. I have a large, large collection. Collected all my
- 22 life.
- 23 Q Were those guns valuable?
- 24 A Very.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And I should be more particular. Were they valuable in

1 terms of their monetary worth?

- 2 A Oh, yes. Yes. Thousands of dollars.
- 3 Q At the end of that conversation with Mr. Rychlik in the
- 4  $\parallel$ parking lot -- and we saw, it was an exhibit -- a handwritten
- 5 receipt that had the different guns on them, and you and
- 6 Mr. Rychlik signed it. Do you recall that?
- 7 | A Yes.
- 8 Q At the end of that meeting, did you, in fact, leave the
- 9 guns with Mr. Rychlik?
- 10 **A** I did.
- 11  $\mathbb{Q}$  What was your intent that Mr. Rychlik would do with those
- 12 guns?
- 13 A At some point after that night, he would complete the
- 14 | actual transaction of signing the guns over to each of those
- 15 people.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And what, if anything, would become of the money, the cash
- 17 | that they gave you at that point?
- 18 A Well, it would be simply a sale of a gun. So it would be
- 19 my money to keep and do as I wish, I guess, at that point.
- 20 ||Q Were you trying to do anything illegal by suggesting that
- 21 you convert these, at one point, donations, into just a sale of
- 22 guns?
- 23  $\parallel$ A No. The opposite. I was trying to make it legal.
- 24 Q And again, what were you worried about if you didn't do
- 25 ∥the gun sale that you had talked to Mr. Rychlik about -- what

1 were you worried would happen if you otherwise kept the cash 2 that was given to you by those individuals? 3 Well, it has to be documented. I couldn't -- you know, it 4 would be a crime if I just kept the money. Then it would be 5 what they're trying to say, a bribe, or whatever it would be -what it is. I was trying to do just that, and if that was not 6 7 completed or successful, I've only got two options, and that is 8 it's a donation into the campaign, which paperwork has to be 9 done and, you know, public reporting and so on. The only other alternative is it could be a loan, which again, I would have to 10 11 contact them, get a change from them verbally or something to 12 ask could it be created as a loan, which, you know, that's 13 probably more headache than doing what I did with the sale of the firearms. But those are the only options to do it legal, 14 is one of those ways. 15 16 Is the reason -- so let me -- sorry, let me orient you 17 again. And again, this is going back to Special Agent 18 Medearis's testimony before we broke for lunch. And you saw 19 that he had a chart up about what happened to the cash 20 donations that were made by a certain number of individuals, 21 and that those donations were not reported on the Scott Jenkins 22 For Sheriff financial disclosure report. 23 Do you recall that? 24 Yes. Yeah, the spreadsheet. 25 Q The spreadsheet.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Why is it that the cash payments that you received from the individuals that we're talking about -- I believe it was Mr. Howell, Mr. Cooper, Mr. Sandi, or Mr. McKee and Mike -- why is it that those cash payments were not reported on the Scott Jenkins For Sheriff financial reporting documents? I think I understand what you're asking as far as the timing. Why it wasn't was I didn't realize there was a report due prior to when I went to Kevin. And then I discovered that there was one that had been missed. Again, David would normally text me and let me know. And so I had missed -- you know, in off years -- '20, '21, and '22 were not election years. 2023, which is when this happened in January, was the election year. And to my brain, I just assumed -- I mean, no one had called or told me -- I thought February 1 or March 1 or something would be the first report for the year when it's due. And, you know, that's when we want to deposit what we can, the war chest -- you know, it sounds like it's a bad thing. It's -- you know, you deposit a bunch of money and show, you know, support. I just thought, you know, that's when it was due, was it was coming soon. But as it turns out, there was one -- from the time they had given me that money, there was -- I don't know, sitting here right now, I can't say when it was, but at some point prior to that. In your understanding, would you have to report a private gun sale on a campaign finance disclosure document?

A qun sale? No.

While we're on the topic of reporting, again, you were in 3 the courtroom during Mr. Rahim's testimony, and you heard Mr. Rahim talking about a number of things he did for your 4 5 campaign -- or at least tried to do for your campaign. One 6 thing that came up were paying for billboards, there were some 7 fliers or postcards that were made, and maybe some other objects. And you saw -- do you recall that testimony?

Yes.

1

2

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And you -- also we were shown some exhibits of campaign finance disclosure documents for your campaign. And none of those items appeared anywhere on those documents. Can you explain why that is?

- Because they shouldn't.
- 15 Well, why is --

They weren't campaign material -- because he runs with an idea and goes and makes these little trinket knives that say Culpeper Sheriff Scott Jenkins. Doesn't say For Sheriff. doesn't say paid for by Scott Jenkins For Sheriff. That's not campaign materials. It was totally done -- that was just one example of things he'd run and do -- you know, some -- I guess a Chinese company made these little pocket knives, and you know, he probably ordered a couple hundred of them. That's just clear one example where I remember he -- because he was told, well, we can't use those, that's -- you know, and he'd

probably rather throw them in the trash than start handing them 2 out to people. But it could be an ink pen or anything. 3 like you mentioned, fliers, that one I remember too because David Myers and his wife Patty have worked on campaigns for me 4 5 multiple times and done a lot of leg work with laying out fliers and things on the computer. And there was this batch of 6 7 fliers -- I remember my brother, David, his wife, and others in 8 the campaign were upset that --9 MS. PENG: Objection. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. 11 BY MR. ANDONIAN: 12 Let me just ask again. Why -- I mean, the question is why 13 none of these items showed up on the campaign finance reports as -- we heard the term in-kind donations? 14 It was something he went and did, and he didn't do it 15 16 right, without asking anybody. He wanted to do good things. 17 His intent was right. I'm not bad-mouthing him about that. 18 It's just he runs and would do things like these fliers. 19 didn't have paid for by Scott Jenkins For Sheriff. So you can 20 throw them in the trash or start a fire with them, but they're 21 no good. And nothing like that is anything -- if it's not used 22 for the campaign, then it's not an in-kind donation. 23 Well, that is going to be my question, then. 24 Did you use any of those items for the campaign? 25 When you mentioned a billboard, I don't know the No.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

details of that. I mean, I -- I've never had any -- I don't get my fingers in that because I don't know anything about --I'm not tech savvy. So whether he laid out the billboard on his -- he did marketing and things, and had the ability to -like I said, he set up this web page and did sales marketing to Make Virginia Great Again stuff. Same thing for this. have -- he may have laid out this billboard, did things for I don't know. I never -- I didn't get in the weeds, but you know, he and David and other people that handle the campaign stuff, it's up to them if they use something to get it reported to Donna or Jenny and have it on the list. But I wouldn't know. Okay. So I just want to make sure I'm understanding. What you're saying is that David Myers, who we heard from obviously in this courtroom, you were relying on -- were you relying on him to make a report of, for example, the billboards or anything else that was used for the campaign? I always did. I mean, I never -- I never did those Yeah. things. That was something I greatly appreciate, but that's what they always did. I'm going to turn your attention to a different topic again. Another one that we just heard about before we broke from lunch when Special Agent Medearis was testifying, and it was your purchase of a gun at a gun show at the Dulles Expo Center or wherever it was.

Do you recall that? 1 2 Yeah, sure do. 3 And there was testimony from Special Agent Medearis about how you purchased that gun, specifically that you used cash for 4 5 that. Do you recall that? 6 7 Yes. 8 Can you talk us through a little bit about the 9 circumstances of you buying that gun? Yes. And I hope I can say this. It's a simple process. 10 11 Yes, I paid cash for the gun. That was on a Saturday afternoon 12 at the Dulles gun show. I constantly, my whole life, go to gun 13 shows constantly throughout the year. I buy, trade, swap guns 14 since I was a teenager in high school. So this is a normal week of the Chantilly gun show. Friday afternoon they're open 15 16 3 to 8. I go there, I discovered this gun that I want to buy. 17 Friday afternoons are times when you just kind of go, have 18 dinner with friends, whatever, look and see what's there, 19 before the crowd hits on Saturday. So I identified this gun 20 that I wanted. It's \$3,000. Talked to the older gentleman 21 that had it, said you know, I left, came down here, don't have 22 that cash on me right now. Huge line over at the ATM, but I 23 couldn't get that much out of the ATM then anyway. So he said, 24 you know, I'll hold it, I'll have it in the morning.

coming back Saturday morning to get it first thing when they

25

opened at 9.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In Amissville that morning we had a barricade stand-off with a man having his --

MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Focus on what happened, so -- as to why he spent the \$3,000 in cash.

MR. ANDONIAN: I think he's trying to explain what -- the circumstances around why he came back.

THE COURT: Let's focus on that.

THE WITNESS: I couldn't get there when they opened to buy the gun at 9. I was -- I was detained. I was working until noon when the bank's closed. So, you know, in my gun vault where I had taken that money they gave me, and it was still sitting there -- I mean, I have silver and things I collect. There's other money of mine in the safe. But when you have an envelope that's all hundreds as opposed to, you know, your other -- I just -- I grabbed an envelope because again, nothing illegal about that. Nothing wrong. The fact that it may have been the same dollars that was out at one of the -- you know, multiple envelopes where they should be since the time I got them right there, I took money out of my -that's the simple answer. I took money out of my safe, hundreds, smallest bundle you can carry in an envelope, and I went and bought the gun. And money -- you know, none of that money was missing from their donations around the safe.

1 know, one moves -- for reporting for donations, there is no 2 requirement that if you --3 MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Sustained. Move on. Next question. 5 BY MR. ANDONIAN: I want to go back to another scene, if you will, with you 6 7 and Mr. Rychlik, and you were outside standing near some 8 vehicles, and you were -- this could be an audio recorded call. 9 Your recollection controls over mine. But you were having a 10 conversation with Mr. Rychlik about preferring to do your part of things first, and then maybe sometime later a donation were 11 12 to come in. 13 Do you remember that? 14 I think so. And in this conversation, you're saying to Mr. Rychlik --15 16 you said to Mr. Rychlik -- and again, it was an exhibit that 17 was played -- I would rather do my part, being swearing them in 18 first, and then maybe the money comes in at some other point in 19 time. 20 What did you mean by that? 21 That's just repeating the same thing I've said many times. 22 It's just that. It's appearances, why we're sitting here now, 23 when we got to the point where multiple things are happening at 24 one time, it looks like something that it isn't. 25 perfectly okay, but appearances, perspective; if you do one

1 thing one day and another another day or week or whatever, 2 month later, then you don't get attacked. 3 Okay. So are you saying that you were -- I'm just trying to make sure I'm understanding you -- that you were looking at 4 5 it from a political optics perspective, that it might look better to not have everything happening in this 6 7 abbreviated way? 8 MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. 9 THE COURT: It's leading. Rephrase. BY MR. ANDONIAN: 10 11 I think you answered it. I'll move on. 12 Going back to Kevin Rychlik, we've talked about his role 13 as a lieutenant, his role with the auxiliary deputy program. 14 just want to make sure I'm understanding clearly. What, if any, role did Kevin Rychlik have with respect to recruiting 15 16 auxiliary deputies for the Culpeper County sheriff's office? 17 Well, obviously as the head of that division, like a 18 lieutenant over another division, patrol, jail, or whatever, 19 he's working directly with those people, and those are the 20 supervisors of -- a jail group, for example, are going to be 21 the best people to know the right fit and what they're looking 22 for at any given time for their division. For example, he 23 might need -- we were going to stand up -- he was working on 24 standing up a new big search and rescue division of 25 auxiliaries. So we would want drones. It's in his outline,

his PowerPoint he gave to the office multiple times in his plans. We would want drones, canines. Rick Rahim had a SHERP, 2 3 amphibious vehicle, all-terrain vehicle, ATVs, horses, things of that nature. So Kevin, as the one that's going to be 4 5 heading that program, is the main guy to scan and look and interact with people that would be bringing to bear what we 6 7 need, the best resources, not just the person themselves, 8 like -- you know, like Kevin being a pilot and owning a SHERP 9 that is an amphibious vehicle, he had that available for us. 10 Did you trust Mr. Rychlik to bring you qualified 11 candidates to be auxiliary deputies? 12 Yes. He did it for years. 13 What, if any, role did Mr. Rychlik have in helping you 14 raise money for your campaign? We talked, you know, from early on years. You know, Kevin 15 16 was great. I mean, we had -- you know, there's other people 17 that help you with your campaign, fundraising and things, of 18 course. You know, some people throw dinners or, you know, 19 silent auctions, and all kinds of things for local elections, 20 but Kevin, you know, was just one of those people -- but 21 certainly as big as anyone, because he had the contacts for his 22 VASARS unit. And as I already explained, you know, the VASARS 23 unit was part of our office, because it came and supported our 24 operations. 25 So I hope I'm explain -- you know, Kevin -- Kevin is one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the best we'd ever had at finding people because they already donate to VASARS. It's a very expensive volunteer rescue service. It's hundreds of thousands of dollars a year to run it. So he had lots of donors and people -- they did an annual gala to raise money, and we would help with that. You know, I've attended that multiple times, that dinner. So I don't want to say too much. I mean, there's so much I could say. And I know. I'll focus you, that when Kevin would bring you individuals and recommend them to the auxiliary deputies and also indicate that they wanted to make a donation, was it your understanding that the donation -- or them becoming auxiliary deputies was entirely dependent on them giving a donation? Absolutely not. Why did you ultimately swear in the individuals that Mr. Rychlik had brought to you to become auxiliary deputies? Because Kevin brought them. He brought his brother, his wife, and others who I'd never asked, never received a dime from. I don't know how many -- I mean, I can go on, but I mean, that's not -- it wasn't a requirement. Some did, some didn't. We're talking about the ones that did, but there were --MS. PENG: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained.

```
1
              MS. PENG: Move to strike.
 2
              THE COURT: I'll strike the last portion of that.
 3
    BY MR. ANDONIAN:
 4
        Was your intent to take a bribe at any point in time in
 5
   exchange for giving out a deputy auxiliary badge?
        No.
 6
 7
              MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, may I just have one moment
 8
   to consult?
 9
              THE COURT: Yes, sir.
10
              (Pause.)
11
              MR. ANDONIAN:
                            Thank you, Mr. Jenkins. That's all I
12
   have.
13
              THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, we've
14
   been going for about an hour and a half. Let's take a break
15
   until about 3:15, and then we'll come back as well. Please do
16
   not discuss the case amongst yourselves or begin to make any
17
   decisions at all. And with that, we'll allow you to be
18
   recessed until 3:15.
19
    (Jury out, 3:01 p.m.)
20
              THE COURT: You all please have a seat.
21
              So Mr. Jenkins, during the break, you cannot have any
22
   discussion at all about your testimony, including with your
23
   lawyers, since you're still on the stand.
24
              Otherwise, Ms. Peng, anything we need to address from
25
   the government's standpoint before we recess?
```

```
Jenkins - Cross
 1
              MS. PENG: Not at this time, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?
 2
 3
              MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Very well. We'll stand in
 4
 5
   recess until 3:15.
 6
              (Recess.)
 7
              THE COURT: You all please have a seat. We're back
   on the record in the matter of United States v. Jenkins.
 8
   the record reflect the government is present by its counsel.
 9
   The defendant likewise is present along with the benefit of
10
   counsel.
11
12
             Ms. Peng, anything we need to address before we bring
13
   the jury in?
14
              MS. PENG:
                        No, Your Honor.
15
              THE COURT: Mr. Andonian?
16
              MR. ANDONIAN: No, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Let's bring the jury in.
18
    (Jury in, 3:16 p.m.)
19
              THE COURT:
                          Ladies and gentlemen, please have a seat.
20
              All right. Ms. Peng, any cross-examination?
21
              MS. PENG: Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.
22
23
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
24
    BY MS. PENG:
25
        Hello, Mr. Jenkins.
```

- 1 A Hello, ma'am.
- 2 Q You served as sheriff of Culpeper County for 12 years; is
- 3 | that right?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q And before that, how many years did you spend in law
- 6 enforcement?
- 7 A I began in 1990.
- 8 Q So you've been in law enforcement, say, for over 30 years?
- 9 A Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q And so you understand the importance of good law
- 11 enforcement as much as anyone, right?
- 12 A I would say so.
- 13 Q And you agree that it's important for law enforcement to
- 14 | be honest?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q To have integrity?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Because the public relies on them?
- 19 **|** A Yes.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q Places their trust in their law enforcement officers?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And your main duty as the sheriff of Culpeper County was
- 23 the uphold the law for the citizens of Culpeper, correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q You were the top law enforcement officer for Culpeper

- 1 | County?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3  $\parallel$ Q And in order to uphold the law, you have to know what the
- 4 law is, right?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 ■Q And would you agree with me that a law enforcement
- 7 officer, let alone the top law enforcement officer for a
- 8 county, should know more than the average citizen the
- 9 importance of following the law?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q And not ways to get around it, right?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Because upholding the law is their job, right?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And would you agree with me that it's also important for
- 16 law enforcement officers to tell the truth?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q That there are many criminal proceedings that depend on
- 19 | the truthful testimony of law enforcement officers?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21  $\|Q\|$  Testimony that could land people in prison, right?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And given your 30 years of law enforcement experience, you
- 24 know that the Constitution has special rules governing the
- 25 Itruthfulness of testimony by law enforcement officers, right?

```
1 A Yes.
```

- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$  And that a finding of untruthfulness by a court of a
- 3 police officer is a really big deal?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Because that's a record that could follow around that
- 6 officer for the rest of their career?
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8 Q And you also understand that taking an oath to tell the
- 9 truth means that you're obligated to tell the truth, right?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 ||Q And you just took an oath today to tell the truth before
- 12 this jury?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q And you agree with me that it's really important not to
- 15 lie when you've taken an oath?
- 16 **A** Yes.
- 17  $\parallel$ Q Isn't it true that a federal court has previously found
- 18 you had lied under oath?
- 19 Are you asking that there was a trial and I was able to
- 20 defend myself?
- 21 MR. ANDONIAN: Your Honor, I'll object to this.
- 22 THE COURT: She's on cross. I'm going to let her
- 23 explore.
- 24 BY MS. PENG:
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$  My question is, not in this trial, but previously in your

law enforcement career, isn't it true that a federal court has 2 previously found that you had lied under oath? 3 The inmate --4 That's a yes or no question. 5 Then no, because the inmate told the truth. It's a 6 deposition. It's on record. We were exonerated, Mack and I 7 both, Lightfoot said I never threatened him. We didn't do anything of the sort. 9 Isn't it true that a federal court had previously found you had engaged in outrageous misconduct? 11 That was a hearing held with no input from us before we 12 ever heard of it. 13 And in fact, because of your untruthful testimony and your outrageous misconduct, that led to the wrongful conviction of 14 an innocent man, a 15-year-old boy; isn't that accurate? 15 16 No, that's not. That's a finding without us defending 17 ourselves, and later the truth was found. I'd love to get into 18 the details, and let's get the depositions. This would be 19 amazing. I hope we can dig at this. This will show -- Gary 20 Close, James Mack, and I, did nothing wrong, and it's on the 21 depositions. I'd love -- let's bring all that out. We were 22 exonerated. We didn't do what they accused us of. This is 23 wonderful. It shows my honesty. 24 So you disagree that there was a court finding that found

25

that a prior conviction --

You were not the defendant on trial in that case we were

just discussing where the conviction was vacated. You were a

Correct. It was our case that we prosecuted, the

Commonwealth's Attorney and us as detectives.

witness in that case, correct?

21

22

23

24

25

- 1 Q But you are the defendant on trial in this case, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And you've taken an oath to testify truthfully, correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q All right. Now, in your 30 years as a law enforcement
- 6 officer, you have to deal with a whole host of people
- 7 committing all kinds of crimes; isn't that true?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q How many criminal cases, ballpark, would you say you have
- 10 handled in your long experience as a law enforcement officer?
- 11 A Criminal cases -- I mean, I spent ten years as a
- 12 detective, patrol a lot of years. Hundreds. I guess it could
- 13 be thousands. I don't know.
- 14 Q It could be thousands?
- 15 A Could be.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q So it's fair to say that you're very familiar with how
- 17 people commit crimes?
- 18 **A** Yes.
- 19 Q That means you're also very familiar with how people who
- 20 | commit crimes try to avoid getting caught?
- 21 A Say that again.
- 22 Q That means you're also familiar with how people who commit
- 23 crimes try to avoid getting caught?
- 24 A Oh, yes. Yes, I'd say so.
- 25 Q So they might -- you've seen examples of people evading

You were elected to serve and protect them?

And each time you were elected, you had to take an oath of

22

23

24

25

Yes.

office?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q In fact, you took an oath three times?
- 3  $\blacksquare$ A I taken oaths more than that.
- 4 Q And what did you swear to when you took that oath three
- 5 | times?
- 6 A Paraphrasing, to uphold the Constitution of the United
- 7 States and the Commonwealth of Virginia.
- 8 Q Did it also include to faithfully and impartially
- 9 discharge all your duties?
- 10 **A** Yes.
- 11 Q And in the course of being elected as sheriff, you ran
- 12 several campaigns?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14  $\parallel$ Q And in running those campaigns as a politician, you
- 15  $\parallel$ understand the importance of keeping up a good public persona,
- 16 ||right?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q In fact, you just testified that you were concerned about
- 19 people attacking you?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21 | Q So you understand that you really want to paint a good
- 22 | picture for the public in order to be elected; isn't that true?
- 23 **|** A Yes.
- 24 Q And you understand in order to do that, you have to paint
- 25 a good picture and tell a good story for them?

A Yes.

1

- 2 Q And you understand the value of a good spin on something
- 3 because you want to get elected?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And so when you told Kevin Rychlik that you leave yourself
- 6 two to three avenues out in tough situations, I mean, that's
- 7 | just you drawing on your experience as a politician, right?
- 8 A I'm drawing a blank on the quote, but that sounds 9 accurate.
- 10 0 That's accurate?
- 11 And when you told Kevin Rychlik about the Rahim situation
- 12  $\parallel$ and said, you know, you could spin that and say that with a
- 13 straight face to anybody I want, that's you talking about how
- 14 | you're able to spin that so that you can sell a good story to
- 15 the public if you had to, right?
- 16 A What was it referring to?
- 17 Q When you were talking to Kevin Rychlik about Rahim and you
- 18 said you could spin that and say that with a straight face. Do
- 19 **∥**you remember that?
- 20 | A I guess I don't know -- spin what? I'm not --
- 21 | Q But you're familiar with the idea of spin?
- 22 A Yes. Yes.
- 23  $\parallel$ Q And you agree with me that as a politician, as an
- 24 experienced candidate, you understand the value of a good spin?
- 25 A Yes, certainly.

Jenkins - Cross 1 Now, I want to talk to you a little bit about the office 2 that you led as sheriff. You were the only one with the 3 authority to appoint auxiliary deputies, right? To appoint any staff member of the sheriff's office. 4 5 Okay. To appoint any staff member. 6 So as the sheriff, you had the final say on who would get 7 hired, right? 8 Yes. 9 Who would get fired, right? 10 Yes. 11 Nobody else had the authority to do that, right? 12 No. 13 And you would agree with me that it's an important 14 responsibility to oversee a sheriff's office? 15 Yes. 16 And you took that responsibility seriously, didn't you? 17 Yes. 18 And you kept track of what was going on in your office, right? 19 20 I tried. 21 You liked to be informed, because you are the ultimate 22 authority over that office? 23 Yes.

24 Kevin Rychlik was someone that you hired, right?

25 Everyone is hired by the sheriff, yes.

1 And so Kevin Rychlik did not have the authority to appoint 2 auxiliaries, right? 3 Well-established, no. No one does but the sheriff. 4 And you agree with me that you tried to do a good job as 5 sheriff, which meant that you kept track of what was going on in your office? 6 7 I tried, but no one can when you have 150 employees, 200 8 inmates, and you know, 60, 70,000 citizens. You do your best, 9 yes, if that's what you're asking. 10 You tried your best to keep track? 11 I tried, but obviously I can't keep up with everything. 12 And I think I heard you say with respect to the 13 auxiliaries on direct that your expectation for those deputies 14 was that they would be like any other deputy if called upon; is that right? 15 16 Could you say that once more? 17 I thought I heard you say on direct testimony that your 18 expectation for an auxiliary deputy is the same as any other 19 deputy if you call upon them? 20 I don't know that I said the same expectation, because 21 there's not the same expectation for every deputy. But to be 22 called upon, yes, any employee expects to be called upon -- if 23 they're called upon by the sheriff, they serve at the pleasure 24 of the sheriff. So if they're called upon, they're expected to

respond if needed, yes. Is that what you're asking?

Q Well, let me ask you this: What was your expectation of what auxiliary deputies would do for Culpeper County?

A It all depends on the auxiliary. They're subject to call upon for whatever it may be. As I said in the media, thousands of people --

Q No, the question was directed. What did you expect the auxiliaries in your office to do?

A What I said.

3

4

5

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

9 Q Which is? Which is what?

A It's all different. It depends on the auxiliary. There's no standard, you know, one size fits all for any of them. They

12 all bring various things to the table. I mean, I tried to go

13 into some of those earlier and got stopped. Do you want me to

14 list as many as I can?

Q So could an auxiliary be called to respond to a criminal violent situation?

A Even a citizen can. The Code of Virginia allows us to call upon a citizen, and they must assist us if we need help.

Q Can you please answer the question that I asked? Is that could an auxiliary -- so the answer is yes, right?

21 A Can you ask me again?

Q Could an auxiliary deputy be called on a call for service to respond to a 911 call?

A Yes.

25 Q Could an auxiliary carry a firearm?

- A Yes. Whatever the sheriff chooses.
- 2 Q So they could perform any duty that a regular deputy could
- 3 be called upon to perform; is that right?
- 4 A If a sheriff chooses.
- 5 Q That would be you?
- 6 A Yes.

- 7 Q Now, you also stated that you had wide latitude to appoint
- 8 auxiliary deputies on direct examination; do you remember that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Do you understand that wide latitude to include appointing
- 11 them in violation of the law?
- 12 A No, not in violation of the law.
- 13 Q So you understand that wide latitude does not mean that
- 14 you could appoint them in exchange for bribes, right?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q Are you familiar with the Culpeper ordinance -- the
- 17 Culpeper ordinance?
- 18 A The Culpeper County Ordinance Book?
- 19 Q Yes, the Culpeper County ordinances?
- 20 A I'm familiar with them, but I mean, I don't have any
- 21 | recall of particular ordinances, but I know they exist.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q Do you recall the exhibit that was the Culpeper ordinance
- 23 governing auxiliary deputies that was shown in this courtroom?
- 24 A I saw it, yes.
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$  So are you familiar with that ordinance?

1 Only what we've seen. 2 So you were not familiar with it before you saw it in the 3 courtroom today -- in this trial? I became familiar with it during this investigation, but 4 5 not before. I can say more, but again, I don't want to --Okay. So -- but you understand that the Culpeper 6 7 ordinances are laws passed by the Board of Supervisors for 8 Culpeper County, right? 9 Right. 10 And the Board of Supervisors are the governing body for 11 the County of Culpeper and responsible for enacting laws. 12 They're kind of like the legislature for Culpeper County, 13 right? 14 County ordinances, not state law. 15 Okay. So if there's a Culpeper ordinance, it applies 16 within the County of Culpeper? 17 And the sheriff enforces many of those ordinances as he 18 chooses. He has the authority and discretion of assigning 19 resources and enforcing ordinances, state law, and so forth. 20 My question was: If there's a Culpeper ordinance on the 21 books, it applies within the County of Culpeper, correct? 22 It should. 23 MS. PENG: Can we pull up the Culpeper ordinance 725, 24 please.

25

BY MS. PENG:

Jenkins - Cross 1 Have you seen this before? I'll direct you to the top 2 where it says auxiliary deputy force. 3 Do you see that? 4 I see it. 5 Would you agree with me that this applies to the Culpeper sheriff's office? 6 7 When you're saying applies, are you asking me is a sheriff 8 required to abide by that or enforce that? 9 I'm asking you -- you just agreed with me -- that this is 10 a Culpeper ordinance that governs -- that applies in Culpeper 11 County. And so yes, I'm asking you, does this apply to your particular sheriff's office as you ran it? 12 13 No, it did not. 14 So you did not follow this ordinance? 15 No, we did not. 16 So you didn't follow, you know, any of the definitions or 17 the appointment and training of auxiliary deputies set forth in this ordinance? 18 19 No. I wasn't even aware it existed. 20 You were not aware that this existed? 21 No, ma'am, not until the investigation brought it forth to 22 my attention. I stood -- if it helps you to understand, I 23 stood in a public meeting in December of 2019 --24 If you -- if I could ask the questions, that would be

25

good.

Jenkins - Cross 1 Yes, ma'am. I'm trying to help you understand where --2 what I --3 (Overlapping speakers.) I appreciate that. Okay. So you had never seen this 4 5 before -- before this case came to light? No, ma'am, I had not. 6 7 And you ran a sheriff's office in Culpeper County? 8 Correct. 9 And you had an auxiliary deputy program, as you called it, in your sheriff's office? 10 11 Correct. 12 And your understanding is that your sheriff's office did 13 not have to abide by this ordinance? 14 Are you looking for a yes/no type answer, or do you want 15 me to --16 I think it's a yes or no question, sir, if you can answer 17 yes or no. 18 Okay. Ask it once more. 19 I'm sorry? 20 Ask it once more. 21 Oh, so your understanding is that this ordinance did not 22 apply to the sheriff's office when you were running it? 23 As the elected Constitutional sheriff of Culpeper County, 24 no, I am not required to abide by that ordinance made by the

Board of Supervisors that a Constitutional officer does not

Jenkins - Cross 1 answer to. And that is established law. And if we're aware of 2 what could be an unconstitutional law, then we can act in that 3 capacity as well to address those, if it's enacted by the state 4 legislature even. 5 We can take that down. 6 Now, can we pull up the general order, which is Exhibit 7 723. 8 Sir, I think I heard you say on direct -- I think I heard 9 you say on direct that you never have seen this before --10 before this trial; is that right? 11 Not that I know of, no. 12 Okay. So -- I'm sorry, what was that? 13 Absolutely not. You've never seen this before? 14 15 If I saw it, it would be maybe as a manual, might have 16 been flipped -- I never read it or comprehended anything to do 17 with that, no. 18 Okay. So you agree with me that it says Culpeper County 19 Sheriff's Office General Order? 20 Α Certainly. 21 And then it says approved by Scott H. Jenkins? 22 Α Correct. 23 But you're saying you never approved this?

No. The whole manual has my name on it. It's my manual.

Oh, so you agree with me this was in place when you were a

24

25

Q

1 sheriff at Culpeper? 2 I would assume, because that's what I've been told by the 3 investigation, and what's been presented in recent days. 4 But you've never read this prior to the trial? 5 No, ma'am. My staff can tell you that my --(Overlapping speakers.) 6 7 But you just never read this before? 8 No, ma'am. 9 Okay. But would you -- you agree with me that was in place -- or most likely in place, because you don't know one 10 way or the other? 11 12 I am confident one of my accreditation officers, either 13 Chad McKnight or one before him -- most likely one of them 14 wrote that general order and had it placed in the manual. would -- I can't swear to that, but yes, that's their job. 15 16 Okay. But we just agreed that you were the person elected 17 to the office, right? 18 Certainly. 19 And you're the one with the authority to implement 20 internal policies such as this general order, right? 21 Yes, but I can't know every page, every word, and every 22 subject. And that's not what other sheriffs do either. 23 And so your testimony here today is that even though it 24 says that it was approved by Scott Jenkins, that somebody on 25 your staff approved it without you knowing?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Certainly. I have a chief deputy and others that had that authority to screen and recommend those for implementation to meet accreditation standards. The accreditation process requires every division to work up the ranks from sergeant through captain to establish the final drafts of every policy, to send up the ladder to the major and chief deputy, to then sign off saying, sheriff, you know, every I is dotted, every -you know, the final draft that goes. And I would have been here either in a staff meeting, it might be them coming to my office with the latest three or four policies that were finalize. And you know, they would sit it in front of me, they may read part of it. Like for example, the pursuit policy was one that was very touchy. And they -- I don't know how many drafts they had to go through before they said they were comfortable with it, and then it was entered in as one of the policies in the manual. I'm sorry, so your testimony is that you would have approved this once a final draft was presented to you? Most of them, yes. So you did approve this policy? You're not listening. I didn't say every one. said most of them. There is over 160-plus standards for accreditation, and each standard has God knows how many policies within that.

Sir, my question is: Did you or did you not approve this

18

19

20 Okay. So let's go to the next page. Do you see the 21 procedures listed under reserve deputies?

22 Yes.

23 Do you see that there are various requirements for 24 selection for qualifications and for training?

25 I do.

1 Do you also see that under training requirements there's 2 at least a 40-hour requirement in-service every two years, and 3 a semi-annual firearms qualification? 4 It says that. 5 And do you see under number 3 that all auxiliaries will serve at the discretion of the sheriff, and the auxiliaries 6 7 will have the status of volunteers? 8 I see that. 9 This policy was never actually followed in your office, right? 10 11 Well, since I didn't know about it, I wouldn't expect it 12 to be. 13 And you just testified on direct examination that you were 14 really trying to build up the auxiliary program, right? Yes. Well known. 15 I'm sorry? 16 17 Well known. 18 So if you were trying to build up an auxiliary program, 19 wouldn't you -- wouldn't it be important for you to understand 20 what policies were in place with respect to that program in your own office? 21 22 Me as a sheriff have to know every detail of a policy --23 do you understand management and how the executive of the 24 office or division department, what their roles are? You have

staff that handle, delegate various duties like policy and so

```
1
   forth.
2
        My question was: You just testified that you were trying
 3
   to build up an auxiliary program.
 4
        Correct.
 5
        Would you think it was important to review policies or
   even be aware that your office had a policy on auxiliary
 6
7
   programs if you, in fact, were trying to build up that program?
8
        Would it be important for me to --
9
             THE COURT: Answer the question. Answer the
10
   question.
11
             THE WITNESS: No.
                                 I wouldn't. A sheriff can change
12
   a policy any day he chooses, or delete all policies any given
13
   morning when he gets to work. A sheriff has the authority to
14
   do so. So he does not have to be familiar with any policy,
   because any policy when he arrives at the office each day can
15
16
   be written anew. And I'm not trying to be disrespectful.
   BY MS. PENG:
17
18
        There's not a question pending. I'm sorry.
19
        I'm sorry. I'm trying to explain.
20
             THE COURT: Well, just wait for the next question,
21
   please, Mr. Jenkins.
22
             THE WITNESS:
                           Yes, sir. I'm sorry.
23
    BY MS. PENG:
24
        So you could have changed the policy. You could have done
25
   anything with respect to the hiring process of auxiliaries,
```

1 right? 2 At any time. 3 And the process that you chose was to go through Kevin Rychlik and take money and then deputize them; isn't that true? 4 5 Go through Kevin and take money -- in what way do you mean that? I don't understand the question. 7 Well, what we've been talking about this entire trial, 8 sir. 9 If you're asking me do I accept donations for a campaign, 10 and do I choose as I see fit to swear in anyone as an 11 auxiliary, yes, that's what I do -- or did as a sheriff for 12 12 years. 13 So what you did as a sheriff is to accept money from people who wanted to be auxiliaries and then give them a badge? 14 15 No, ma'am. The new orders are -- Tony was an auxiliary 16 deputy for me. Never got a dime from me, we're friends, he was 17 a good man to appoint as an auxiliary, available for calls --18 (Overlapping speakers.) 19 MS. PENG: Your Honor, I would object at this point. 20 He clearly knows the order in place, and he's violating it. 21 THE COURT: Answer the question that is asked, 22 Mr. Jenkins. 23 Strike the last answer as nonresponsive. 24 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, could you --25 THE COURT: Hang on a second. Repeat your question

Jenkins - Cross 1 or move on to a new question, Ms. Peng. 2 MS. PENG: I'll move on. 3 BY MS. PENG: In fact, you told the public that you would properly 4 5 screen auxiliary deputies, didn't you? In relation to what? 6 7 To the auxiliary deputies in your office. You told the 8 public that you would properly screen them? When did I tell them that? 9 And in fact, you told them that you would give them 10 11 psychological evaluations; isn't that true? 12 In relation to what program? 13 To the auxiliary deputy program? 14 Not the whole program. See, you don't understand, and I 15 want to explain, but I keep getting shut down. You don't 16 understand what you're talking about. 17 How many auxiliary programs do you have, sir? 18 Programs or divisions, if you want to get technical -- if 19 that's what we're trying to do here, parse words. I'm trying 20 to tell you. 21 Let me ask --22 It's a division oversaw by Kevin Rychlik. People 23 appointed in there are appointed by me as I see fit. If I walk 24 out the door today as a sheriff, interact with someone and want

to appoint them, I can do that the next day. I can remove

Jenkins - Cross 1 So I hope you understand that part. 2 I do understand. They're volunteer. They can be titled 3 They're not paid. 4 auxiliary in reserve or other titles, posse and others, but for 5 me, reserve and auxiliary are two categories. If you're talking about the new program we were trying to kick off when 6 7 COVID hit, and we were delayed for a couple of years, they were 8 going to be reserve and auxiliary. The records show we bought 50 or 100 reserve badges, brand new badges, for that purpose to 9 10 separate them. The auxiliaries would be one category of 11 deputy, and reserves would be the other, available for call up. 12 I'm sorry, I'm --13 THE COURT: Ask the next question. BY MS. PENG: 14 15 The auxiliary deputies that you deputized that Kevin 16 Rychlik brought in, they were known as auxiliary deputies; 17 would you agree with that? 18 Yes. At that time. 19 Okay. And you had told the public with respect to 20 auxiliary deputies that you would undergo a psychological 21 evaluation for them; did you not? 22 If the legislature enacted that law, that's what I was 23 speaking about when you're referencing that. I'm trying to 24 stick to just your question. You're not asking it right and I

can't answer. What you're referring to, you don't understand.

Jenkins - Cross You're referring to something in the public -- and you're right 2 about that, but it's not to do with these. 3 Okay. So let me ask you this, then. For the auxiliaries 4 that you did swear in through Kevin Rychlik, none of them went 5 through any training, any psychological evaluation --They were not required to. 6 7 THE COURT: Let her ask her question, please. 8 BY MS. PENG: 9 -- any training, any psychological evaluation, any of the firearms requirements, correct? 11 Never my intent, nor dozens of others before any of that 12 time. 13 I see. So you never intended to give them any training --14 Not for those, no. -- any firearms training, any qualifications? 15 16 Not for those, no. That's a responsibility a sheriff can 17 take. By law, if we want to accept the responsibility, we 18 don't have to require -- I don't have to require it for a 19 full-time deputy, if a sheriff wants to accept the liability, 20 which most will not. I get it. They send them to the academy. 21 But if you choose as a sheriff, you're not bound by the same 22 rules and laws for DCJS standards as a police department or 23 other agency. 24 So I understand. So my question to you, though, is then

you chose for the auxiliaries that Kevin Rychlik brought in not

```
1 to train them, correct?
```

- 2 A Not just Kevin Rychlik.
- 3 Q Just answer the question I'm asking. Not to train them,
- 4 correct? You chose not to train any of the auxiliary deputies
- 5 that Kevin Rychlik brought to you, all the people that we've
- 6 been talking about in this trial?
- 7 A I can't say all of them, no.
- 8 Q You chose to train some of them?
- 9 A He's brought in a lot of people through the 12 years, yes.
- 10 Q The ones that we've been talking about here?
- 11 A You didn't say that.
- 12 | Q I did -- okay.
- 13 A I'm trying to answer completely.
- 14 Q Let me ask the question again.
- 15 A Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q For all of the auxiliary deputies that we've been talking
- 17  $\parallel$ about in this trial, the ones that paid you money and then you
- 18 swore them in, you did not require them to have any training,
- 19 | correct?
- 20  $\blacksquare$ A Them or dozens before them from -- brought by other
- 21 people, no.
- 22  $\parallel$ Q And you did not vet them in any serious way according to
- 23 the requirements in your general order?
- 24  $\blacksquare$  A No, we never reached the --
- 25 Q I'm not saying that -- is that --

A We hadn't gotten to the point to do that. The search and rescue --

Q To vet them in a certain way before they were given a badge?

A That's a preference of the sheriff, how they choose to do that. We have that authority.

Q No, I understand that your testimony is that it's --

A We don't have to do it right then.

THE COURT: Let her finish her question, please.

BY MS. PENG:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

22

23

24

- 11 Q I understand that your testimony is that you were allowed 12 to do that as sheriff. My question is whether or not you did 13 it for those people we've been talking about. And the answer 14 is no?
- 15 A Not to my knowledge, no.
- 16 Q And you just agreed with me that those auxiliary deputies could have been called in to serve, right?
- A Any citizen, but especially them, since they had already been sworn in, yes.
- Q And once they got that badge, they could legally carry firearms and concealed carry in all 50 states?
  - A As well as a posse sworn in by the sheriff at any time for an emergency, to quell a riot, or do a search and rescue. A sheriff has authority -- people -- they don't like it. We are the only law enforcement in America, a sheriff elected by the

Pageid#: 2856 Jenkins - Cross people, who can do that at will because we respond directly to 2 the voters who put us there. 3 Sir, I'd appreciate it if you could listen to my question 4 and answer it. 5 I'm trying. I swore to tell the whole truth. that's what I --6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, wait for a question, please. 8 BY MS. PENG: 9 So my question was: The auxiliary deputies we've been talking about, they could be called upon to respond to a call 10 11 for service, correct? 12 (No verbal response). 13 To make arrests? 14 Oh, they could if I chose to. 15 And they could do a whole host of things, right, that 16 regular law enforcement could do? 17 They could if I chose to. 18 Well, once you gave them the badge, they could, right? 19 No. 20 So you decided it was not important in your discretion as 21 sheriff to give these people any training, correct?

Did you understand my last answer?

if that's all I can say.

THE COURT: Answer the question, please, Mr. Jenkins.

THE WITNESS: No. I need to explain the reason, but

22

23

24

1 BY MS. PENG:

2 Q Okay. Let us move on to a different subject. Let's talk

- 3 about Rick Rahim.
- 4 Now, you met Rick Rahim for the first time in July of
- 5 **||**2019, correct?
- 6 A I can't swear to the date, but I know it was warm weather.
- 7 I believe from what I've seen in this trial that that would be
- 8 accurate. That's -- I don't want to be saying it wrong.
- 9  $\parallel$ Q So you did meet him in July 2019, or you can't recall?
- 10 A I can't give you a date.
- 11 Q And when you were introduced to Rick Rahim, you were
- 12 | introduced to him by Kevin Rychlik, right?
- 13 A Correct. Yes.
- 14 ||Q And when Kevin Rychlik introduced you to Rick Rahim, he
- 15 ∥told you that Rick Rahim was a, quote, big fish; isn't that
- 16 true?
- 17 A I think so, yes.
- 18 Q And you knew from the very beginning when Kevin Rychlik
- 19 ||introduced you, that Rick Rahim had a criminal history and he
- 20 wanted a gun?
- 21 A I sure did.
- 22  $\|Q\|$  You met with him upon the recommendation, I guess, of
- 23 Kevin Rychlik?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 **Q** And you knew that Rick Rahim -- the reason why Kevin

- 1  $\blacksquare$ Rychlik said he was a big fish is because he had lots of money
- 2 and he was willing to give you that money, right?
- 3  $\blacksquare$ A I knew he had lots of money.
- 4 Q Well, that's what was meant by big fish. That's how you
- 5 understood it, right?
- 6 A I knew he -- what Kevin said was he had a lot of money, he
- 7 was wealthy, but the second part of that, no, you're not going
- 8 to put words in my mouth that aren't true.
- 9 Q Which part wasn't true?
- 10 A Continue to ask questions.
- 11 Q All right. And then you met him in your office in July of
- 12 2019, right?
- 13 A I think it -- I don't know the date. I can't swear to
- 14  $\blacksquare$ that and I'm not going to. I'm not sure.
- 15 Q And in that meeting, you agreed to do a number of things
- 16 || for Rick Rahim, right?
- 17 | A In that meeting?
- 18  $\parallel$ Q In that first meeting when you met him for the first time?
- 19  $\|A\|$  I can't say that was part of the first meeting, but yes,
- 20 | we had conversations numerous times.
- 21 Q You agreed to help his -- to help him get his firearms
- 22 || rights restored, right?
- 23  $\|A\|$  Yes, at some point. Are you talking about that first day
- 24 or the subsequent conversations?
- 25  $\parallel$ Q I'm talking about that first meeting. Did you agree at

Jenkins - Cross 1 that first meeting to help him get his firearms rights 2 restored? 3 I don't know what you mean when you say did I agree. testified, he told me his desires, his wishes, his status in 4 5 life, how he had -- I don't know how much you want me to say. He had a 30-year prior --7 Did you agree to help him get his firearms rights 8 restored? 9 I did, but if you're --10 And did you agree to then get him a concealed carry permit? 11 12 Agree to? Saying I would do it? 13 What did you say about it? 14 As I said, we had multiple conversations. We became friends quickly. 15 16 Well, I'm talking about that first meeting, though. So my 17 question is, in that first meeting, isn't it true that you 18 agreed to help him get a concealed carry permit? 19 I can't say that I absolutely agreed I would do it, but I 20 told -- I mean, I talked freely with him about the fact that, 21 yeah, I agreed with where he's coming from, his situation. And 22 I've never -- never opposed a nonviolent felon wanting to get 23 their gun rights restored. It's happened many, many times in 24 Culpeper.

And at that first meeting, you also agreed that you would

1 swear him in -- or try to swear him in as a deputy? 2 Again, I can't say at the first meeting I said that, but 3 as I said, I need -- I mean, his best friend like a brother was the chief deputy of Arlington, and that was what he initially 4 5 told me that his goal -- he intended to go work with his brother, Dave Kidwell, the chief deputy of Arlington, after he 7 got his --8 Sir, if you could just answer my question, I'd appreciate 9 it. 10 So in that initial meeting, did you agree to swear him in 11 as a deputy? 12 I can't say I did in the first meeting. I mean, it's years ago. But I don't want to say that I didn't if I did. 13 can't remember. I can't swear to that. 14 Okay. But eventually you did? 15 16 Eventually, yes. But again, we became friends. 17 knew what he wanted to achieve, and there's nothing wrong 18 with -- I mean, I've had multiple people -- and every sheriff does --19 20 I'm not asking you whether it's right or wrong. If you 21 could just --22 Okay. 23 So at that first meeting, your testimony is that you don't 24 recall specifically whether you agreed to swear him in as a 25 deputy?

```
1
        I can't swear to it, no.
 2
        But at some point, your testimony is, that you did agree
 3
   to do that?
 4
        I can't say agree, because you're making it sound like
 5
   there's some promise or what -- I mean, I'm paraphrasing,
   because I can't swear like what I exactly had said, but I'm
 7
   going to paraphrase, if you want me to --
 8
        Okay. Well, did you tell him that you would deputize him?
 9
        No, because I didn't even know if it was possible at that
10
   juncture. Again, I don't know all the laws. I'm not a lawyer.
11
   I had to get it researched through DCJS, and we had lawyers in
12
   the office. I had a part-time and a full-time lawyer that --
13
        I'm not talking about that right now. My question is:
14
   Did you tell Rick Rahim that if you got elected as sheriff you
   would swear him in as a deputy?
15
16
        I didn't even know if I could because of his prior
17
   history. I learned --
18
        You would try?
19
        I learned that I could after research. I can't say,
20
   because I wouldn't -- I wouldn't do things like what you're
21
   trying to parse it as. Multiple conversations openly talking
22
   between men who, like I said, we became friends. But when you
23
   hit it off and you're talking, and one person expressing their
24
   desire and their goal, and you respond to that, you're making
25
   it sound like there's this -- well, it's clear what you're
```

trying to make it sound like, but I can't -- I can't -- I can't 2 sit here today and say that what you're saying is how that was 3 said. I can paraphrase what I think is the easiest --4 Do you remember what my question was? 5 You asked did I tell him that I would swear him in as a deputy; is that not your question? 7 Once you got elected? 8 I was already in office. 9 I'm talking about that first meeting in July 2019. Were you elected -- were you in office then? 11 Yes, I was sheriff since 2012. You don't remember? 12 But you were telling him that you -- so why is it that you 13 could not have swore him in right then? 14 I wouldn't have even -- I've tried to say I have -- I mean, I don't know all the laws. I think it's pretty clear, 15 16 you know --17 So you were trying to figure out whether you could swear 18 him in because he had a felony conviction, correct? 19 That would be what you'd have to check first, obviously. 20 Okay. But then eventually you did swear him in, right? 21 The next year. I don't remember when, but yeah, it was 22 way later. 23 And he still had that felony conviction? 24 I don't know how to -- again, I don't know how that's

classified when you've got your rights restored by the governor

1  $\parallel$  years before, and then --

- 2 Q He still had a felony conviction at the time you swore him
- 3 | in; isn't that right?
- 4 A You're a lawyer. I don't know how you categorize that.
- 5 Does that mean it's not on your record, or it is, when they've
- 6 had their rights restored? Does that mean it's expunded or
- 7 | whatever you call it?
- 8 Q Sir, it's your testimony and you're the one with 30 years
- 9 of law enforcement experience.
- 10 A I'm not a lawyer. I'm a cop with ten weeks of training in
- 11 1992. And most of that was use of force, driving. I had three
- 12 weeks of legal training on false arrests and search and seizure
- 13 in 1992. That's my legal training. That's it.
- 14 Q So you don't know whether to tell if somebody has a felony
- 15 | conviction on their background?
- 16 A Yes, I know how, because we can run criminal histories in
- 17 | our office. I mean, that would tell you. But I can't sit here
- 18 today and say that if you ran a criminal history of anyone
- 19 sitting here that had their rights restored, does it still show
- 20 Up on a criminal history? I never did that. I was never a
- 21 dispatcher. I wasn't certified in running those. I don't
- 22  $\|$ know, and I'm not trying to give you the wrong answer here.
- 23 I'm scared to say the wrong thing. I'm trying to tell you what
- 24 II know.
- 25 Q You were not at all concerned that you swore in Rick Rahim

oenving - cros

- 1 even though he was a felon?
- 2 A I don't have to be. I'm the sheriff. Whoever I choose to
- 3 swear in, I can do that. Every sheriff can. Jelly Roll is now
- 4 a deputy sheriff up in the northern state of Michigan or
- 5 somewhere now. He's a former prison inmate. Sheriffs --
- 6 Q Sir, can you please just answer the question that I'm asking?
- 8 A I'm trying to explain to you.
- 9 THE COURT: Just let her ask the questions, please,
- 10 Mr. Jenkins.
- 11 | BY MS. PENG:
- 12 Q So you did make an agreement with Rick Rahim to help him
- 13 get all of those things, didn't you, at that initial meeting?
- 14  $\parallel$ A I can't say I did at the initial meeting, no, but we
- 15 | did --
- 16  $\parallel$ Q Well, you were here when the jury saw all of those text
- 17 | messages, right, saying that you would reach out to the
- 18 Commonwealth's Attorney, the judge, the clerk's office, all for
- 19 Rahim?
- 20 A Yes, I saw all of that. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q So you did all of that for Rick Rahim because you agreed
- 22  $\parallel$  with him that you would do those things for him at those
- 23 meetings, right?
- 24 A At what meeting?
- 25  $\parallel$ Q At the meeting that you had with him when you first met

1 him? 2 No, I can't say at that meeting, but you know, through 3 getting to know him, yes. 4 So you went to the Commonwealth Attorney's office to try 5 to help him, right, your friend Paul? Yes, Paul is a friend. Been a friend for many years, yes. 6 7 You tried to get him to help with his restoration of 8 firearms? 9 There's no try to get him to. The role of a Commonwealth's Attorney and a sheriff, we have that discretion, 10 11 and it happens every --12 No, no. I'm talking about you talking to the Commonwealth 13 Attorney, Paul, on behalf of Rick Rahim. You did that, right? Yes, we have that discretion; he does and I do, in 14 recommendations and what we do to carry out our duties. For me 15 16 to have a --17 (Overlapping speakers.) 18 My question is, did you do it, not whether it was right or 19 wrong. I'm not asking that. I'm asking, did you go to the 20 Commonwealth Attorney on behalf of Rick Rahim to help with his 21 firearms restoration? 22 I didn't go specifically for that. I brought it up when I 23 was there for other matters. But yes, to answer your question. 24 And you also did that with respect to the Circuit Court

25

judge, Judge Durrer?

1 I heard testimony about a phone call, but if you're --2 you're asking me did I go to him. I can't remember anything 3 like that, but I can tell you Dale Durrer, I highly respect. 4 And if Dale says I came to him in person or anything, Dale is 5 honest. He's telling you the truth. So you did go to the judge to try to help Rick Rahim on 6 7 his restoration petition? 8 I don't remember going, but if Dale says I did, I did. 9 Would looking at a text message refresh your recollection 10 about that, or will you just testify that you did do that? 11 That last part was a little -- I'm sorry, can you say that 12 again? 13 Will looking at a text message when you're saying that you 14 did go to the judge to ask for assistance help you refresh your recollection, or will you just testify that you did go to the 15 16 judge to help Rick Rahim? 17 A text message to Kevin or Rick saying that I went to the 18 judge, no, then I can't say that's true. I bullshit, you know, 19 say things, whatever, to pacify people any given day. You walk 20 in -- it's like your child when you're walking in the office 21 and one needs your attention. You know, you may say, okay, I 22 just did this, give me five minutes, whatever. That text 23 message, as I saw it the other day, looking at it, I kind of 24 chuckled. And that's most likely me just pacifying Rick or Kevin or whoever it was to, saying oh, I'm trying hard, when in 25

reality, I don't know, I may have never even called him yet and 2 was going to get to it later. But the point -- and I want to 3 make sure I'm clear with you on that -- I'm not denying that I 4 did call or text or whatever Dale says I did to ask him to get 5 it on the docket, sure. But no, I mean, sitting here, I do not ever remember asking Dale for a favor, like would you do this 6 7 or that, just it needed to get on the docket. And what I heard 8 the other day, all that sounds to be reasonable and what I 9 probably did as far as a phone call or visit to Paul or what 10 have you. I can't sit here and hold my hand -- you know, I 11 can't sit here and swear, whether it's Paul or Dale, 12 specifically what I did. I truly don't remember exactly, but I 13 know my recall the other day when this was coming up thinking, you know, about that. 14 So I'm trying to tell you as best I can that those men are 15 16 honest. And if they said a certain thing, then you can believe Paul and Dale. 17 18 Because those men are honest? 19 Yes. 20 MS. PENG: Okay. Can we pull up Government Exhibit 21 112, please. 22 THE COURT: And this can be published to the jury. 23 It's already in evidence. 24 MS. PENG: It's already in evidence.

Can we go to page 17, and then blow up the message

that says, no, this def needs to get done ASAP, and it's good 2 while I got the judge's attention. 3 BY MS. PENG: 4 Did you send this text message to Rick Rahim? 5 I don't know how to answer it other than to say, you all say this is from my phone, and I see all the words and 6 7 characters here. And I have no reason to dispute that you didn't get it legally from, you know, a search of my phone. But if you're asking me -- if I've got to say under oath that I know I sent that, I can't say that. But I'm not disputing that 10 11 you -- you know, if you say you got it off my phone, then 12 that's fine. And again, it doesn't shock me that it's -- you 13 know, jumps out as something I could have never said. 14 just -- I don't know what else to tell you. But you were saying earlier that sometimes you bullshitted 15 16 Rahim, right? 17 Oh, sure. He was a pain in the butt a lot of times. 18 You've got recordings I'm sure of Kevin and I where we're 19 laughing and talking about how, you know, Rick's a -- you know, 20 pushy, you know, go ahead and get it done kind of thing. 21 showed in other things he did. 22 That means you lied to him about something you might have 23 done, but you didn't actually do it, right? 24 I would say -- I'm not going to argue with you. You can

say lie, but that's what we all do to pacify someone or get

- 1 them off of us at the moment until we can get around to
- 2 something or what have you. You know, I'll just leave you with
- 3 | if you want to call it that, that's fine.
- 4 MS. PENG: Can we go to page 23, please, the one that
- 5 says, I feel horrible every day.
- 6 No, not that one. The one that says, I feel horrible
- 7 every day it's been taking -- page 23.
- 8 That's fine. You can take it down.
- 9 BY MS. PENG:
- 10 Q Now, you did talk to Travis Owens, right? And that's the
- 11 Commonwealth Attorney.
- 12  $\parallel$ A He's an assistant or deputy or something. Your question,
- 13 I heard him testify the other day. And again, whatever he says
- 14 ||I'm certain is true. I have no reason to believe it isn't.
- 15 But I -- you know, this was years ago. I can't swear. I mean,
- 16 ||it just wasn't something that sticks in your mind.
- 17 Q It doesn't stick in your mind that you lied to Travis
- 18 Owens about where Rick Rahim really lived?
- 19 A I didn't lie to Travis about where he lived. So no, it
- 20 | wouldn't stick in my mind.
- 21 Q So your testimony is that Rick Rahim really lived in
- 22 Culpeper?
- 23  $\parallel$ A Are you asking at that time when we first rented the
- 24 property, or 2023, or --
- 25  $\parallel$ Q At the time he filed his firearms restoration petition,

your testimony is you thought he really lived in Culpeper? 2 Are you asking me if he was a resident and legally doing 3 what he was doing, or if --I'm asking you if he lived in Culpeper. It's a simple 4 5 question. No, it's not. 6 7 It's not a simple question whether Rick Rahim lived in 8 Culpeper? 9 I wouldn't have a clue if he lived there. That house is three miles out of town past where I lived. I never had a 10 11 reason to even go that way or visit the property in all that 12 time frame of many months. I couldn't say if he was there or 13 not. But I do know that he did rent the property from my 14 brother. He even talked about later raising the rent to rent the whole property, wanted to bring his kids out, da da da, 15 16 other things. And I know that he told me himself personally 17 and there was a later time where -- I can't remember when, but 18 I remember somehow I came to know he definitely had registered 19 his vehicles there, because he had multiple Lamborghinis and 20 others. And anyway, he registered to vote there. So I knew --21 You know Rick --22 -- yes, he was a legal resident of Culpeper to my 23 knowledge. 24 But you knew he never set foot inside of that house that

he leased from your brother, right?

```
1
        I knew that? No. How could I know for sure? He talked
 2
   about buying a million-dollar RV and bringing it out to the
 3
   property, bringing the kids -- you know, you don't understand,
 4
   and I can't talk enough. I'm restricted to tell everything,
 5
   the whole truth. We were friends. We talked -- you know,
   there's so much here to tell that paints the clear picture of
 6
 7
   what was happening over time. But I can't sit here years later
 8
   and tell you --
 9
        You -- in fact, you knew that Rick Rahim never even set
10
   foot inside your brother's farmhouse; isn't that true?
11
        At what point?
12
        At any point?
13
        In 2023, or --
14
        At the time of his firearms petition, you knew that Rick
15
   Rahim had never even been inside your brother's farmhouse;
16
   isn't that right?
17
        I wouldn't have any need to know it, because it wouldn't
18
   matter.
19
        It's a yes or a no question, sir.
20
        I wouldn't know.
21
        So you did not send a text to him describing the property
22
   so he could have plausible deniability?
23
        See, that's how you're trying to frame it.
24
              THE COURT: Answer the questions, please,
25
   Mr. Jenkins.
```

THE WITNESS: I may have sent the text. Let's say I 1 2 There's nothing wrong with it. It was -- I read it the 3 other day. BY MS. PENG: 4 5 So you did send a text to him describing the property so Rick Rahim could have plausible deniability, because you knew 7 he never had been inside that farmhouse; isn't that true? 8 Well, I don't know if I sent that text. What phone did it 9 come from? 10 MS. PENG: Can we pull up Government Exhibit 112, please, page 46, the last bubble, if you can blow it up. 11 12 BY MS. PENG: 13 You sent this text message to Rick Rahim, right? You said I did, so if it was on my phone, then I did. 14 15 That's your number, right? 16 It makes sense to me. Yes. Rick would have no way of 17 knowing the acreage or details. If he's under oath, got to 18 tell information, he shouldn't have the wrong information. 19 logically -- again, like I said, we're friends. We talked 20 tons. That's a perfectly logical text to me. 21 You gave this information to Rick because you knew he had 22 never set foot on that property, right? 23 I've already answered you once. How would I know? 24 And so this was a fake address that you helped him set up 25 on your brother's farmhouse so you could leverage your

```
Jenkins - Cross
 1
   connections in Culpeper so he could get his firearms rights
   restored, right?
 2
 3
        No.
        And you did that because it was a trade-off for the deal
 4
 5
   that you agreed to in that very first meeting; isn't that true?
 6
        No.
 7
              MS. PENG: Can we play Government Exhibit 36 and
 8
   start at 40 till the end?
 9
              (Audio playing.)
   BY MS. PENG:
10
11
        Did you hear yourself say that you kind of did it as a
12
   trade-off on the other stuff on that recording?
13
        Yes, I think so.
14
        So you helped Rick Rahim lie to the court; isn't that
15
   true?
16
        No.
   Α
17
        And you lied directly to Judge Durrer, didn't you?
18
        No.
19
         I mean, you went as far as telling Judge Durrer that the
20
   Commonwealth Attorney's office consented to the petition in
21
   order to expedite his hearing; isn't that true?
22
         If he says I said that, then yes. And if I said that,
23
   then yes, the Commonwealth Attorney did. Where's Paul? Ask
24
   him. He's the Commonwealth Attorney.
25
        But you were here when you heard Travis Owens say that in
```

fact the Commonwealth Attorney did object to the petition, 2 correct? 3 You just said the Commonwealth Attorney. Travis Owens --4 The Assistant Commonwealth Attorney, I apologize. 5 Assistant Commonwealth Attorney, Travis Owens? There's a big difference. 6 7 You were here when Travis Owens, the Assistant 8 Commonwealth Attorney, said that they did not consent to the 9 petition because they had questions about Rick Rahim's 10 residency? 11 If I talked to Paul Walther, the Commonwealth Attorney, as 12 you said just earlier I did about this matter, I have no clue 13 what Paul said to Travis, an employee, and then Travis may have 14 somehow said to Dale about whether they were in support. Clearly there can be breakdowns, but again, you're trying to 15 16 make it look like it's not the same. Paul is the Commonwealth 17 Attorney, my friend. Travis, I wouldn't say he was my friend. 18 I worked with him, and knew of him as an acquaintance and 19 business connection-wise, but Travis --20 You saw that -- I'm sorry, you saw that memo to file to 21 Paul from Travis Owens where the Commonwealth Attorney had 22 issues with the residency, right? 23 I saw it here at trial, yes. 24 And so when you were telling Judge Durrer that the

Commonwealth Attorney's office did not object to the petition,

1 that wasn't true, was it? 2 Again, I don't know what you're talking about, if I was 3 talking to Dale. Was this a phone call, in person? I certainly wasn't in the courtroom. When did this occur? 4 5 And after you got Rahim his firearms rights restored, you immediately returned to the second thing you promised him, the 6 7 concealed carry permit, right? 8 Again, I don't -- I can't answer that. Can you ask it 9 again or clarify? You sent your deputies repeatedly to the clerk's office to 10 11 check on the status of Rahim's concealed carry permit, right? 12 Again, I can't swear it was me. It might have been the 13 chief deputy, or someone around me, or an assistant that was 14 checking on something. Maybe I asked them to, maybe they took the initiative themselves, but I'm not saying they didn't. 15 16 Bernard Feaganes is an honest man, and he was here the other 17 day, and he said that he was checked with multiple times. And in turn, he followed up with the judge. And I'm sure --18 19 You're the only one that got money from Rahim, though, 20 right? 21 I don't know. A lot of people might have gotten money 22 from Rahim. Kevin might have gotten money from -- Kevin said 23 he got hundreds of thousands from Rick. 24 Out of the -- you think that Kevin Rychlik got hundreds of

thousands of dollars from Rick Rahim?

```
1
        He told me he has. Through the years, he borrowed money,
 2
   he would go in business ventures. So your question, be
 3
   specific, because you're tearing me apart over the least little
 4
   thing I try to explain, and yet I don't --
 5
        But you sent your deputies, who you controlled, to the
   clerk's office to check on the status of Rick Rahim's concealed
 6
 7
   carry permit, right?
 8
        No. And I didn't hear that the other day. I didn't say I
 9
   did. Did Bernie say I sent them? No. You don't understand --
10
                        (Overlapping speakers.)
11
                        Can we pull up Government Exhibit 119,
             MS. PENG:
12
   please, page 5. Can you blow up the top?
   BY MS. PENG:
13
14
        Did you send this text message?
        It was on my phone, according to what you all are saying,
15
16
   so I agree that if it's from my phone, I sent it.
17
        Can we pull up the next message, please.
18
        Is this a text message sent from your phone?
19
        It appears so, yes.
20
             MS. PENG: Can you take that down.
21
    BY MS. PENG:
22
        But despite you sending multiple deputies on multiple days
23
   to check on the application status, the clerk's office refused
24
   to grant you any favors, right?
```

I don't know how to answer that if I don't know.

- 1 lis there another text or something?
- 2  $\mathbb{Q}$  They didn't expedite the application, right?
- 3 A If you're asking me to recall from years ago, I can't
- 4 answer that just from memory.
- 5 Q The clerk's -- the clerk's office did their job, right?
- 6 A Uh-huh.
- 7 Q Now, you testified on direct that Rahim, in fact, did give
- 8 you money, right?
- 9 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 10 Q How much money did he give you?
- 11 A The initial he testified to was 15, and then another 10,
- 12 | but --
- 13 Q Is that how much money he gave you?
- 14 A I'm sorry?
- 15 Q Is that how much money he actually gave you?
- 16  $\blacksquare$ A Not total. That was the initial. Later there was another
- 17 20.
- 18  $\parallel$ Q So he did give you \$15,000 in cash and then subsequent to
- 19 | that \$10,000 in cash?
- 20  $\parallel$ A I can't swear to the initial being 15, but the following
- 21 10, yes.
- 22 Q And in total \$25,000 in cash?
- 23 A In total, no, it was more.
- 24 Q What else did he give you?
- 25 A Again, I'm going from what I know, not their testimony the

other day. There was a time where he gave money for our 2 business ventures, as I already explained. And he gave 3 thousands of dollars in those different meetings, whether it be 4 at the restaurant or in person. 5 So but -- I'm sorry. The \$25,000 in cash that we just discussed, you're saying that's separate from any business 6 7 ventures you had with him? 8 I don't -- separate? What do you mean? 9 Well, I asked you, right, did he give you \$25,000, and you 10 said yes. Then I said, did he give you any other money, and then you started talking about the business ventures, right? 11 12 No. That initial money -- that's what all the money that 13 I got from him was for, the things that we were doing together, 14 trying to make money. That's what Rick does. So now your testimony is that \$25,000 was for a business 15 16 venture; is that your testimony? 17 Yes, that's what I said. 18 And so what other money did he give you beyond the 19 \$25,000? 20 The money later on -- I don't know what time -- but in the 21 time after that initial money was when I got the other \$20,000 22 total. 23 What form did that \$20,000 take?

What form was that \$20,000 given to you in?

You mean denominations, or just cash or check?

24

- Q Cash or check, let's start there?
- 2 A Cash.
- 3 Q So in total, you're saying Rick Rahim gave you \$45,000 in
- 4 | cash?

- 5 Are you leaving out the loan that I took out that was
- 6 testified to the other day, the two checks that came from --
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  The loan is separate. I haven't gotten to the loan yet.
- 8 I'm just trying to keep track of how much cash you're saying
- 9 Rick Rahim gave you.
- 10 A That would be accurate.
- 11 Q So \$45,000 in cash?
- 12 A Yes. Uh-huh.
- 13 Q And your testimony here today is that it's for a business
- 14 | venture?
- 15 A Yes, it was.
- 16 Q Do you recall being interviewed by the FBI about this case
- 17 ||in January 31st, 2024?
- 18 A I do. Oh, of '24?
- 19 Q Sorry, 2023, apologies.
- 20 In that interview, you didn't say anything about a
- 21 business venture, did you?
- 22 A I don't know. I mean, I don't -- I remember we talked for
- 23  $\parallel$ a couple of hours. I don't know what I talked about other than
- 24 what they were asking me.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And you had lots of time since January 2023 to think about

```
Jenkins - Cross
 1
   this case, right?
 2
         Sure.
 3
        Now, let's talk about this business venture. Were there
 4
   any contracts establishing this business venture?
 5
        No.
 6
         It was just a hand shake deal?
 7
        Not even a handshake. I mean --
 8
                        (Overlapping speakers.)
 9
        He just --
10
         -- friends.
11
        He just gave you the money as a friend?
12
        Yeah; my brothers, other people I know, we do things --
13
   the guy I live near that's a friend that does loader work, we
14
   -- you know, it could be $10,000, don't even require a hand
15
            There's a level of trust with a lot of men in this
16
   world that we don't break those bonds and we do things
17
   together. And it's word of mouth, and you trust each other,
18
   and it gets done. I mean, it happens all the time.
19
   contracted a guy to build my barn, and we never wrote a single
20
   thing, and we're talking tens of thousands. And he laughed and
21
   said, I can't believe you just trust a hand shake. And he
22
   worked for months on that project for just us agreeing --
23
         So you testified that Rick Rahim is a sophisticated
24
   businessman, right?
25
         I didn't say sophisticated, but --
```

- 1 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 2 Q Okay. He has lots of businesses, and he was wealthy?
- 3 A Yes, he's a go-getter.
- 4 10 He enters into lots of business transactions?
- 5 A Uh-huh. As far as I know. I mean, that's what he told
- 6 me. Certainly appeared to be, from what I observed, yes.
- 7 Q He owns lots of businesses?
- 8 A I don't know about lots, but he has multiple, yes.
  - Q So your testimony is that Rick Rahim, a businessman, just
- 10 gave you \$45,000 in cash on a hand shake? That's your
- 11 | testimony?

- 12 A Not all at once, but just as I said.
- 13 Q Okay. But you did have a contract with him when it came
- 14 to the loan he gave you, right?
- 15 A Correct. Uh-huh.
- 16 0 And that was for how much?
- 17 A 35,000.
- 18  $\parallel$ Q In fact, that loan agreement was all written out. It was
- 19 a full contract, right?
- 20 A Correct.
- 21 Q You didn't do that on a hand shake?
- 22  $\blacksquare$ A No. He was having another person join him to make the
- 23 || loan.
- 24 Q And you told Rahim in that contract that the proceeds --
- 25 that that loan would be paid back from proceeds at the

1 refinancing mortgage after your loan construction, right?

- A Yes, initially when we talked, that was the intent.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$  But that was a lie, right?
- 4 | A What was a lie?
- 5 Q That you were going to pay him back based on the proceeds
- 6 of the mortgages?

2

- 7 A No. Certainly I was going to pay him back.
- 8 Q In fact, you never paid him back up until today, right?
  - A I don't know how you expect me to pay him back if that's
- 10 part of what we did with our business investments, as I've
- 11 | tried to explain what we were doing, multiple things that took
- 12  $\parallel$ a lot of money to try to make money. And we weren't
- 13 successful, but you know, we did multiple things. So you tell
- 14 me what you want me to talk about.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q In fact, you did not disclose this Rahim loan to the
- 16 ||Federal Savings Bank when securing your refinancing mortgage,
- 17 || right?
- 18 A I didn't have to, because by then we had had the other
- 19  $\parallel$ conversation, but I don't know what you want me to say.
- 20  $\parallel$ Q You lied to the bank about having this outstanding
- 21 | obligation; isn't that right?
- 22  $\|A\|$  Not if it's not outstanding. And that's determined by
- 23 Rick. Again, you just don't understand.
- 24 Q Well, you were here when Rick Rahim testified that he
- 25 I thinks you still owe him for the loan, right, that he never got

1 paid back?

- 2 A Right. I didn't get to say anything. That's just him
- 3 saying that.
- 4 Q And you didn't disclose this loan on your application to
- 5 | Fulton Bank, right?
- 6  $\blacksquare$ A I didn't have to because of the status at that time.
- 7 Whatever the dates are, take a look.
- 8 Q Sir, you're really good at deciding when the rules apply
- 9 to you and when they don't, aren't you?
- 10  $\blacksquare$ A I know when they apply to me, yes.
- 11 Q And the real reason you had no intention of paying back
- 12 this loan to Rick Rahim is because you thought Rahim owed you
- 13 for all the favors that you bestowed upon him; isn't that
- 14 ||right?
- 15 A Rick and I owed each other back and forth at any time with
- 16  $\parallel$  what we did with business stuff and other things as friends. I
- 17 | mean, I would love to tell more of all the things he and my
- 18 | brothers -- Dave and him, this game of chance business they
- 19 **∥**opened and wanted us to join them in with these machines they
- 20 | put in the local markets and things. That was one of his
- 21 businesses that he came out trying to --
- 22 Q You understand that a loan document, that's a legal
- 23 document, right?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$  And it's binding on you?

1 A Yes.

- 2 Q And you also lied about having that loan on your Virginia
- 3 conflict of interest filings; isn't that right?
- $4 \parallel A$  No. It only -- if it applies when that is filed, and
- 5 you'll see the date when that's due.
- 6 Q Well, you didn't report it on the report in 2020 covering
- 7 2019, right?
- 8 A Correct. When is that due? When is that filed?
- 9 Q Sir, you're the elected officer who is supposed to file
- 10 those reports.
- 11 A And it was not relevant. It didn't -- it wasn't --
- 12 Q My question is, you did not report the loan in the ethics
- 13 report dated 2020, covering 2019?
- 14 | A Because it didn't exist then. So you don't report
- 15 something that doesn't exist.
- 16 Q And you didn't report it in 2021, correct?
- 17 A It didn't exist then. You're not -- I don't know what
- 18 | you're not understanding, and I can't explain because I get
- 19 shut down.
- 20 ||Q You're saying that the loan agreement you had the Rahim
- 21 did not exist?
- 22 | A I don't know what to say. What happens when a person who
- 23 gives a loan forgives it, or says, we're going to do X,Y, and Z
- 24 with this now? I don't know how to explain it better than
- 25 | that. He --

1 So you did not think that you had to report the loan, 2

correct?

Absolutely not, if you didn't owe the money. I didn't -you -- I don't know how else to say it. I mean, I didn't owe

5 the money, so why would I report something that I don't owe if

it does -- I'd be lying, if I don't owe the money anymore.

7 Rick was doing things with his partner, Newberry, and --

Are you aware that Rick Rahim is garnishing your pension from Culpeper County because you still, in fact, owe him that

10 money?

3

4

6

8

11

12

- Oh, I'm well aware. I got served with it months ago. And now it's been withdrawn for some reason just before court. Are you aware of that?
- 14 But your testimony is that Rick Rahim, prior to 2020 and prior to 2021, forgave that loan in some way? 15
- 16 He did. Α
- 17 And you're aware that the point --
- 18 He also told --
- 19 I'm sorry. You're aware that the point of the ethics 20 filings is so that the public can be aware of any conflicts of 21 interest that their elected official might have, right?
- 22 Yeah.
- 23 And it's important to be accurate in reporting those 24 documents?
- 25 Α Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And none of that money -- and you admitted to this already, I think -- that the \$45,000 that Rahim gave you was reported on your campaign finance reports, right? It wasn't to be reported. He didn't just give me the money. We were taking the money and buying tens of thousands of dollars of clothing articles. My question was, it was not reported on your campaign finance reports, right? There was nothing to report because he didn't give me the money. We -- I don't know how else to explain it if you don't understand that. How else can I explain that? I've said it over and over. You were here -- he didn't give you the money, you said? If you're saying it was a gift, because you want to make it sound like it was a bribe, it wasn't a gift. His money was part of what we both put into -- just like the firing range part was \$12,000 in -- the steel targets were thousands of dollars, and other things that I did at the farm there, at the home that I just sold this past year, those firing ranges are another good example. I could explain a lot of things if you want to talk about them. If you could just answer the question, yes or no? Okay. What's the question? My question was, that you did not report any of the cash

that Rick Rahim gave you on the campaign finance reports,

1 | correct?

2

4

- A It was not to be reported, no.
- 3 Q So the answer is no?
  - A No.
- 5 Q You did not report any of the contributions -- in-kind 6 contributions he gave you on your campaign finance reports?
  - A He gave no in-kind contributions that weren't reported.
- 8 Q And your testimony earlier --
- 9 A He gave items that were unrelated to a campaign.
- 10 Q Your testimony is that you did not have to report those
- 11 litems because they were not related to your campaign?
- 12 A None. I know of none.
- MS. PENG: Can we pull up our exhibit that shows the
- 14 | billboard? I think it's Exhibit 120.
- 15 BY MS. PENG:
- 16 Q Your testimony is that this billboard that Rick Rahim paid
- 17 for was not related to your campaign?
- 18 A No, that's not my testimony. That is related to the
- 19 campaign and it should have been reported because that's
- 20 | campaign material.
- I was talking about the fliers, the knives, and these
- 22 | other trinkets and things that he would run and do, and they
- 23 had nothing to do with the campaign. One in particular said
- 24 Culpeper Sheriff Scott Jenkins. That's all it said engraved on
- 25 these little knife trinkets. I remember seeing --

```
Page 267 of 318 267
                                          Filed 12/19/24
   Case 3:23-cr-00011-RSB-JCH
                            Document 276
                              Pageid#: 2888
                            Jenkins - Cross
1
                         (Overlapping speakers.)
2
        This was not reported on your campaign finance report, was
 3
   it?
         If it wasn't reported, then you would have to ask David,
 4
5
   Bernie, or whoever would be responsible for that. I had
   nothing to do with -- I mean, a governor or president or
7
   anyone, they don't make the ads and the --
8
        Sir, my question is, this was not reported on your
9
   campaign finance report, correct?
10
         If you say so, but I --
11
                        (Overlapping speakers.)
12
        Do you want to take a look at the campaign finance report
13
   filed for your campaign?
14
        Whatever you'd like. I don't know, because I don't do
15
          That wasn't my job. I had people that did that.
   that.
16
   Myers and his wife, for example --
17
                         (Overlapping speakers.)
18
        Well, you were here for the testimony of David Myers; were
   you not?
19
20
        Sure.
21
        And he said that he was a conduit between you and the
```

(Overlapping speakers.)

And that he never provided any information to the

22

23

24

25

accountant, right?

One of the things that he --

- 1 accountant unless it was at your direction. You were here for
- 2 | that testimony, right?
- 3 A I'm not understanding how that relates to this.
- 4 MS. PENG: You can take that down.
- 5 BY MS. PENG:
- 6 Q And sir, you've run multiple campaigns, right?
- 7 **A** Yes.
- 8  $\mathbb{Q}$  In 2019, that was your third campaign?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And in each of those campaigns --
- 11 A I'm sorry. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 12 Q Okay. And in each of those campaigns, you knew you had to
- 13 report political contributions, right?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q Each of those campaigns filed multiple of these forms?
- 16 A I did or someone else did, yes.
- 17 | Q And as an experienced politician and law enforcement
- 18 officer, you understand why these reporting requirements exist,
- 19 || right?
- 20 **A** Yes.
- 21  $\|Q\|$  So there can be transparency around campaign finance?
- 22 **|** A Yes.
- 23 Q To prevent corruption?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q So that the public can have some trust in the integrity of

- 1 the political system, right?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3  $\parallel$ Q And that would only be true if the reporting is accurate,
- 4 ||right?

- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q So you understand that if you try to hide the true name
- 7 behind a contribution, that would be a violation of these
- 8 ||rules, right?
  - A The true name behind the contribution?
- 10 Q The real person who made the donation?
- 11 A How are you saying hide it? I don't understand what
- 12 you're getting --
- 13 Q If you don't report the person who really made the
- 14  $\parallel$ donation on a campaign finance report, that would be a
- 15 violation of the rules, right?
- 16 A Right. You only report the person who did.
- 17 Q So if you're trying to hide that name or put it in the
- 18 name of somebody else, that would be a violation of those
- 19 ||rules, right?
- 20 A That's fair to say, yeah.
- 21 Q Because then you'd be lying about who the donation really
- 22 | came from?
- 23 || A Correct.
- 24 Q Or if you try to hide a donation so you don't have to
- 25 ||report it, that would also be a lie that violates these rules,

1 ||right?

2

3

4

5

6

13

15

17

19

A Yes.

Q So you understand all of that?

A I believe so, yeah.

Q And these rules aren't really that complicated, right?

A I wouldn't say they are.

7 Q And you've ran multiple campaigns when you're familiar

8 | with these rules?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And you know that anything over \$100, whether it's cash,

11 check, in-kind, had to be reported, right?

12 A Yes.

Q And you even got a briefing from -- on that from your

14 | accountant, David Jones; isn't that true?

A In 2007, not '15, as he testified; eight years prior.

16 ||That was when we first met and he did my first campaign, and we

met for about 30 minutes. It was a great conversation. He

18 gave me advice about buying silver.

 $\parallel$ Q But you would agree with me that it's not complicated to

20  $\parallel$ understand that any contribution over \$100, whatever form it

21 takes, needs to be reported?

22 A Yes, I would agree.

23 | Q And I just want to make sure: You did not report any of

24 the Rick Rahim cash that he gave you, right?

25  $\blacksquare$ A I did not have to report any of that, no.

1 No, the answer is did you or did you not, so just yes or a 2 no, please? 3 No, I did not. And you did not report any of the in-kind -- anything that 4 5 he gave you as an in-kind contribution, correct? I don't know if you're talking about these billboards. 6 7 just don't know anything about --8 Well, you didn't report anything from Rick Rahim on any of 9 your filings; isn't that true? Again, I don't know, because you know, David and Bernie 10 11 and the other people, my brother, they all did work with 12 various materials like the billboard and other things. 13 can't say that they didn't turn that in to Donna or Jenny or 14 somebody to put on the report, because I certainly can't remember the reports. I mean, it's multiple ones all year 15 long, and it's years ago, so I --16 17 Well, you were here when we went through those reports, 18 and Rick Rahim's name did not appear on any of them; isn't that 19 right? That was just a few days ago? 20 The premise of the question is that you have them all 21 there, and I don't know that they're all there. So I can't 22 answer that. You're telling me that they're all there. 23 that's what you're saying, then what was presented I could --24 If I represent to you that they're not all there, and we 25 don't have to take the time to review them here, would you

Jenkins - Cross agree that nothing from Rick Rahim was reported? 2 I would agree what you showed, that I didn't see it on 3 there, yes. 4 Well, I mean, your counsel has an opportunity to come back 5 if there was something, so we can see about that. 6 And the reason why you didn't report any of these as 7 political contributions is because they're not political 8 contributions, right? 9 What items are you referring to? 10 Any of these. 11 I don't know how to answer because they're not all the 12 same. You say any. 13 Well, none of them were recorded, right? We can agree on 14 that. So you did not report them. So if there were any political contributions he gave you --15 16 I answered that already. I don't know if the billboard or 17 other things were. If they were campaign material, then one of 18 those guys that dealt with that should have put it on the list. 19 I had nothing to do with it other than driving down the road 20 and seeing it, and them telling me it's done. I mean, I don't 21 know how to explain. If I don't have anything to do with it, I 22 don't know. 23 You don't have anything to do with it? 24 I --

(Overlapping speakers.)

```
1
        And the reason why you did not report them as political
 2
   contributions is because they were bribes, right?
 3
        No.
 4
        And in fact, you referred to your agreement yourself as,
 5
   quote, one hand scratches the other, which basically means quid
   pro quo, right?
 6
 7
        No, that doesn't basically mean that. One means something
 8
   illegal, the other -- or at least the way you're intending it
 9
   -- and the other, one hand scratches the back, is like a friend
   and a friend. So no, I'm not going to let you put words in my
10
11
   mouth that aren't accurate.
12
              MS. PENG: Can we play that clip, please, Government
13
   Exhibit 32.
14
              (Audio playing.)
    BY MS. PENG:
15
16
        That was you on the recording, right, Mr. Jenkins?
17
        Sounded like me.
18
        I'm sorry?
19
        That sounded like me, yes.
20
        So you said those things?
21
         I believe so.
22
        And in fact, you were worried about giving Rahim, a felon,
23
   a badge, because you knew it was wrong, didn't you?
24
        No, it's not wrong. It's the discretion of the sheriff.
25
   But I understand how you're phrasing it.
```

```
Jenkins - Cross
         And you were worried that, you know, it would come back on
 1
 2
   you?
 3
         Politically, or what are you referring to?
         I mean, you were -- but you were confident and you did it
 4
 5
   anyway because if someone ever questioned you, you could spin
   it, right?
 6
 7
        Again, I ask in what way do you mean it, politically?
 8
        Well, I'm using your word, sir, spin.
 9
         I can't answer unless you tell me which way you mean.
10
              MS. PENG: Let's play Government Exhibit 33-B.
11
              (Audio playing.)
12
    BY MS. PENG:
13
         That was you on the recording, right, sir?
14
         Yeah.
15
              MS. PENG: And then can we play 33-A as well.
16
              (Audio playing.)
    BY MS. PENG:
17
18
         That was you on that recording, right?
19
         Yes.
20
        There's no mention -- you didn't mention a business deal
21
   of any kind, did you, on that recording?
22
   Α
        No.
23
        Aside from Rick Rahim, you also took $20,000 in bribes
24
   from Fred Gumbinner; isn't that true?
25
   Α
        No.
```

```
1
        You never took $20,000 from Fred Gumbinner; that's your
2
   testimony?
 3
        No -- yes. Uh-huh.
 4
             MS. PENG: Can we pull up Government Exhibit 105.
 5
             Can we zoom in on the bottom portion of that.
 6
    BY MS. PENG:
7
        Do you see this text message, sir?
8
        I do.
9
        And it's dated October 3rd, 2019, right?
10
        Yes.
11
        That's a day after you met with Rick Rahim?
12
        I don't know.
13
        But it says -- this is Kevin Rychlik on the right here?
        Wait, it says what?
14
15
        In the blue --
16
             THE COURT: Identify the -- show him the portion of
17
   the text that will give the number so he can see who they are.
18
             MS. PENG: Is there a way to show the numbers of this
19
   message?
20
   BY MS. PENG:
21
        Do you recognize this, sir, as text messages that you
22
   sent? Do you see the message that says, sorry, Kevin, been
23
   tied up. I'd say technically no, but never hurts to ID and try
24
   if needed?
```

25 A I'm reading it. And I'm sorry, I'm slow at reading. It

```
appears to be what you say.
```

- 2 Q Okay. So then do you see -- so does it look like sorry,
- 3 Kevin -- those were messages directed to Kevin Rychlik from
- 4 **∥**you, and he's asking about your meeting with Rick Rahim?
- 5 A I'm sorry, you said that really fast. Would you mind
- 6 saying it one more time?
- 7 Q So because you said, sorry, Kevin, does that allow you to
- 8 didentify these messages as messages you had with Kevin Rychlik?
- 9 A Yes, it appears to be so.
- 10 Q Okay. And so if we can zoom in on the bottom text
- 11 exchange.
- 12 And is Kevin Rychlik now asking you about a meeting with
- 13 Rick Rahim?
- 14 A Yes, it appears so.
- 15  $\parallel$ Q And do you see where he says, I heard another 21K?
- 16 A Yes. Uh-huh.
- 17 MS. PENG: Can we flip to the next page.
- 18 BY MS. PENG:
- 19 **|**Q Now, do you also see the message from Kevin Rychlik
- 20 | saying -- starting with, I promise you 50K plus support?
- 21 **A** I do.
- 22 Q There's no mention of any business ventures in this text
- 23 message, right?
- 24 A Not that I see.
- 25 MS. PENG: Can you take that down.

I don't know if I did, but I mean, I'm looking at it, yes.

MS. PENG: The next page, please. Can you zoom in on

1

21

22

23

24

25

the top three.

BY MS. PENG:

You received this message?

```
Jenkins - Cross
 1
         Do you see these messages?
 2
         Yes.
 3
        That Kevin Rychlik forwarded you from Rick Rahim?
              I see what you're saying -- Kevin --
 4
 5
        And then do you see the text on the bottom which says,
   we've had his 20K for six plus months, Kevin -- this is a
 6
 7
   message from Rick -- he's gotten nothing. He makes me millions
   of dollars. I have to maintain credibility. That's my world.
 8
 9
   I have to give the money back or set a date for him. I was
   sure you had at least put everything through by now and all we
10
11
   needed was to get him sworn.
12
        Do you see that?
13
        Yes.
        And then you said give me 10 to 15 to call?
14
15
        Uh-huh.
16
        And, in fact, you did call. You did have a phone call
17
   with Kevin Rychlik, right?
18
         I would assume I did, but I can't say from memory.
19
        Well, you were here earlier when Agent Medearis testified
20
   and showed you those AT&T phone records showing that there was
21
   a call between you and Kevin Rychlik shortly after this text
22
   message, right?
23
        Again, I said yes.
24
              MS. PENG: Take that down. Zoom out, please.
25
    BY MS. PENG:
```

```
Jenkins - Cross
 1
        And then Kevin Rychlik sent you Fred Gumbinner's driver's
 2
   license, right?
 3
        Yes.
        And then after your phone call, Kevin Rychlik says, Rick
 4
 5
   good now/happy. I told him next week midweek to get back with
   me with date.
 6
 7
        Do you see that?
 8
        Yes.
 9
              MS. PENG: You can take that down.
    BY MS. PENG:
10
11
         In fact, you met Fred Gumbinner for the first time after
   you appointed him?
12
13
        Yes, like many.
14
        You never even met him in person before you decided to
   appoint him as an auxiliary deputy, right?
15
16
        Correct.
17
        And you did not report the $20,000 Fred Gumbinner gave you
18
   as a campaign contribution?
19
        Fred didn't give me money.
20
        Did you review the messages we just looked at?
21
         I don't care, and you won't let me talk, but it was
22
   testified the other day. He wrote Rick a check. I get that
23
   part. But I don't know what I can say and can't. So you asked
24
   the question.
25
        Your testimony is that you did not receive $20,000 from
```

- 1 Fred Gumbinner?
- 2 | A I didn't get \$20,000 from Fred Gumbinner, no.
- 3 Q Did Rahim give you \$20,000 you later understood to be from
- 4 Fred Gumbinner?
- 5 A Rick Rahim gave me money, yes. And he gave me 20,000,
- 6 yes.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  So -- sorry, go ahead.
- 8 A No.
- 9 Q So you didn't understand from the text messages that we
- 10 || just reviewed that Fred Gumbinner had given you money?
- 11 | A I see what you're saying, yes.
- 12 Q But you did not report any money from Fred Gumbinner on
- 13 your campaign finance reports?
- 14 A No, I did not.
- 15 Q You didn't give Fred Gumbinner any training, right?
- 16 A No, I did not.
- 17  $\|Q\|$  You didn't ask him to do anything for Culpeper, right?
- 18 A Well, he is an attorney. We thought we may need him for
- 19 something. But no, I didn't actually ask him for anything.
- 20 | Q You did not ask him to do anything for Culpeper, right?
- 21 A I don't know that I did, but Kevin had planned to call on
- 22  $\parallel$ him or friends of his -- attorneys -- as he developed a search
- 23 and rescue policy and things, but that's --
- 24 Q Okay. But my question is: He never actually did anything
- 25 || for Culpeper?

1 I don't know, because Kevin intended to use him for that 2 because that's what he does or can do, and I don't know if he 3 did or he didn't. But Kevin provided a PowerPoint outlining things that --4 5 Sir, if you could just answer the question I'm asking, I'd really appreciate it. 6 7 I'm trying to. 8 You were here when Mr. Gumbinner said he never did 9 anything for Culpeper County, right? I heard that, yeah, but I don't --10 11 And you're aware, too, that Fred Gumbinner has admitted to 12 paying you a bribe, right? 13 I heard that, yes. And then you appointed James Metcalf as an auxiliary 14 15 deputy on September 6, 2022, right? 16 Correct. 17 And you gave him a badge the very next day, September 7th, 2022? 18 19 I can't swear to the dates and things like that, but I 20 heard his testimony, yes. 21 And besides one very brief encounter with Mr. Metcalf, 22 that was the only other time you met him, right? 23 Are you saying after that being a total of three times or 24 are you asking before?

I'm asking at the time that you gave him the badge, that

1 was only the second time you had met him, aside from a very 2 brief meeting, right? 3 I believe so. I don't remember meeting him before that. That's the same day that he gave you a \$5,000 check, 4 5 right? 6 Correct. 7 You knew a check could be traced. So that's why you 8 reported it on your campaign finance report; isn't that right? 9 It's supposed to be reported, yes. 10 And when Jim Metcalf told you he could get you, quote, 11 do-nothing guys, you didn't object to that, right? 12 Do nothing, yes, I remember hearing that in that 13 recording, yes. You didn't tell him: No, I want people who will actually 14 15 do real work because I'm trying to build a real program. 16 didn't tell him that? 17 Again, I'm not trying to be difficult. There is a 18 recording. I heard it. I'm not disputing what he said. 19 again, I cannot sit here and swear that I thought, said, or did 20 a particular thing because that's been a long time ago and 21 everyone -- I mean, it's common knowledge. I get thousands of 22 texts. If we're talking now that I'm sheriff, I'd be looking 23 at the phone --24 Sir, we can replay the recording, if you want, but my 25 question is --

- 1 A That's fine.
- 2 Q -- when Jim Metcalf told you he could get you do-nothing
- 3 guys, you didn't tell him, no, I want people who will do real
- 4 work because I'm trying to build a real program. You didn't
- 5 say that to him, did you?
- 6 A I didn't take do nothing to mean that. I took it to mean
- 7 do nothing wrong as in causing you headaches. Maybe I'm wrong.
- 8 Again, I can't remember being there. I heard the tape, though.
- 9 Q Instead, you told him about the need to, quote, cull the
- 10 herd and build up your war chest, right?
- 11 A Yes, I heard that. That certainly sounds like my words.
- 12 Q You didn't give Jim Metcalf any training, right?
- 13 A He wouldn't need any. He rode motors in Prince William.
- 14 Q You did not give him any training, correct?
- 15  $\blacksquare$ A No, uh-huh.
- 16  $\parallel$ Q And you didn't ask him to do anything for Culpeper, did
- 17 | you?
- 18  $\parallel$ A Not that I recall, no. No different than many others.
- 19  $\|Q\|$  And you're aware, too, that Jim Metcalf has admitted to
- 20 paying you a bribe for a badge, right?
- 21 A Yeah, I was here. I heard it.
- 22 Q And you also appointed Tom Cooper as an auxiliary deputy
- 23 on October 24th, 2022?
- 24 A Correct.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q And you gave him a badge on October 26, which is the same

- 1 day you met him for the first time?
- 2 A Yes. Uh-huh.
- 3  $\mathbb{Q}$  And you told him that once he got the badge, he could
- 4 conceal carry in all 50 states, right?
- 5 A Sounds accurate, yeah.
- 6  $\mathbb{I}_{Q}$  And on the same day he also gave you a \$5,000 check?
- 7 A I believe so, yeah.
- 8 Q And you knew that check could be traced, so that's why you
- 9 reported that one on the campaign finance report, right?
- 10 A I reported it as I should, yeah.
- 11 Q And even after he gave you that check, you tried
- 12 suggesting to him that it should come to you in cash, didn't
- 13 you?
- 14 | A If you say so. I can't swear that I did. If it's
- 15 recorded, then it is what it is. Tom offered a lot of
- 16 different things and I never took him up on other things.
- 17 Q Because you can't spin a recording, right, sir?
- 18 **A** No.
- 19 Q And in fact, you also suggested to him that he could give
- 20 | you the donation of multiple people with different names; isn't
- 21 | that right?
- 22 A I don't know. Maybe my memory is bad from the other day
- 23 listening. I tried to listen to everything.
- 24 Q You never gave Tom Cooper any training?
- 25 A No.

And then you appointed Undercover Officer Jerry McKee as

Q And you never called him to do any work for Culpeper, right?

5 an auxiliary on November 9th, 2022?

6 A I can't swear to the date, but at some point, yes.

7  $\mathbb{Q}$  You gave him a badge on November 14th, 2022, the same day

he gave you \$5,000 in cash, right?

A Except the date, I can't swear what day, but that --

10 Q Except the date, that's accurate?

11 A Correct. Uh-huh.

3

4

8

9

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

No.

12 Q And he told you he wouldn't have a lot of time to do
13 anything because he would be gone a lot, right?

14 A I think he did.

15 Q And you didn't say, no thanks, we want people who are 16 actually available to do work. You didn't tell him that?

A No. They just have to be available for call-up, as I've said.

Q You basically told him: Don't worry about it. It's fine that you're not available, right?

21 A It would be fine. They have to be available for call-up, 22 as I've said over and over, and publicly in the media.

Q And the reason you weren't concerned is because you weren't really trying to build a real program; isn't that right?

Jenkins - Cross 1 I don't know how to answer that. Kevin was building the 2 program. There is literal proof. 3 The only program you were really building was a very streamlined badge for cash program; isn't that right? 4 5 Why didn't we see the PowerPoint in the program that was being --6 7 THE COURT: Answer the question, please, Mr. Jenkins. 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, ask that again. I wasn't 9 what? BY MS. PENG: 10 11 The only program you were really building through that 12 streamlined process over and over again was a badge for cash 13 program, correct? 14 No. 15 You did not report that \$5,000 in cash, did you? 16 No. 17 You didn't report it because it was in cash and so it could not be traced, or that's what you thought; isn't that 18 19 true? 20 Α No. 21 You never called in Undercover Officer Jerry McKee as an 22 auxiliary to do anything; isn't that right? 23 No. 24 And you never gave him any training whatsoever? 25 Α Correct.

1 Q Then you appointed Undercover Officer Mike as an auxiliary deputy on December 27, 2022?

- A I don't know the date.
- 4 Q You did that before you even met him?
- A I don't understand how you're saying that. I did that before I ever met him?
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  You signed the appointment order on December 27th, 2022.
- 8 A Okay. Yes, if it was dated before that date, but I don't
- 9 know what date I met him. But yes, if it was signed before
- 10 that, then that's accurate.
- 11 Q You didn't meet him for the first time until one day later
- 12  $\parallel$  on December 28th, 2022, the same day that he gave you \$10,000
- 13 ||in cash, right?
- 14 A Again, I can't swear on dates, but, you know, I'm agreeing
- 15 generally with what you're saying.
- 16 Q But besides the date, you agree that Mike gave you \$10,000
- 17 | in cash?

- 18 A Again, I didn't count it that day when I was there, that
- 19 envelope of cash. So yes, I agree.
- 20 Q Well, you heard the recording, right, earlier when Mike
- 21 said that he would give you twice as much?
- 22 A I'm just trying to tell you what I know and not say
- 23 something I don't know. That's all.
- 24 Q And that same day he gave you \$10,000 in cash is when you
- 25 | handed him his badge, right?

- A To my knowledge, yes.
- 2 Q And you had known that Mike had a felony conviction; isn't
- 3 | that right?

- 4 A Yes. I'll just say yes.
- 5 Q Because Kevin Rychlik told you that before you met Mike?
- 6 A As best I recall.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$  And when you found out that Mike had a felony conviction,
- 8 you didn't say, no, thank you, I don't want to give a felon a
- 9 badge. You said you would personally walk it through; isn't
- 10 that right?
- 11 A Yes. At that point I knew that you could do that as a
- 12 sheriff, having Rick's experience in the past I guess.
- 13 Q You didn't ask Kevin Rychlik any questions about Mike,
- 14 # this person with a felony conviction. You just took his
- 15 \$10,000 in cash, right?
- 16 A Kevin brought him forward and vouched for him and wanted
- 17 | him on, yes, exactly.
- 18 Q And we just established that you never even met the guy
- 19 once you appointed him as a deputy, right?
- 20 A As dozens of others I had never met.
- 21 Q So there's -- you know, the story you were telling earlier
- 22 | about some kind of friendship of Rick Rahim, you can't say that
- 23 about Mike, this person with a felony conviction?
- 24 A Do I have to?
- 25 Q And once you knew that he had a felony conviction, you

purposely did not run a criminal history check because you know that his felony conviction would come back, right?

A I've never run a criminal history check. I mean, I don't actually do that. Others do.

Q There was no criminal history check run on Mike because you knew it would come back with a felony conviction?

A Can you ask me a question?

Q Isn't that true?

A No.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 Q You ran -- every single person that we just discussed, you

11 ran that criminal background check, but you didn't do it for

12 Mike because you wanted a lack of a paper trail; is that true?

A Again, I don't actually run them for anyone. I have people like Pete Siebel who do.

Did Pete say he did or didn't?

Q So your testimony here is that Pete Siebel took it upon himself to not run the criminal check for Mike?

18 A I don't know. Pete is not the only one that would do
19 that. There are multiple people that could and did.

Q And you're aware that Mike didn't live anywhere near Culpeper, right?

A Yeah, like most, uh-huh. Most of my staff don't live in Culpeper. The majority of full-time staff do not live in Culpeper at any time.

Q You did not report that \$10,000 from Mike?

- 1 A No.
- 2 Q You did not report \$10,000 from Mike as a campaign
- 3 donation, did you?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q And in fact, you're the one that sent the photo of Mike,
- 6 ∥his driver's license, to Pete Siebel; isn't that true?
- 7 A I don't know. I mean, if you say so -- if it's in a text,
- 8 then yes.
- 9 Q And you never called in Mike to do any work, did you?
- 10 A Not that I recall.
- 11 Q You never gave him any training?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q And as far as you knew, Mike had not gotten his firearms
- 14 | rights restored, right?
- 15 A As far as I knew, I had no clue. I mean, I didn't run
- 16  $\parallel$ this stuff. I wouldn't be able to verify that. I relied on
- 17 | other people to do that for me. So I would have been trusting
- 18 ||it would have been done.
- 19 Q Sir, you've been a law enforcement officer for over 30
- 20 years, right?
- 21 | A Uh-huh.
- 22 Q So you know it's illegal for a felon to possess a firearm,
- 23 ||right?
- 24 A Not if he's had his rights restored.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q So when you decided to deputize him as an auxiliary deputy

- 1 with all of the rights associated, including concealed carry in
- 2 50 states, and your testimony is that you didn't even bother to
- 3 check whether he had his firearms rights restored or not?
- 4 A I don't check. The staff does. And I trust them to do
- 5 | that.
- 6 Q All right. You signed an order next appointing Phil
- 7 Howell as an auxiliary deputy on December 27th, 2022, right?
- 8 A I can look at a document. But yes, if you say so, that's
- 9 the date.
- 10 Q And that's before you even met Mr. Howell?
- 11 A Yes. Yes.
- 12 Q And you gave him a badge on December 29th, 2022, the same
- 13 day that he gave you \$5,000 in cash?
- 14 | A Yes.
- 15  $\|Q\|$  You did not report that cash as a campaign contribution,
- 16 did you?
- 17 A No.
- 18 Q And two days later you purchased firearms using \$3,000 in
- 19 cash, right?
- 20 A Correct. Well, again, I can't swear on these dates, but
- 21 I'm trusting where you're leading me to.
- 22 Q And I thought I heard you say on direct something about
- 23 that was the same money that you used to purchase the firearms
- 24 that you got from Phil Howell two days prior?
- 25 A I'm sorry, say that once more.

1 Q You were asked about the firearms purchase at the gun show on direct testimony, right?

A Correct.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

Q And do you recall -- I think you said that you had taken it out of a safe and that it could have been the money from

Phil Howell; is that your testimony?

A Certainly.

Q So your testimony is that you did use the money that Phil Howell gave you two days prior to make the gun purchases?

A It's -- again, I can't swear it was Phil's money in a gun vault that had other money in it, different denominations, silver.

Again, they got a search warrant. They could have come to my house when they talked to me that day and looked for themselves, but they didn't.

- Q So the gun vault was in your house?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And the money from Phil Howell was in that safe in your 19 house?
  - A Again, it may have been all or some of it. I can't swear on, you know, the envelope or the particular bills. Like I said, it's been years and I'm telling you the best I know.
- Q The best you know, it could have been Phil Howell's money that was in your house?
- 25 As best I know, it could have been, uh-huh. I got cash

1 and went to the show, like I said, late. Well, I can't talk 2 about -- you know, I went Saturday afternoon and bought the gun 3 with cash, yes, that I took out of the vault. 4 So you used the cash that Phil Howell gave you two days 5 prior to go purchase the guns? I can't swear it was Phil's cash or it was --6 7 Or it could have been Phil's cash? 8 It could have been, yes. Uh-huh. 9 And you signed the order appointing Rubar Sandi as an 10 auxiliary deputy on December 27th, 2022, correct? 11 Yes. 12 And then you gave him a badge on January 4th, 2023, the 13 same day he gave you \$5,000 in cash? If the date is accurate, yes. I can't swear to the date. 14 You didn't report that cash as a campaign contribution, 15 16 did you? 17 Α No. 18 And less than an hour after you leave the Rubar Sandi 19 meeting, you make a \$7,000 cash deposit into your personal bank 20 account; isn't that true? 21 Correct. Well, I mean, I'm trusting the documents. 22 And you were a part of that phone recording, that 23 recording with Rubar Sandi where he's referencing, oh, you guys 24 do it so quietly here, ha ha.

25 Do you remember that?

A Well, I listened to it, yes.

2 Q And then you signed the appointment order for Harry Carr

- 3 without ever meeting him, right?
- 4 A I believe so, yes. I saw the document and that's my
- 5 signature, yes.

- 6 Q Sir, would you agree with me that this is a pattern of you
- 7 taking money from people and then pretty much immediately
- 8 giving those same people a badge over and over again?
- 9 A At that particular time in my administration, but not the
- 10 | years going along. It just got to that point for many reasons
- 11 | that I can't get the opportunity to explain.
- 12 Q And besides the two checks written to you by Jim Metcalf
- 13 and Tom Cooper, the money from Rick Rahim, Fred Gumbinner,
- 14 || Jerry McKee, Mike, Phil Howell, Rubar Sandi, none of that was
- 15 ||reported on your campaign finance reports, right?
- 16  $\parallel$ A I'm trying to follow the names you just said really
- 17 | quickly. And to the best of what I think you said, I would
- 18 agree, yes, because I think those are all the ones -- I think
- 19 that's accurate, yes.
- 20 Q And you just testified on direct that you didn't report
- 21 some of the cash because of a deadline issue.
- 22 Do you remember that?
- 23 A Yes. I didn't know when the filing deadline -- or filing
- 24 date was for, you know, the end of '22 and headed into '23.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q But the deadline didn't prevent you from reporting the two

1 checks on the report that you knew could be traced; isn't that 2 right?

A No. As best I know -- I mean, I don't know. We'd have to look at the dates, but the checks -- I mean, I assume I got the checks, and the time came where I ran by and had time or might have been at the bank for other reasons, but I clearly deposited those. There's a record. But then the cash, if that came in after that and I never had reason to think there was a report, never had time -- I mean, you can look at my texts and

- 11 Q But my question is, you reported the two checks --
- 12 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13  $\mathbb{Q}$  -- on that report that you said --

look at my daily schedule --

- 14  $\parallel$ A And before that. So after that when the cash came in --
- 15 I'm assuming. I don't have the dates in front of me. Look at
- 16 | it and see. But if they were after that, then I guess so.
- 17  $\mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  Well, they were before and after, sir.
- 18 A They weren't reported.
- 19 Q Okay. And so some of these people who are giving you the 20 money, they had no idea that actually the money was not going
- 21 to your campaign account, right?
- 22 A The people who gave me the cash had no idea that it wasn't
- going in the campaign account?
- 24 Q Those people thought -- some of them at least -- thought 25 they were actually giving you political donations; isn't that

- 1 | right?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And so you were lying even to your bribe payers; isn't
- 4 that true?
- 5 A No, that's not true.
- 6 Q And just so I'm clear, Kevin Rychlik, he never got any of
- 7 the amounts that these people gave you, did he?
- 8 A He never got any of these amounts, are you asking --
- 9 (Overlapping speakers.)
- 10 Q The money that we just went through that came to you from
- 11 these various individuals we just walked through, they all went
- 12 | into your accounts, right?
- 13 A What money went into my account?
- 14  $\parallel$ Q Let's see, the \$25,000 from Rick Rahim, the \$20,000 from
- 15 Fred Gumbinner, the \$5,000 from Jerry McKee, the \$10,000 from
- 16 | Phil Howell, \$5,000 from -- \$10,000 from Mike, sorry, \$5,000
- 17 Ifrom Phil Howell, \$5,000 from Rubar Sandi, none of that money
- 18 went to Kevin Rychlik; isn't that true?
- 19  $\|A\|$  You asked if it went in my account. It went in my hand.
- 20 | It went in my vault. It went to other people I paid for
- 21 business stuff like the --
- 22  $\|Q\|$  None of that money went to Kevin Rychlik, correct?
- 23 A Not that I know of, no. I mean, I didn't -- I don't know
- 24 if I paid him for anything he did.
- 25 **Q** Kevin Rychlik wasn't running for office, right?

Jenkins - Cross 1 Right. Yeah. 2 He was never sheriff, right? 3 No. 4 He didn't need to raise funds for a war chest, right? 5 Correct. 6 And he didn't get any of the money that I just went 7 through, right? 8 No. And we had talked earlier about how as a law enforcement 9 officer you're familiar with the ways that criminals try to 10 11 avoid getting caught, right? 12 Yes. 13 And you agreed with me that in addition to being an 14 experienced law enforcement officer, you're an experienced politician who is really good at spinning a story, right? 15 16 I like to think I'm good at it after all these years. 17 I think you're pretty decent at it. 18 And so, because of that experience as a law enforcement 19 officer and politician, you told people like Kevin Rychlik not 20 to put things in text messages, right, and use the phone 21 instead because you know that texts could be preserved? 22 Could be preserved or are you talking about phone calls? 23 No, I can't say that I told him exactly that. I can elaborate

and tell you conversations I did have and why.

25 Q Well --

1 And what I've experienced and the reasons for it. 2 tell you about that. 3 Well, you would agree with me, though, right, that if you 4 didn't have the text messages or you didn't use text messages, 5 a prosecutor like me wouldn't be able to show you to them at trial and say: Isn't it true that you actually said this? 6 7 You're clearly going to do what you're going to do any 8 whether they're there or not. You all have done it for a year. 9 But you would agree that text messages are a record of what actually happened? 10 11 Yes, but you will do what you're going to do. 12 And you also told Kevin Rychlik to keep the circle of 13 people who knew about the auxiliary deputies that we talked 14 about small, right? 15 Are you asking about people like Tim Smith, the 16 moonshiner, and famous guys and others like this, because we 17 didn't want people to try to recruit them out to get money and 18 fame from them? 19 I'm talking about --20 Because I did do that. But that -- you know, there's 21 reasoning is what I'm saying as to why. So I may have said 22 something like that as well, but that would be --23 (Overlapping speakers.) 24 You didn't want -- you didn't want lots of people to know

about this program you were running with Kevin Rychlik because

- 1 you knew it was wrong, right?
- 2 A Public knowledge, and everybody in the office knew about
- 3 | it. This program or division -- I don't know what you're
- 4 ∥trying to refer to -- program as the new program we were --
- 5 Q So you --
- 6 A -- kicking off, search and rescue.
- 7 Q So you never told Kevin Rychlik that only a specific
- 8 number of people could know about the auxiliaries that he was
- 9 bringing in to pay you money for a badge? You never told him
- 10 | that?
- 11 A No, I can't say I didn't.
- 12 Q So you did?
- 13 A I could have, yeah, because it would stand to reason, the
- 14 conversation. I may have said something like that, yeah.
- 15 Q And you told Kevin Rychlik --
- 16 I'm sorry?
- 17 A I'm sorry.
- 18 Q You told Kevin Rychlik to vary the amount of money so it
- 19 wouldn't be obvious, right?
- 20 A No.
- 21 Q Because if these were true political donations from real
- 22 | supporters, presumably they would be giving you donations in
- 23 different amounts, right?
- 24 A No.
- 25  $\parallel$ Q So if everyone was giving you \$5,000 and the next day they

were getting a badge, that would look suspicious, right? 2 That's the authority of the sheriff I've said over and 3 over. It can look suspicious, whatever you want to term it. 4 Politically it can look bad or good. There's a lot of looks or 5 perspectives, but the bottom line answer is that's the authority of the sheriff to choose who, when, all of that. 6 7 But you would agree with me that the authority of the sheriff does not include giving out badges in exchange for 8 bribes, right? 9 10 I think it's clear about bribes. I've answered that, yes. 11 And you were also the one who told Kevin Rychlik that 12 people should give money to your brother instead so it doesn't 13 have to be reported on the campaign finance forms? 14 I can't agree with the way you're terming it, but -- no, I can't agree with you on that. 15 16 THE COURT: Ms. Peng, let me -- we've been going 17 about two hours. I do want to get finished with Mr. Jenkins 18 this afternoon. Let's take a ten-minute comfort break and come 19 back at 5:35 and see if we can get wrapped up this evening. 20 Ladies and gentlemen, please do not discuss the 21 testimony at all amongst yourselves or begin to any decision 22 until after all the evidence is in. Stand in recess for ten 23 minutes. 24 (Jury out, 5:26 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. While we're on break,

Mr. Jenkins, do not discuss your testimony with anyone at all, and we'll stand in recess for ten minutes.

(Recess.)

THE COURT: We're back on the record in the matter of United States v. Jenkins. The government is present by its counsel. The defendant is present along with the benefit of counsel.

Ms. Peng, how much more do you have left?

MS. PENG: I think five to ten minutes, not long.

THE COURT: Any idea how long you think you'll be,

Mr. Andonian?

MR. ANDONIAN: I think I'm going to probably be a little while. I mean, I don't think there's a reasonable chance I'd be done by 6, and I'd be really nervous about being the reason the jury is still lingering around after 6.

THE COURT: Right. Do you think you would be done by 6:30? I mean, I can give the jury that chance. Ms. Blair is not going to like me. I can let the jury decide.

Well, let's just see how long Ms. Peng takes. But if you think you're going to be -- do you think you'll go as long as 6:30 if you were to go, or is it going to be longer than that, do you think?

MR. ANDONIAN: It could well be longer than that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me just -- let's just think out loud.

# Pageid#: 2923

Jenkins - Cross

1 I mean, Ms. Peng, it may be one of those things -- well, and 2 here's the practical problem just so that you all will know. 3 From Ms. Blair's standpoint, getting a same-day to you all because we've been going this late and starting as early, 4 5 there's not enough time in between for her to be able to turn that back around. And if you want a same day -- which I'm sure 6 7 you probably do because you're going to want it for your 8 closing -- I don't see any way that I can keep us much past 6 9 for you all to expect to have a same day, from what I 10 understand. Mr. Jenkins will just have to carry over. 11 I hate to bring the jury in -- because we still have 12 to have a charge conference -- bring the jury in to finish up 13 for an hour and then whatever -- I don't know whatever evidence 14 the defendant may still have and then any rebuttal the 15 government may have. 16 Ms. Peng, Ms. Choy, Ms. Smith? 17 MS. PENG: Your Honor, I think we could just go and 18 see what it is, and if we have to adjourn, then we'll --19 THE COURT: If we have to adjourn, we'll have to 20 adjourn. Anything else we need to address before we bring the 21 jury in? 22 All right. Let's bring the jury in. 23 (Jury in, 5:41 p.m.) 24 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, please have a seat. 25 Let's continue on with the cross-examination of Mr. Jenkins.

```
Jenkins - Cross
 1
              Ms. Peng, go right ahead, please.
 2
              MS. PENG: Thank you.
 3
    BY MS. PENG:
 4
        I just have a few more questions for you, sir.
 5
        So before the break we were talking, and you agreed
   that -- I think you agreed that you told Kevin Rychlik that
 6
 7
   people should give money to your brother instead so it doesn't
   have to be reported, right?
 8
 9
        No.
10
        Because in your mind, if it comes to you, it has to be
11
   documented, but if it's laundered through someone else first,
12
   then it doesn't, right? That's what you think?
13
        No.
        And you also told Kevin Rychlik that you'd rather do your
14
15
   part first and the money could come after, right?
16
   Α
        No.
17
        You didn't say that in a recording?
18
        Say it again.
19
        That you would rather do your part first and that the
20
   money can come after?
21
         If it's on a recording, then I did, but I'm -- I can't
22
   swear, you know, I remember saying that. But I'll go with what
23
   you say.
24
              THE COURT: Can you speak up a little bit,
25
   Mr. Jenkins.
```

Jenkins - Cross 1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes, sir. 2 BY MS. PENG: 3 Sir, is it fair to say if it's on a recording you can't 4 deny it, but if it's not, then you would try to? 5 No, but go ahead. 6 And you also made up a fake tractor purchase for Rahim, 7 right? 8 No. You told Rahim, hey, you bought a tractor on a farm and 9 paid cash, but if my brother gets it, big deal, right? 11 The second part I don't even get. But as far as a 12 tractor, you can go to the property today, it's still there. 13 You're --Q Still there sitting in the field. 14 15 You were faking a tractor purchase as a way to conceal the 16 bribe money that Rahim gave you, right? 17 No. It's there today. We can go there and prove it or 18 send the agents. 19 Rahim doesn't have that tractor, does he? 20 I don't know what to say. I don't know what I can say or 21 can't say. I'd love to expound. 22 And you even --23 He rented the property for four years. 24 And even just like the tractor purchase, you made up fake

gun transactions to try to cover up the bribes, right?

```
1
        A fake gun transaction by a licensed firearms dealer is
 2
   fake? You don't --
 3
        Sir, nobody --
        -- like the circumstances and I understand how you're
 4
 5
   attacking me, but that's not a fake purchase.
 6
        Sir, nobody bought guns, right?
 7
        I understand what you're saying, but as far as --
 8
        So that's a yes-or-no question. Nobody actually bought
 9
   guns, correct?
        Your question before, did you want me to answer it?
10
11
        I want you to answer the question.
12
             THE COURT: Answer the questions put to you,
13
   Mr. Jenkins.
    BY MS. PENG:
14
        Nobody actually bought guns, correct?
15
16
        And I'm not trying to be smart. I'm trying to answer that
17
   accurately.
18
        Sir, it's a yes-or-no question?
19
        There was a transaction actually done. I mean, clearly I
20
   went there and did that. So in that sense, yes, there was a
21
   transaction. But what you're asking me -- I think getting
22
   at -- then yes, I can agree with that.
                                            I don't know how else
23
   to say it. I understand what you're saying, but I'm not trying
24
   to get out of the fact that yes, there was a transaction with
25
   an FFL that wrote that receipt.
```

1 In fact, those fake gun transactions were so farfetched 2 that even Kevin Rychlik was laughing about it, right? 3 Yes. I completely get the circumstances, yes. I'm not 4 denying that. 5 Did I hear you correctly on direct that you thought that if you didn't report those donations you got, that it would be 6 7 a bribe? 8 I think that's the best way to characterize it. 9 not reported in one of those ways, yes, then it could be. 10 It could be a bribe if it's not reported? 11 I'd say -- I'd say that's accurate if I don't report it as 12 a donation, as a loan, or as that sale itself. That's what I 13 was trying to do is do something legal one way or the other. If it was embarrassing politically by reporting an addendum, 14 fine, but, you know, it is what it is. I knew -- and I said it 15 16 on the tape over and over -- one way or the other -- and I've 17 said before -- but I'll stop at that. 18 Sir, the truth is, you did think that the sheriff could do 19 whatever he wanted, right? 20 Whatever he wanted related to what, the office? 21 You thought that the sheriff actually was above the law; 22 isn't that true? 23 No.

24 Q And so, instead of using your 30-plus years of law
25 enforcement experience to serve and protect, you used it to try

```
Jenkins - Cross
   to get around the law and to line your own pockets; isn't that
   true?
 2
 3
        No.
 4
             MS. PENG:
                       That's all I have, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I
   think -- correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Andonian -- that if we
 6
7
   tried to go get through the redirect of Mr. Jenkins at this
8
   point, I'd be keeping you to 6:30 or a little bit later, which
9
   puts people getting home late. So let's do this. Counsel,
10
   approach for a second.
11
              (Sidebar commenced.)
12
             THE COURT: I can ask them whether they want to stay
13
   to 6:30, but you don't know whether you're going to be done.
14
             MR. ANDONIAN: Right.
15
             THE COURT: What I was contemplating is bringing them
16
   in at 10 and letting us use the early morning for jury
   instructions.
17
18
             MR. ANDONIAN:
                            That makes sense. We don't have any
19
   more evidence. So once we're done with redirect, we'd be ready
20
           So I don't think we'd lose anything by letting them get
21
   home on time tonight.
22
             THE COURT: And then rebuttals will be short, if any?
23
             MS. CHOY: Yes, if any.
24
             THE COURT: The problem is with Ms. Blair. I talked
25
   to her a few times. She's running on fumes at this point.
```

now if you want to waive your realtime -- not your realtime, but your same-day, we might be able to stay.

MS. SMITH: Ask what they prefer.

(Sidebar concluded.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I was talking to counsel trying to figure out kind of where we are. We can begin to see the finish line of some things that need to be done.

The first choice is -- I'm not positive Mr. Jenkins will be done by 6:30. We can go now, come back in the morning and finish that up, and then I suspect the evidence is going to be in at a decent hour at some point tomorrow. So the first question is: Do you all just want to finish up Mr. Jenkins first thing in the morning, or do you want to stay until 6:30 in hopes that it gets done by then?

JURORS: Morning.

THE COURT: So here's the better news. Come in at 10:00 tomorrow. We've got some work to do so that we can make the rest of the day efficient for you. So we're going to use -- we're going to get here and be working early so that we can be efficient for you all when you get in. So come in at 10:00 tomorrow and then we'll start up with the redirect of Mr. Jenkins and get whatever additional evidence we need to get in, get in and be able to move forward fairly expeditiously.

Yes, ma'am?

FEMALE JUROR: Be here at 10:00 or be here at 9:45?

THE COURT: Be here ready to go at 10:00.

So with that, ladies and gentlemen, I'll wish you safe travels to and fro. Please do not discuss your testimony with anyone or allow anyone -- discuss the case with anyone. You all haven't testified at all. Do not discuss the case with anyone or allow anyone to discuss it with you. Don't do any independent research or begin to make any decisions at all until all the evidence is in and the instructions are given to you. But I thank you very much for your kind attention today, and I wish you safe travels as you head home tonight and come back tomorrow morning.

# (Jury out, 5:51 p.m.)

THE COURT: You all have a seat. I'm trying to figure out what time we need to start tomorrow morning so that we can get our instructions done and be ready to go, because my thought would be that we get our instructions done. I'm going to try to take a stab at an order tonight. Well, let me ask it this way: I've got what you all sent to me. Are there any instructions -- I know the honest services is one of the things that the government wants to talk about. Are there any other instructions the government wants to talk about other than just kind of making sure that we clean out any instructions that the evidence may not otherwise support?

MS. CHOY: No, Your Honor, there are not.

1 I'm sorry, I didn't understand, Ms. Choy. 2 MS. CHOY: There are no other instructions from our 3 perspective. 4 THE COURT: And Mr. Jenkins, you may step down. 5 Mr. Andonian, Mr. Caleb, are there any other instructions? 6 7 MR. CALEB: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: So really, I mean, if we start at 9:00 we 9 ought to be -- that's plenty of time to get the instructions 10 The verdict form we sent out and we have sent the 11 forfeiture instructions. They won't have a final look until we 12 know what the jury does. And obviously they don't go back on 13 the forfeiture unless there is a conviction, and those final 14 instructions will need to have -- because they'll go back on whatever counts there is a conviction on, if any. So -- but 15 you can see what they would look like, given what we have. And 16 17 I think that they are most of what the government sent over. There may not be a lot of difference with those. 18 19 Okay. So what I'll do -- I'll see if I can take a 20 stab at putting them in order. There are just a couple of 21 instructions that I might move towards the back that kind of 22 wrap up everything. That last instruction in there I'm going 23 to pull out completely because I'll give that after the 24 closings. I do instruct before you close so you have the 25 benefit of the instructions beforehand. I know different

judges do it different ways. I like for you all to have heard how the jury is instructed and for you all then to be able to use it as you like. I generally send one set of instructions back. I'm going to put them in a three-ring binder since there are so many of them so they don't explode on the table back there. But other than that -- and what we'll do once we get them finalized, Ms. Brown will have a PDF and she'll run it through her system. So I don't give them copies during, but I show them on the screen so they can read along with me. A lot of times if they have copies they may flip, and that way I don't have to give 12 or 14 copies of what they have there. So it will be on the screen.

You all work with Ms. Brown tonight and make sure we have all the exhibits squared away because I know a lot came in today.

That's all I've got on my list. Anything else from the government's perspective?

MS. CHOY: We shared a few redlines on your proposed verdict form with defense. They're still reviewing those.

Those are in the works.

THE COURT: If you all send that over to us, we'll take a look at it. As long as they're agreed, I'm probably not going to have any problems with respect to that.

I am happy, if I brought it up here, to give you all my thoughts just so that you'll understand where I am on honest

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

services. Could you put -- what page is it on, do you know? Just so you know what we did, Ms. Choy, and then -- we've given you the instructions. Page 54 is what I did with your honest services. Let me explain to you kind of where I was with respect to government's proposed instruction number 25. starts out with owing a fiduciary duty. We haven't used that word at all during this trial. So the jury is going to -they've heard nothing but honest services, duty, honesty and loyalty. So I took out fiduciary duty and simply said -- and I think I captioned in the first sentence what you have in the first -- the first sentence as well. The second sentence is pretty much your second sentence, and then I stop it from there. And the reason I stop it is twofold: Your third sentence is -- it begins, This is because. It explains why the honest services are what they are. I don't like to give the jury an instruction that explains why the law is what it is. The law is what it is and they're directed they have to follow the law. And I think that it begins to put a thumb on the scale that the Court is saying this is why the law is what it is, and you should accept it in that regard. And so that's the reason I took that sentence out. And I took the fourth sentence out because it defines

when the public is defrauded. That's a jury question. It says the public is defrauded because the public is not receiving what it expects. That's a jury question. You can argue it.

Absolutely 100 percent you can argue it. But I think that it begins to put the thumb on the scale that if you get up and say, the Court instructed you that the public has been defrauded if A, B and C occurred, and then it's put a thumb on the scale with respect to what that is. But you can argue it 110 percent.

Also, I think that many of the concepts that are already in the government's instruction are captioned in the instruction that is -- that follows, and that is the definition of the term "bribery."

And then the only other instruction that I had is the instruction -- and I was going to wait until after all the evidence was in until we saw what it looked like. It was the one that dealt with if there are statements that are used as an explanation and they turn out to be fraud that it looks like -- then you can take that as evidence of guilt -- not fraud. If they turn out not to be true once someone is aware of -- I wanted to take a look at that. I am going to eliminate at least the last sentence or last paragraph of that. The first sentence of the last paragraph that begins, Ordinarily a person doesn't act so-and-so unless they're guilty. I think that again begins to have the Court commenting on what the evidence could be or what an ordinary person would do. Argue it all you like, but I think that that's probably not the role of the Court to put that in there.

MR. CALEB: Your Honor, off the top of your head, do 1 2 you have the page number for that instruction that you just 3 referenced? 4 THE COURT: I do not. We're going to get it. 5 MR. CALEB: I can find it. 6 We'll do a quick word search. THE COURT: 7 I also took out the word "innocent" in there because 8 the burden is not to prove innocence or not, and I substituted in the term "not guilty," which I think is more consistent with 9 10 what -- so page 26 is pretty much the way you had it, Ms. Choy, 11 except for the fact that I took out -- I just made it one 12 paragraph. And I took out the first sentence of the second 13 paragraph and just moved that second sentence up. 14 MS. CHOY: Are you anticipating providing us with your updated instructions before the conference? 15 16 MS. CURRY-LEDBETTER: You should have them. 17 THE COURT: I think we've given you a printed-out 18 And then -- in just a moment, we will have given you a сору. 19 printed-out copy, and then we'll email that to you as well. 20 Is anyone particular about the order? Some people 21 I've had some lawyers when I was trying cases, I mean, 22 they would spend hours kind of tearing their hair out about the 23 particular order in which they go. Some lawyers are like, 24 whatever you want. Is anyone particular about the order? And 25 if they are, what makes sense? I was going to front load with

the general instructions, back load with the substantive instructions. Since there are so many, I'll probably -- I suspect this is going to take about an hour to read is my guess. I'll probably -- so they don't hear me drone on for an hour -- probably after 30 minutes, maybe we don't go anywhere, but everyone stands up and stretches a little bit to kind of reset their brain, and then we'll go and do the substantive instructions.

MS. CHOY: We're happy to defer to the Court.

THE COURT: So I'll take these and see if I can put them in an order that makes a little bit of sense. When we print them out, the final set, we'll take the page numbers off the bottom, of course, so that you have them. And then I'll just put the instruction number on top.

Otherwise, anything else we need to address?

MS. CHOY: Nothing from the government.

THE COURT: Mr. Jenkins, the final instruction that I will give you -- you know exactly what it is because you heard me give it to -- you can sit down. That's fine. You've heard me give it to others, is since you're still on the stand and there's further testimony to come, you cannot have any conversation at all about your testimony. Here is the tricky piece. I anticipate you're going to talk to your lawyers about -- well, your lawyers may want to talk to you about how they're going to argue the case and so forth. Absent a hue and

cry from the government, I don't restrict lawyers from being able to talk to -- you can't talk to Mr. Jenkins about his testimony, obviously. That part is easy. But to the extent you need to discuss strategy with respect to your closings, I think you're entitled to do that.

Ms. Choy?

MS. CHOY: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I think you're entitled to do that, and you know what the boundaries are.

MR. ANDONIAN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But I'll also say this, Mr. Jenkins: You have a great deal of support in the courtroom and you've had a great deal of support throughout in this courtroom. And I know people are going to want to -- they're going to want to give you support tonight, and I appreciate that. Talk about anything other than what's going on in these four walls. Not even a discussion of: You did great here, you could have done better there, think about this type of -- whatever it may be.

Everyone wants to -- everyone wants to be a Monday morning quarterback and give you some advice. None of that, right? It just cannot happen at all. That's the whole reason I didn't want to take the break, but I also didn't want to keep the jury here too terribly late, and also because we've got folks that have things to do tonight as well.

Am I clear, Mr. Jenkins?

THE DEFENDANT: You have my word.

THE COURT: Very well. So let's be here ready to go at 9:00 tomorrow. I'll try to get here early, put these in an order that makes -- what I hope makes some sense to me, and I'll see if I can't get them scanned in and emailed out to you all from a PDF standpoint and -- I mean, they're in pretty close to an order that works in any event. And then we'll run through them. What I would propose we'll do, just to make sure that we know what all the instructions are is: This is instruction 1, any objection? We'll just go through them all one by one to know whether there's an objection or not. I don't think there will be, but I at least want to get that on the record, okay?

Thank you all very much. You all have a wonderful evening. We'll stand adjourned.

(Proceedings adjourned, 6:08 p.m.)

### CERTIFICATE

I, Lisa M. Blair, RMR/CRR, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Western District of Virginia, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings reported by me using the stenotype reporting method in conjunction with computer-aided transcription, and that same is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding.

I further certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the Judicial Conference of the United States.

/s/ Lisa M. Blair Date: December 17, 2024